## **INITIAL SEISMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT (ISA PLUS)**

Turkish Kebabs Building 37 Esk Street, Invercargill



Client Name: HWCP Management Limited

BMC Reference: 1711-2266

Date Issued: 9/04/2018



# Quality Statement and Document Control

This Initial Seismic Assessment report (ISA Plus) has been prepared for HWCP Management Limited by Batchelar McDougall Consulting Limited. No liability is accepted by this company or any employee or sub-consultant of this company with respect to its use by any other parties.

This disclaimer shall apply notwithstanding that the documents may be made available to other persons for an application for permission or approval to fulfil a legal requirement.

## Issue Register:

Revision	Date	Description				
9/04/2018 ISA (Plus)						
		Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by		
Δ.	Name	Matt Stewart	Andrew Marriott	Graham McDougall		
A	Signature	Matt Stewart	add	S. L. M. Dougs D		
	Oigi iatul 6	BSCE (USA-CA), PE (USA-CA), CMEngNZ	BE, CPEng, CMEngNZ, IntPE(NZ), MICOMOS)	Director		

# Revision History:

Rev. No	Date	Issue Description	Prepared by	Reviewed by

1711-2266 1 Rev A. 9 April 2018



# Contents:

1	Exe	cutive Summary	3
2	Sco	pe of Our Engagement	4
3	Buil	ding Description	5
	3.1	General Overview	5
	3.2	Construction Materials & Configuration	6
	3.3	Lateral Load Resisting Structural System	8
	3.4	Foundations & Geotechnical	8
4	Buil	ding Inspection	9
	4.1	Documentation	9
	4.2	Observations and/or Damage	9
5	Ass	essment	13
	5.1	Specific Calculations / Engineering Assessment	13
	5.2	IEP Spreadsheet Calculations	13
6	Seis	smic Restraint of Non-Structural Items	14
7	Cor	ntinued Occupancy Recommendations	14
8	Con	nclusions	14
Α	PPEND	DIX A - NZSEE IEP Spreadsheet & Out-of-Plane Wall Calculation	A



# 1 Executive Summary

The following report summarises the findings of an Initial Seismic Assessment (ISA Plus) of the building at 37 Esk Street, Invercargill. The building has been classified by Invercargill City Council as a site of local significance, giving it a "Tier 2" heritage status in the "Proposed Invercargill City District Plan", dated January 2017. However, it was recommended for removal from this list by "Invercargill City: Central City Area Heritage Buildings Re-Assessment 2016".

The two-storey building is constructed of unreinforced masonry (URM) perimeter walls and timber floor/roofing framing. It was constructed circa 1911. The building is located in the Invercargill CBD. This location is a 'medium' seismic risk region with a seismic hazard factor of 0.17. For comparison, Christchurch has a seismic hazard design value of 0.30 and is a 'high' seismic risk region, while Dunedin has a seismic hazard value of 0.13 and is a 'low' seismic risk region.

Documentation available to Batchelar McDougall Consultants Limited (BMC) for the purposes of this assessment is summarised in Section 4.1. This assessment is based on these documents and site visit observations only.

For the purposes of this evaluation, the building has been assessed as a structure of Importance Level 2 (IL2) – Normal Building.

BMC have completed an NZSEE Initial Evaluation Procedure (IEP) spreadsheet. In addition, BMC has provided an initial assessment of the building and, carried out a calculation of the out-of-plane performance of a critical wall.

From this assessment, the building is considered to have a lateral load carrying capacity of 15-20% New Building Standard (%NBS) for an IL2 building as follows,

Loading direction	Building %NBS (IL2)	Seismic Grade	Limiting performance
North-South (Longitudinal)	15-20%NBS	E	Out-of-plane capacity of shopfront wall (north wall facing Esk Street)
East-West (Transverse)	15-20%NBS	Е	In-plane soft storey at the shopfront

A 'Desk Top' geotechnical assessment from nearby sites has been referenced in relation to likely geotechnical conditions for this site. The building is assumed to have shallow strip footing foundations which will likely be subject to some differential settlement as a result of liquefaction under a significant (ULS) seismic event.

Our ISA Plus found that the building at 37 Esk Street, Invercargill has a capacity less than 34%NBS (IL2), and, therefore, the building is considered to be potentially Earthquake Prone as defined in the Building Act.

Note the ISA Plus is considered to provide a relatively quick, high-level and mostly qualitative measure of the building's performance. If a more defined level of performance is required then a Detailed Seismic Assessment (DSA) would need to be carried out.



# 2 Scope of Our Engagement

As requested by HWCP Management Limited, Batchelar McDougall Consulting Limited (BMC) has undertaken a comprehensive Initial Seismic Assessment (ISA Plus) of the seismic capacity of the building at the above noted address.

The seismic assessment and reporting have been undertaken in accordance with the qualitative procedures detailed in "The Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings, Technical Guidelines for Engineering Assessments" issued by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) and now cited in the Building (Earthquake-prone buildings) Amendment Act 2016 (which has now been integrated into the Building Act 2004) with reference to potentially earthquake prone buildings. BMC have included a simple calculation / assessment of an element of the building form(s) or structure(s) that BMC have assessed as limiting the global seismic capacity of the building.

#### This structural assessment includes:

- Review of existing building plans or production of a scale layout plan and review of any prior reports, if available.
- Undertaking interior and exterior visual inspection of exposed elements on-site, where access is available.
- Consideration of the general established geotechnical evidence for the site (from the initial 'Desktop Study' relevant to the CBD block by Geosolve Limited).
- Completion of an Initial Evaluation Procedure (IEP) spreadsheet(s).
- Engineering assessment and/or calculation of a primary or critical structural element that is considered to limit the global seismic capacity of the building.
- Production of a summary report.

