

## 13. Lightspill

Submitter Name/Submission No. and Point	Plan Provision	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
<b>SECTION 3.11 RULES</b>			
<b>105.6 ICC Environmental Health and Compliance Services</b>	3.11	The submitter supports the inclusion of rules on lightspill. They acknowledge the importance of light in terms of security but also acknowledge that light can cause a nuisance	Support
<b>24.62 South Port NZ Ltd</b>	3.11.2	Support.	Retain.
<b>28.6 Harvey Norman Properties (NZ) Ltd and Harvey Norman Stores (NZ) Pty Ltd</b>	3.11.2	The submitter supports this provision as it allows for an increased lux level to reflect the amenity and activities anticipated in the proposed Business 3 Zone.	Not stated
<b>71.53 NZAS Ltd</b>	3.11.2	Support. The submitter supports the inclusion of “no limit” in relation to the generation of lightspill in relation to the Smelter Zone	Retain reference to “no limit” in relation to the Smelter Zone.
<b>79.27 KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	3.11.4	Support with amendments. The submitter is concerned with the effects of lightspill on the users of the rail corridor	Amend 3.11.4 (A) by clarifying the term “transportation network” by including specific reference to the railway corridor