

2. Section One - Introduction

Submission No. and Point / Submitter Name	Plan Provision	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
	1.2 Relationship with other Plans under the RMA		
18.2 Environment Southland	1.2(C)	The numbering 1.2. (C) is duplicated	Amend numbering to 1.2.(A) to 1.2.(E)
18.3 Environment Southland	1.2 (C) & (D)	The submitter considers that there is no need to add “prepared by Environment Southland” to “The Regional Policy Statement for Southland” and “Regional Plans”. If it is considered that these words must be there, similar words should be added (A) to (C)	Delete “prepared by Environment Southland” from (D) & (E).
18.4 Environment Southland	No specific provision referred to.	<p>The submitter notes that Section 1.2 says that the District Plan “gives effect to” various instruments, including Regional Plans.</p> <p>The submitter points out that Section 75(4) of the Resource Management Act 1991 provides that a District Plan must “not be inconsistent with” a regional plan or a water conservation order.</p>	<p>Amend Section 1.2 as follows:</p> <p>“The District Plan gives effect to:</p> <p>(A) National Policy Statements. (B) The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement. (C) National Environmental Standards. (C) The Regional Policy Statement for Southland, prepared by Environment Southland. (D) Regional Plans prepared by Environment Southland.</p> <p><u>The District Plan must not be inconsistent with—</u> <u>(A) a water conservation order; or</u> <u>(B) a regional plan for any matter specified in Section 30(1) of the Resource Management Act 1991.”</u></p>
	1.4 Regulatory Framework		
18.5 Environment Southland	No specific provision referred to.	The submitter supports the adoption of a precautionary approach where there is less than complete scientific knowledge.	Retain as proposed.
79.2 KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Second paragraph page 1-2	Oppose in part. The submitter considers that the Councils obligations under the RMA in relation to reverse sensitivity effects should be expressly stated	Amend the second paragraph on page 1-2 to read: “... The Council is required, as a territorial authority, to control the adverse effects of inappropriate subdivision, use and development of land, <u>including reverse sensitivity effects...</u> ”

Submission No. and Point / Submitter Name	Plan Provision	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
	1.5 Cross Boundary Issues		
18.6 Environment Southland	No specific provision referred to.	The submitter considers that the statement that “The Invercargill city district also adjoins the coastal marine area (CMA) ...” is incorrect. The Invercargill city district boundary is mean low water mark. Therefore the district “contains” much of the CMA rather than “adjoins” it.	Amend “The Invercargill city district also adjoins the coastal marine area ...” to read “The Invercargill city district also contains large parts of the coastal marine area (estuaries, harbours and open coast foreshores) which is the jurisdiction of Environment Southland and the Minister of Conservation for RMA matters.”
	1.6 Contents of the District Plan		
65.1 ICC – Environmental and Planning Services	1.6	The term “management area” is not used elsewhere in the Plan and could be confusing for the Plan user.	Amend 1.6 to read: “...The District Planning Maps identify the <u>specific zone for each parcel</u> of land within the district...”