The assessment is made with regard to Clause B1 – Structure of the New Zealand Building Code. No other Building Code Clauses have been assessed by this report.

This structural assessment is based on the visual evidence and indications present at the time of inspection. No specific invasive investigation work has been carried out (although wall thicknesses and wall/parapet heights may be determined). The findings of this report may therefore be subject to revision pending further and more detailed investigation or assessment and/or deterioration of elements from earthquake or ground settlement. This report does not address any hidden or latent defects that may have been incorporated in the original design and construction.

This assessment has been restricted to structural aspects only. Waterproofing elements, electrical and mechanical equipment, fire protection and safety systems, service connections, water supplies and sanitary fittings have not been reviewed, and secondary elements such as internal fit out have not been reviewed.

The scope of this evaluation is limited to the initial or first stage assessment of the potential performance of the building in an earthquake ONLY. No assessment has been made of other load cases such as wind, snow and gravity. The assessment is made in the context that the building may potentially be affected by the Earthquake



Prone Building (EPB) provisions of the Building Act (2004) and the EPB Amendment Act 2016 related aspects (which has now been integrated into the Building Act).

Our professional services are performed using a degree of care and skill normally exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable consultants practicing in this field at this time. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice presented in this report.

This report is provided solely for use by HWCP Management Limited and shall not be relied on by any other parties without written approval from BMC.

# 3 Building Description

#### 3.1 General Overview

The building is located at 37 Esk Street, Invercargill, as shown in Figure 1 below. The building is a two-storey unreinforced masonry (URM) brick structure with untenanted offices on the first floor and tenanted by Turkish Kebabs on the ground floor.



Figure 1 - Location of 37 Esk Street, Invercargill

The building was constructed circa 1911. This building has "Tier 2" heritage status in the "Proposed Invercargill City District Plan", dated January 2017. Tier 2 heritage status signifies a site of local significance. However, it was recommended for removal from this list by "Invercargill City: Central City Area Heritage Buildings Re-Assessment 2016". The building description is summarized below in Table 1.



Building Feature	Description				
Building address:	37 Esk Street, Invercargill				
Overall plan dimensions:	29.2m x 7.8m				
Number of storeys:	2				
Gross floor area (approximate):	410m2				
Building history:	Built circa 1911				
Archive Plan Availability	None provided				
Occupancy:	Retail				
Importance Classification: (AS/NZS 1170.0:2002: Table 3.2)	IL2 Normal building				
Heritage Classification:	Tier 2 Recommended removal from heritage list by 2016 Assessment Summary				

Table 1: Building Description

## 3.2 Construction Materials & Configuration

The building plan is rectangular in plan with URM walls around the perimeter. The shopfront of the building is at the north end of the building facing Esk Street. The side walls are on the east and west sides of the building. As existing building drawings were not made available for this building, a scale model building plan was produced on site, as shown below in Figure 2.

The perimeter side and rear walls are full height URM. At the shopfront, the ground floor is "open" with URM wall/piers above. It was assumed the URM wall is supported by double steel beams spanning to between steel posts and URM walls/piers across the shopfront. A cantilevered canopy at the shopfront extends the full width of the building at the ground floor entrance.

The roof is constructed of corrugated asbestos roofing on timber sarking on timber purlins. The purlins are supported by timber trusses that span "across" the building to the perimeter brick side walls, as shown below in Figure 3.

The first floor is constructed of timber tongued and grooved planks on timber joists that span to the perimeter brick side walls. The ground floor framing is assumed to be timber framing supported by timber piles. The URM brick walls are assumed to be supported on concrete strip footings.

The building is in fair condition.





Figure 2 - Building floor plan



Figure 3 - Rear of building showing level 1 flooring, rear and side brick wall, and roof framing



## 3.3 Lateral Load Resisting Structural System

The main components of the lateral load resisting system are perimeter URM walls and the timber diaphragms. At the first floor, the diaphragm is the timber floor framing. At roof level, the diaphragm is the timber roof framing and the corrugated asbestos roof.

For such a structure, the lateral load resisting system is intended to function as follows. The timber diaphragms and in-plane URM walls work together to transfer the seismic loads from each building level down to ground level. At each building level, the diaphragm spans horizontally, like a beam, between its support points – the in-plane URM walls. The diaphragm effectively distributes the seismic loads to the in-plane URM walls. The URM walls transfer to the seismic loads to ground level. The lateral bracing system relies on the in-plane shear capacity of URM walls, the strength of the timber diaphragm, and the connection of the timber diaphragm to the URM wall.

The connection of the timber framing to the URM wall were not visible. From the exterior, there were no signs of positive connections to the walls, such as plate anchors. For this era and construction type, it was normal for timber floor framing to be supported in "pockets" in the URM wall. With this connection style, there are no positive connections from the timber diaphragm to the URM walls, just the timber floor joist bearing on the URM wall. For in-plane and out-of-plane lateral loads, the loads are transferred by friction from the timber framing bearing on the URM wall. This force transfer, from diaphragm to wall, is unlikely to be effective, particularly at roof level and at the end walls.

For seismic loads in the north south direction (longitudinal direction), the lateral loads are resisted by the URM side walls.

For seismic loads in the east west direction (transverse direction), the lateral loads are resisted by the URM rear wall.

#### 3.4 Foundations & Geotechnical

There are no obvious signs of significant settlement in foundations or wall cracking. Foundation details are unknown. It is assumed that the URM walls sit on concrete footings.

A 'Desk Top' geotechnical study titled Invercargill CBD Project Stage 1 dated February 2018 by Geosolve Limited (Ref: 171019) has been completed. This study focussed on the likely ground conditions for the Old Government Life & Old Southland Times buildings but does relate generally to the CBD block as a whole.

