

3. Amenity Values of the District

Submission No. and Point / Submitter Name	Plan Provision	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
SECTION 2.2 – ISSUES, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND METHODS OF IMPLEMENTATION			
	General		
48.8 Forest & Bird Society	Section 2.2	Support.	Not stated.
54.7 Otatara Landcare Group	Section 2.2	Support.	Not stated.
88.23 Federated Farmers	Section 2.2	Support. The submitter in particular supports the comment that: <i>“Amenity values vary from place to place and person to person”</i>	Not stated.
	2.2.1 Issues		
88.24 Federated Farmers	Issues	<p>Support in part. The submitter supports amenity values being considered at a general level rather than tying them directly to specific landscapes and considers that normal farming activities, including earthworks, vegetation planting and clearance are entirely appropriate activities within a dynamic working rural landscape that changes over time. The submitter believes that Council needs to recognise that the valued characteristics of the rural Invercargill district are generally those that have been created or enhanced, and most importantly maintained by normal farming activities that are entirely appropriate within a dynamic working rural landscape, and it is important that the plan appropriately addresses these matters and the positive cultural, social, economic and environmental effects of farming.</p> <p>The submitter reiterates their support for the use of non-regulatory methods in this area.</p>	<p>That Council recognises that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity values within rural Invercargill are generally those that have been created, enhanced or maintained through normal farming activities; • Farming activities are entirely appropriate within a dynamic rural landscape; • Non-regulatory methods are preferable to the use of regulation in this area.
79.3 KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Issue 1	Oppose in part. The submitter considers that there should be greater recognition of reverse sensitivity effects and notes that subdivision location and design can have an early and permanent impact on the avoidance of reverse sensitivity effects to infrastructure networks	Amend Issue 1, 2.2.1 as follows: <u>“1. Subdivision, land use and development can have adverse effects on the amenity values of the district, including reverse sensitivity effects.”</u>