Key findings from the Geosolve report that are likely to relate to this 37 Esk Street, Invercargill building assessment are:

- Ground / Soil Class D is to be used for the purposes of seismic assessment.
- Some liquefaction induced differential settlement is likely in a significant (ULS) seismic event.
- Bearing conditions for typical strip footings are less than 'good ground' as defined by NZS3604 (approximately half). Note BMC has not checked actual foundation bearing pressures for this building.



# 4 Building Inspection

#### 4.1 Documentation

Documentation received by BMC that was considered relevant to this report includes: -

Description	Revision	Issue Date
Invercargill City: Central City Area Heritage Buildings Re-Assessment 2016	N/A	2016
By: Dr. Andrea Farminer and Robin Miller		

## 4.2 Observations and/or Damage

The building was inspected by Andrew Marriott and Charlotte Corston of BMC on 26/02/2018. This was a visual inspection only. The inspection included both the internal and external accessible areas of the building. No invasive inspection works were carried out.

Cracking was observed in the URM walls. Sagging in the timber floor framing was also observed at the first-floor level. Refer to photos of damage below.

The following photo images and observations and specific comments relate to the inspection. A complete photo record of the inspection is available on request.

No#	Photo	Comments
1	ISUS#I	The shopfront has a potential soft storey. Refer to Section 5.1.



No#	Photo	Comments
2		Note there is no seismic gap to the adjacent buildings. There is poor lateral load resistance in these buildings meaning their load could be passed into the structure of 37 Esk Street structural.
3		Cracking in URM at shopfront.



No#	Photo	Comments
4		Alley way under first floor of old part of building.  Poor drainage and likely overflow of downpipes will compromise old foundation strip footings.
5		Cracking in URM wall at level 1.



No#	Photo	Comments
6		Moisture ingress and sagging floors.



## 5 Assessment

## 5.1 Specific Calculations / Engineering Assessment

In the longitudinal direction (north-south direction), the limiting element of the lateral load carrying capacity is the out-of-plane capacity of the URM shopfront wall. The out-of-plane capacity of this wall was calculated to be approximately 20%NBS (IL2). The wall was taken as 325mm thick, 5m height, and supported on double beams above the open shopfront. This wall appears to have no positive connection to the timber diaphragm at first floor or at roof level. As such, the wall essentially cantilevers from the double steel beam support at level 1 with limited to no lateral support. For the out-of-plane wall calculation, refer to Appendix A. Failure of this URM wall would likely result in the wall collapsing on the footpath below and possibly blocking the egress of the building.

In the transverse direction (east-west direction), there is a soft storey critical structural weakness. A soft storey in a building occurs when a more significantly flexible building level supports a more rigid building level. This occurs at the shopfront, where a relatively heavy rigid first floor façade is supported by a "open" ground floor framing with no distinct lateral force resisting elements. At ground level, in the transverse direction, the only lateral load resisting element is the URM wall at the rear of the building. It is estimated that the capacity of lateral load resisting system in the transverse direction is approximately 15-20%NBS (IL2).

Overall, the building was found to have a lateral load carrying capacity of 15-20%NBS for an IL2 building. The limiting elements in the strength of the lateral load capacity of the building are soft storey critical structural weakness and the out-of-plane capacity of the shopfront URM façade.

## 5.2 IEP Spreadsheet Calculations

The NZ Society of Earthquake Engineers (NZSEE) has developed an assessment calculation (the IEP Spreadsheet) to be used as a preliminary estimation of the seismic capacity of a building. The seismic capacity is reported in percentage of New Build Standard (%NBS). This is primarily based on comparing the current seismic design Loadings Code (NZS1170.5) in 2018 with the seismic design load at the time the building was designed. It assumes that the original design was built to at least 100%NBS of the design load at this time. It allows for other 'engineering judgement' and observation factors to be incorporated but the process is at best a preliminary estimation.

BMC has carried out an IEP assessment for this building. The lateral capacity of the building is limited by the out-of-plane performance of the URM walls. The IEP assessment of this building therefore indicates an overall score of 15-20%NBS (IL2) corresponding to a 'Grade E' building as defined by the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering (NZSEE) building grading scheme. This is below the threshold for earthquake prone buildings (34%NBS) and below the threshold for earthquake risk buildings (67%NBS) as recommended by the NZSEE. The IEP Spreadsheets are (for both parts of the building) included as Appendix A.



## 6 Seismic Restraint of Non-Structural Items

During an earthquake, the safety of people can be put at risk due to non-structural items falling on them. These items should be adequately seismically restrained, where possible, to the NZS 4219:2009 "The Seismic Performance of Engineering Systems in Buildings".

An assessment has not been made of the bracing of the false ceilings, in-ceiling ducting, services and plant or contents. These issues are outside the scope of this initial assessment but could be the subject of another investigation. False (or suspended) ceilings exist on both ground and 1st floor levels of this building.

# 7 Continued Occupancy Recommendations

Based on our assessment of the building, BMC consider continued occupancy is appropriate for 6-12 months subject to the conditions of the Building (Earthquake Prone Buildings) Amendment Act 2016.

If required, a Detailed Seismic Assessment (DSA) or a more detailed assessment with intrusive investigation work into the nature and capacity of the timber framing connections to the front and rear URM walls at the roof and first floor level. This more detailed assessment could enable an understanding of other aspects of its seismic performance and potentially raise the lateral capacity of building to above 34%NBS.

## 8 Conclusions

Based on our assessment, the building has a seismic load carrying capacity of less than 34%NBS and the building, therefore, is considered to be potentially Earthquake Prone as defined by the Building Act.

This building has "Tier 2" heritage status in the "Proposed Invercargill City District Plan", dated January 2017.

If a more defined level of performance is required, then a DSA would need to be carried out.

For more summary comments, refer to the Executive Summary.



# APPENDIX A - NZSEE IEP Spreadsheet & Out-of-Plane Wall Calculation

## Initial Evaluation Procedure (IEP) Assessment - Completed for {Client/TA}

Page 1

WARNING!! This initial evaluation has been carried out solely as an initial seismic assessment of the building following the procedure set out in the "The Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings" Technical Guidelines for Engineering Assessments, July 2017. This spreadsheet must be read in conjunction with the limitations set out in the accompanying report, and should not be relied on by any party for any other purpose. Detailed inspections and engineering calculations, or engineering judgements based on them, have not been undertaken, and these may lead to a different result or seismic grade.

 Street Number & Name:
 37 Esk Street
 Job No.:
 1711-2266

 AKA:
 By:
 Matt Stewart

 Name of building:
 Turkish Kebab Building
 Date:
 26/03/2018

 City:
 Invercargill
 Revision No.:
 A

#### Table IEP-1 Initial Evaluation Procedure Step 1

#### Step 1 - General Information

1.1 Photos (attach sufficient to describe building)



NOTE: THERE ARE MORE PHOTOS ON PAGE 1a ATTACHED

1.2 Sketches (plans etc, show items of interest)



efer to ISA Plus report			
1.4 Note information sources	Tick as appropriate		
Visual Inspection of Exterior Visual Inspection of Interior Drawings (note type)	✓ ✓	Specifications Geotechnical Reports Other (list)	

tree	et Number	& Name:	37 Esk Street				Job No.:	1711-2266	
KA:							Ву:	Matt Stewa	rt
	e of buildi	ng:	Turkish Kebal	b Building			Date:	26/03/2018 D.: A	
ity:			Invercargill				Revision No	D.: A	
ab	le IEP-2	Initial Evalu	uation Proce	dure Step 2					
		mination of (%N	, -	- \					
		) for particular buildin nominal (%NBS) =	-	5)		Longitudi	nal	Transverse	
		10.1a. (76.120) =	(/ort23) nom			Longitudi	<u>Idl</u>	<u>ITalisveise</u>	
a)		trengthening Data ding is known to have	hoon etronathone	nd in this direction					
		_	-	ding has been strengthene	d to	N/A		N/A	
		, <b>-</b>	9			IN/A		IVA	
b)	Year of Des	sign/Strengthening,	Building Type an	d Seismic Zone					
							•	Pre 1935	•
							0	1935-1969 1965-1976	$\sim$
						976-1984	0	1976-1984	_
					1	984-1992	ŏ	1984-1992	<sup>2</sup> ŏ
						992-2004	Ō	1992-2004	$\sim$
							0	2004-2011 Post Aug 2011	~
					1 031	y =011		. 55.7149 201	
				Building Type	Others		•	Others	•
				Seismic Zone	•	Not applie	cable	Not applica	ble
c)	Soil Type	From NZS1170.5:2	2004, CI 3.1.3 :		D Soft Soil		▼	D Soft Soil	•
		From NZS4203:199 (for 1992 to 2004 a				Not applic	rable	Not applica	hle
q)	Estimate F		ind only it known,	,		riot appin	Judic	Not applied	.DIC
۳,	Comment:				h <sub>n</sub> =	8		8	m
	URM Walls	;			A <sub>c</sub> =	1.00		1.00	m <sup>2</sup>
		esisting Concrete Fran		$T = \max\{0.09h_n^{0.75}, 0.4\}$		0		0	
		esisting Steel Frames by Braced Steel Frame		$T = \max\{0.14h_n^{0.75}, 0.4\}$ $T = \max\{0.08h_n^{0.75}, 0.4\}$		8		8	
		rame Structures:		$T = \max\{0.06h_n^{0.75}, 0.4\}$		ŏ		ŏ	
	Concrete S			$T = \max\{0.09h_n^{0.75}/A_c^{0.5}, 0.4$	1}	Q		0	
	Masonry S User Define	near vvalls: ed (input Period):		T ≤ 0.4sec		000000		000000	
	20.111	Where $h_n = he$		base of the structure to the			_		-
		uppermost seis	mic weight or mass.		Т	0.40	_	0.40	ı
e)	Factor A:	Strengthening factor det if not strengthened)	ermined using result fro	om (a) above (set to 1.0	Factor A	1.00	<b>=</b>	1.00	1
f)	Factor B:	Determined from NZSEE results (a) to (e) above	E Guidelines Figure 3A.	1 using	Factor B	0.03		0.03	
g)	Factor C:	For reinforced concrete C = 1.2, otherwise take		veen 1976-84 Factor	Factor C	1.00		1.00	
h)	Factor D:			0.8 except for Wellington e taken as 1.0, otherwise	Factor D	0.80		0.80	]
(%	6NBS) <sub>nom</sub> =	= AxBxCxD			(%NBS) <sub>nor</sub>	n 2%		2%	]

may lead to a different result or seismic grade.

treet Number & Name:	37 Esk Stree	et	Job No.	
KA:	Total-lab Kab	al Daildian	By:	Matt Stewart
ame of building: ity:	Turkish Keb Invercargill	ab Building	Date: Revision	26/03/2018 n No.: A
able IEP-2 Initial Ev	aluation Proc	cedure Step 2 co	ntinued	
2 Near Fault Scaling Factor,		-		
If $T \le 1.5$ sec, Factor E = 1	ı		<u>Longitudinal</u>	<u>Transverse</u>
a) Near Fault Factor, N(T,D)			N(T,D): 1	1
(from NZS1170.5:2004, CI 3.1.6)				
b) Factor E		= 1/N(T,D)	Factor E: 1.00	1.00
3 Hazard Scaling Factor, Fac	tor F			
a) Hazard Factor, Z, for site				
Locatio	n: Invercargill	▼ Re	fer right for user-defined locations	
	Z = 0.17	(from NZS1170.5:200	4, Table 3.3)	
Z <sub>199</sub>			Factor from accompanying Figure 3.5(b))	
Z <sub>200</sub> .	4 = 0.17	(from NZS1170.5:200	4, Table 3.3)	
b) Factor F For pre 1992	=	1/ <i>Z</i>		
For 1992-2011	=	Z <sub>1992</sub> /Z		
For post 2011	=	$Z_{2004}/Z$		
			Factor F: 5.88	5.88
4 Return Period Scaling Fact a) Design Importance Level, I (Set to 1 if not known. For buildings in public building set to 1.25. For building public building set to 1.33 for Zone A b) Design Risk Factor, R <sub>o</sub>	designed prior to 1965 a ngs designed 1965-1976	6 and known to be designed a		1
(set to 1.0 if other than 1976-2004,	or not known)		R <sub>o</sub> = 1	1
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Im)		Choose Importan	, <u> </u>	1 0 • 0 0
c) Return Period Factor, R		<u>Choose Importan</u> IR₀/R	ce Level O 💿 O	0 • 0
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp	portance Level)		ce Level O 💿 O	0 • 0
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp	portance Level) = actor H	IR√R	R = 1.0	1.0
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc	portance Level) = actor H	IR√R	R = 1.0	1.00
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Im) d) Factor G 5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc	portance Level) = actor H	IR <sub>e</sub> /R ng Structure	R = 1.0	1.00
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc Comment:	portance Level)  = actor H stillity Within Existi	IR <sub>o</sub> /R  ng Structure  naximum of 2)	Factor G: 1.00 $\mu = 1.25$	1.00 1.25
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZ\$1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc Comment:  b) Factor H  (where kµ is NZ\$1170.5:2004 Inela	portance Level)  = actor H stillity Within Existi  For pre 1976 (n For 1976 onwar	IR <sub>o</sub> /R  ng Structure  naximum of 2) rds  factor, from accompanying Tal	Factor G: 1.00 $\mu = \frac{1.25}{1.14}$ Factor H: 1.14	1.00  1.25  k <sub>n</sub> 1.14 1
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc Comment:  b) Factor H  (where kμ is NZS1170.5:2004 Inela  6 Structural Performance Sc a) Structural Performance Factor	portance Level)  = actor H stillity Within Existi  For pre 1976 (n For 1976 onwar	IR <sub>o</sub> /R  ng Structure  naximum of 2) rds  factor, from accompanying Tal	Factor G: 1.00 $\mu = \frac{1.25}{1.14}$ Factor H: 1.14	1.00  1.25  k <sub>n</sub> 1.14 1
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc Comment:  b) Factor H  (where kµ is NZS1170.5:2004 Inela	portance Level)  = actor H stillity Within Existi  For pre 1976 (n For 1976 onward	IR <sub>o</sub> /R  ng Structure  naximum of 2) rds factor, from accompanying Tale	Factor G: 1.00 $\mu = \frac{1.25}{1.14}$ Factor H: 1.14	1.00  1.25  k <sub>n</sub> 1.14 1
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc Comment:  b) Factor H  (where kμ is NZS1170.5:2004 Inela 6 Structural Performance Sc a) Structural Performance Fact (from accompanying Figure 3.4) Tick if light timber-framed cons b) Structural Performance Sca	For pre 1976 (n For 1976 onwardstic Spectrum Scaling Factor, Factor, S <sub>p</sub>	IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR Structure  IR Structure	Factor G: 1.00 $\mu = \frac{1.25}{1.14}$ = 1.14  Factor H: 1.14 $S_p = \frac{0.93}{0.93}$ Factor I: 1.08	1.00  1.00  1.25
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc Comment:  b) Factor H  (where kµ is NZS1170.5:2004 Inela 6 Structural Performance Sca a) Structural Performance Fact (from accompanying Figure 3.4) Tick if light timber-framed cons b) Structural Performance Sca Note Factor B values for 1992 to 2	For pre 1976 (n For 1976 onwards Spectrum Scaling Factor, Spectruction in this direction of the section of the	IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR Structure  IR Structure	Factor G: 1.00 $\mu = \frac{1.25}{1.14}$ $= \frac{1.14}{1.14}$ Factor H: 1.14 $S_p = \frac{0.93}{1.08}$ Factor I: 1.08	1.00  1.00  1.25  k <sub>u</sub> 1.14 1 1.14 1 0.93 1.08
c) Return Period Factor, R (from NZS1170.0:2004 Building Imp  d) Factor G  5 Ductility Scaling Factor, Fa a) Available Displacement Duc Comment:  b) Factor H  (where kμ is NZS1170.5:2004 Inela 6 Structural Performance Sc a) Structural Performance Fact (from accompanying Figure 3.4) Tick if light timber-framed cons b) Structural Performance Sca	For pre 1976 (n For 1976 onward stick Spectrum Scaling Factor, Factor, S <sub>p</sub> struction in this direction, (%NBS) <sub>b</sub>	IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR <sub>o</sub> /R  IR Structure  IR Structure	Factor G: 1.00 $\mu = \frac{1.25}{1.14}$ = 1.14  Factor H: 1.14 $S_p = \frac{0.93}{0.93}$ Factor I: 1.08	1.00  1.25   k <sub>u</sub> 1.14 1 1.14 1 0.93

may lead to a different result or seismic grade.

et Number & Name:	37 Esk Street				Job No.:	1711-2266
:	<b>-</b> 1	S - 11 P		••••	Зу:	Matt Stewart
e of building:	Turkish Kebab E Invercargill	suliding			Date: Revision No.:	26/03/2018 A
le IEP-3 Initial Ev 3 - Assessment of Per r Appendix B - Section B3.2)	valuation Procedu	-				
ongitudinal Direction						
potential CSWs		Effect on Struct				Fac
Plan Irregularity		(Choose a value -	Do not interpo	olate)		
Effect on Structural Performa	nce O Severe	Osi	ignificant		Insignificant	Factor A 1
Comment						
Vertical Irregularity						
Effect on Structural Performa	ince O Severe	Osi	ignificant		Insignificant	Factor B 1
Comment						
Short Columns  Effect on Structural Performa	unco O Source	00	ianificant		♠ Insignifies = 1	Factor C
Effect on Structural Performa.  Comment	ince Osevere	Osi	ignificant		Insignificant	Factor C 1
may be reduced by taking					fect of pounding	
Table for Selection	g the coefficient to the r	ight of the value appl Fact Separation	icable to frame	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di	rection: 1.0	
Table for Selectio	g the coefficient to the r	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h< td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<></td></sep<.005h<>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selectio	g the coefficient to the r	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h< td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<></td></sep<.005h<>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selectio	on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h< td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<></td></sep<.005h<>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh	g the coefficient to the r	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height	tor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h o<="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Dii Significant .005<sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<></td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Dii Significant .005 <sep<.01h< td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h<>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selection Align Comment	g the coefficient to the r	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 1.4="" cor="" d2="" for="" lo="" o="" severe<="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h 0.7<="" o="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H   Date of the control of the c</td><td></td></sep<.01h></td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h 0.7<="" o="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H   Date of the control of the c</td><td></td></sep<.01h>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H   Date of the control of the c	
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh	g the coefficient to the r on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within at Difference Effect on of Factor D2	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sey<.005h 0.4<="" o="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h 0.7<="" o="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h></td></sey<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h 0.7<="" o="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh	on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on Difference Effect  On of Factor D2  Height Differency Diffe	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height 20% of Storey Height Fact  fference > 4 Storeys erence 2 to 4 Storeys	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 0.4="" 0<sep<.005h<="" cor="" d2="" for="" lo="" o="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h .005<sep<.01h="" d.7="" di="" ingitudinal="" o="" o<="" significant="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h></td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h .005<sep<.01h="" d.7="" di="" ingitudinal="" o="" o<="" significant="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh	on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on Difference Effect  On of Factor D2  Height Differency Diffe	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height 20% of Storey Height Fact	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 04="" 0<sep<.005h="" cor="" d2="" for="" lo="" o="" o<="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Dii Significant .005<sep<.01h .005="" seps.01h="" seps.01h<="" significant="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h></td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Dii Significant .005 <sep<.01h .005="" seps.01h="" seps.01h<="" significant="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh  Table for Selection	on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on Difference Effect  On of Factor D2  Height Differency Diffe	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height 20% of Storey Height Fact  fference > 4 Storeys erence 2 to 4 Storeys	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 04="" 0<sep<.005h="" cor="" d2="" for="" lo="" o="" o<="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Dii Significant .005<sep<.01h .005="" seps.01h="" seps.01h<="" significant="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h></td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Dii Significant .005 <sep<.01h .005="" seps.01h="" seps.01h<="" significant="" td=""><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.01h>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh  Table for Selection  Comment	g the coefficient to the r on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on Difference Effect on of Factor D2  Height Diff Height Diff Height L	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height 20% of Storey Height fference > 4 Storeys ference 2 to 4 Storeys fference < 2 Storeys	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 0<sep<.005h="" d2="" for="" lo="" lo<="" lor="" o="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h01.7 .005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep="" di="" ingitudinal="" significant="">.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep<.01h005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h005<sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h01.7></td><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td>Factor D 1</td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h01.7 .005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep="" di="" ingitudinal="" significant="">.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep<.01h005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h005<sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h01.7>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	Factor D 1
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh  Table for Selection  Comment  Site Characteristics - State	on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on the Difference Effect  On of Factor D2  Height Difference Effect  Height Difference Effect	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height 20% of Storey Height  Fact  Fact  Fact  Fact  Fact  Ference > 4 Storeys  Ference 2 to 4 Storeys  Ference < 2 Storeys  Ference < 3 Storeys  Fact  Fact	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 0<sep<.005h="" o="" s<="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h01.7 .005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep="" di="" ingitudinal="" significant="">.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep<.01h005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h005<sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h01.7></td><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td>Factor D 1</td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h01.7 .005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep="" di="" ingitudinal="" significant="">.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep<.01h005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h005<sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h01.7>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	Factor D 1
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh  Table for Selection	on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on the Difference Effect  On of Factor D2  Height Difference Effect  Height Difference Effect	Fact Separation 20% of Storey Height 20% of Storey Height  Fact  Fact  Fact  Fact  Fact  Ference > 4 Storeys  Ference 2 to 4 Storeys  Ference < 2 Storeys  Ference < 3 Storeys  Fact  Fact	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 0<sep<.005h="" d2="" for="" lo="" lo<="" lor="" o="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h01.7 .005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep="" di="" ingitudinal="" significant="">.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep<.01h005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h005<sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h01.7></td><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td>Factor D 1</td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h01.7 .005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep<.01h005<sep="" di="" ingitudinal="" significant="">.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep<.01h005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep>.005<sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h005<sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h01.7>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	Factor D 1
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh  Table for Selection  Comment  Site Characteristics - Stall  Effect on Structural Perform  Comment	on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on the Difference Effect  Do of Factor D2  Height Difference D	Fact  Separation 20% of Storey Height  20% of Storey Height  Fact	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 0<sep<.005h="" a="" a<="" cor="" d2="" for="" lo="" quality="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h .005<sep="" .005<sep<.01h="" di="" ingitudinal="" o="" significant="">.01H O Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep>.01H O Ingitudinal</sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h></td><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td>Factor D 1</td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h .005<sep="" .005<sep<.01h="" di="" ingitudinal="" o="" significant="">.01H O Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep>.01H O Ingitudinal</sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	Factor D 1
Table for Selection  Align  Comment  b) Factor D2: - Heigh  Table for Selection  Comment  Site Characteristics - Stall  Effect on Structural Perform  Comment  Other Factors - for allowance	g the coefficient to the r on of Factor D1  Alignment of Floors within ment of Floors not within on Difference Effect  On of Factor D2  Height Diff Height Diff Height Diff Height Diff Savere  Severe  Ce of all other relevant chapice of Factor F:	Fact  Separation 20% of Storey Height  20% of Storey Height  Fact	cor D1 For Lo Severe 0 <sep<.005h 0<sep<.005h="" a="" a<="" cor="" d2="" for="" lo="" quality="" severe="" td=""><td>e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep<.01h .005<sep="" .005<sep<.01h="" di="" ingitudinal="" o="" significant="">.01H O Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep>.01H O Ingitudinal</sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h></td><td>rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep&gt;.01H</td><td>Factor D 1 spective Factor E 1</td></sep<.005h>	e buildings.  Ingitudinal Di Significant .005 <sep<.01h .005<sep="" .005<sep<.01h="" di="" ingitudinal="" o="" significant="">.01H O Ingitudinal Di Significant .005<sep>.01H O Ingitudinal</sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep></sep<.01h>	rection: 1.0 Insignificant Sep>.01H	Factor D 1 spective Factor E 1

nitial Evaluation Procedur	e (IEP) Assessment - Comple	eted for {Client/TA	<b>r</b> }	Page
reet Number & Name: (A:	37 Esk Street		Job No.: By:	1711-2266 Matt Stewart
me of building:	Turkish Kebab Building		Date:	26/03/2018
y:	Invercargill		Revision No.:	Α
	ation Procedure Step 3 nance Achievement Ratio (PAR)			
Transverse Direction				Facto
potential CSWs		ctural Performance e - Do not interpolate)		1 4010
Plan Irregularity	•	. ,		
Effect on Structural Performance Comment	Severe	Significant		Factor A 1.0
Vertical Irregularity				<u> </u>
Effect on Structural Performance Potential soft story	Severe	Significant	○ Insignificant	Factor B 0.7
Short Columns				
Effect on Structural Performance Comment	O Severe O S	Significant	Insignificant	Factor C 1.0
Pounding Potential (Estimate D1 and D2 and set D	= the lower of the two, or 1.0 if no poten	tial for pounding, or cons	sequences are conside	ered to be minimal)
a) Factor D1: - Pounding Effect				_
	ding has a frame structure. For stiff build coefficient to the right of the value appl			
	-	-1 D4 F T	- Dinastian -	1
Table for Selection of		Severe Signification	ant Insignificant	
Alignr	Separation nent of Floors within 20% of Storey Height	0 <sep<.005h .005<sep<<="" td=""><td>.01H Sep&gt;.01H</td><td></td></sep<.005h>	.01H Sep>.01H	
Alignmen	t of Floors not within 20% of Storey Height	<b>0</b> .4 <b>0</b> .7	7 🕥.8	
Comment				
b) Factor D2: - Height Dif	ference Effect			_
Table for Selection of		Severe Significa		
Table for Selection of	racioi D2	Severe Significa 0 <sep<.005h .005<sep<<="" td=""><td>· ·</td><td>J</td></sep<.005h>	· ·	J
	Height Difference > 4 Storeys	On.4 On.7		
	Height Difference 2 to 4 Storeys Height Difference < 2 Storeys	O).7 O).9	Ö	
Comment	Treight Difference < 2 Storeys	<u> </u>		
				Factor D 1.0
Site Characteristics - Stability,	landslide threat, liquefaction etc as it affect	s the structural performand	e from a life-safety pers	spective
Effect on Structural Performance Comment	○ Severe ○	Significant	<ul><li>Insignificant</li></ul>	Factor E 1.0
CONTINION				
Other Factors - for allowance of Record rationale for choice	all other relevant characterstics of the build te of Factor F:		Maximum value 2.5     Maximum value 1.5.     No minimum.	Factor F 1.00
Performance Achievement Ra (equals A x B x C x D x E x F)	• •		Т	ransverse 0.70
ildings" Technical Guidelines for Engineering	carried out solely as an initial seismic assessment o Assessments, July 2017. This spreadsheet must be n ose. Detailed inspections and engineering calculatio	ead in conjunction with the limit	ations set out in the accomp	anying report, and should

ΚA	et Number & Na	ame:	37 Esk Street				Job No	o.:	1711-2266
•	<b>\:</b>						By:		Matt Stewart
an	e of building:		Turkish Keba	b Building			Date:		26/03/2018
ity	1		Invercargill				Revisi	on No.:	Α
	o 4 - Percenta	seline <i>%NB</i> S (%	lding Standa		4, 5, 6 and	Long	itudinal		Transverse
.2	Performance (from Table I	Achievement R	atio (PAR)			1	1.00		0.70
4.3	PAR x Baselir	ne (%NBS) <sub>b</sub>				1	15%		15%
1.4		ew Building Sta of two values from		s) - Seismic Ra	iting				15%
Ste	o 5 - Is <i>%NBS</i>	< 34?							YES
Ste	o 6 - Potentiali	y Earthquake	Risk (is %NE	3S < 67)?					YES
Ste	7 - Provision	al Grading for					Seismic	: Grade	E
	Relationsh	ip between	Grade and	%NBS:					
					_	С	D		_
	Γ	Grade:	A+	A	В	C		E	

WARNING!! This initial evaluation has been carried out solely as an initial seismic assessment of the building following the procedure set out in "The Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings" Technical Guidelines for Engineering Assessments, July 2017. This spreadsheet must be read in conjunction with the limitations set out in the accompanying report, and should not be relied on by any party for any other purpose. Detailed inspections and engineering calculations, or engineering judgements based on them, have not been undertaken, and these may lead to a different result or seismic grade.

# $Initial\ Evaluation\ Procedure\ (IEP)\ Assessment\ -\ Completed\ for\ \{Client/TA\}$

Page 7

Street Number & Name:	37 Esk Street	Job No.:	1711-2266
AKA:		Ву:	Matt Stewart
Name of building:	Turkish Kebab Building	Date:	26/03/2018
City:	Invercargill	Revision No.:	Α

#### Table IEP-5 Initial Evaluation Procedure Step 8

Step 8 - Identification of potential Severe Structural Weaknesses (SSWs) that could result in significant risk to a significant number of occupants

8.1 Number of storeys above ground level

2

8.2 Presence of heavy concrete floors and/or concrete roof? (Y/N)

N

#### **Potential Severe Structural Weaknesses (SSWs):**

Note: Options that are greyed out are not applicable and need not be considered.

Occupancy not considered to be significant - no further consideration required•

Risk not considered to be significant - no further consideration required.

The following potential Severe Structural Weaknesses (SSWs) have been identified in the building that could result in significant risk to a significant number of occupants

- 1. None identified
- 2. Weak or soft storey (except top storey)
- Brittle columns and/or beam-column joints the deformations of which are not constrained by other structural elements
- 4. Flat slab buildings with lateral capacity reliant on low ductility slab-to-column connections
- 5. No identifiable connection between primary structure and diaphragms
- 6. Ledge and gap stairs

IEP Assessment Confirmed by

Signature

Andrew Marriott Name

72638 CPEng. No

WARNING!! This initial evaluation has been carried out solely as an initial seismic assessment of the building following the procedure set out in "The Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings" Technical Guidelines for Engineering Assessments, July 2017. This spreadsheet must be read in conjunction with the limitations set out in the accompanying report, and should not be relied on by any party for any other purpose. Detailed inspections and engineering calculations, or engineering judgements based on them, have not been undertaken, and these may lead to a different result or seismic grade.

treet Number & Name:	37 Esk Street	Job No.:	1711-2266
KA:		By:	Matt Stewart
ame of building:	Turkish Kebab Building Invercargill	Date:	26/03/2018
ity:		Revision No.:	Α
able IEP-1a Addition	onal Photos and Sketches		
Add any additional photo Note: print this page separately	ographs, notes or sketches required below:		
ee ISA Plus Report			

WARNING!! This initial evaluation has been carried out solely as an initial seismic assessment of the building following the procedure set out "The Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings" Technical Guidelines for Engineering Assessments, July 2017. This spreadsheet must be read in conjunction with the limitations set out in the accompanying report, and should not be relied on by any party for any other purpose. Detailed inspections and engineering calculations, or engineering judgements based on them, have not been undertaken, and these may lead to a different result or seismic grade.



Wanaka Office: Level 3, 99 Ardmore Street

Phone: (03) 443 4531 www.bmconsult.co.nz 37 Esk Street - ISA Plus 37 Esk Street, Invercargill

1711-2266 Apr-18 MHS

Subject: Shopfront Façade Out-of-Plane Wall Calculation

1.48

1.10 g

 $C_{hc}(0.75)$ 

C<sub>p</sub> (0.75)

<u>ties</u>		NZS 1170.5	(2004) p	arameters
18	kN/m³	Soil Class	D	
0.325	m	C <sub>h</sub> (0)	1.12	From Table
0.319	m	N(T,D)	1	Refer to Se
0	kPa	Z	0.17	Refer to Se
5	m	R	1	Refer to Se
29.3	kN	C(0)	0.19	
0.109	m	$R_{P}$	1	From Table
2.50	m	$h_n$	7	m (Total He
1.49	participatio	$h_i$	5.5	m (Average
1.81	sec	$C_{Hi}$	1.92	Case
0.22	m	$C_{hc}(T_p)$	0.75	h <sub>i</sub> < 12 m
0.07	m	$C_p(T_p)$	0.27	$h_i < 0.2h_n$
0.33	m			$h_i \ge 0.2h_n$
20	%	C <sub>p</sub> (0.75)		
	18 0.325 0.319 0 5 29.3 0.109 2.50 1.49 1.81 0.22 0.07 0.33	18 kN/m³ 0.325 m 0.319 m 0 kPa 5 m 29.3 kN 0.109 m 2.50 m 1.49 participation 1.81 sec 0.22 m 0.07 m 0.33 m	18         kN/m³         Soil Class           0.325         m         Ch (0)           0.319         m         N(T,D)           0         kPa         Z           5         m         R           29.3         kN         C(0)           0.109         m         Rp           2.50         m         hn           1.49         participation         hi           1.81         sec         CHI           0.22         m         Chc(Tp)           0.07         m         Cp (Tp)           0.33         m	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

m (Average height of part)					
Case	Applicable	C <sub>Hi</sub>			
h <sub>i</sub> < 12 m	YES	1.91666667			
$h_i < 0.2h_n$	NO	N/A			
h <sub>i</sub> ≥0.2h <sub>n</sub>	YES	3			

1.12 From Table 3.1, use values in brackets

Refer to Section 3.1.6

Refer to Section 3.1.4

Refer to Section 3.1.5

From Table 8.1

m (Total Height)

#### **Anchorage Design**

C <sub>m</sub>	0.06	g
$C_{con}(0.75)$	0.06	g
F* <sub>top</sub>	1.9	kN

