17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City
Council
<b>Building Consent</b>
Authority

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

Approved Site Ance Notes FOR ACHIEVING A CODE COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATE FOR FIRE SAFETY SYSTEMS HWCP ZONE 1 ANCHOR TENANT AND CHILDCARE CENTRE, INVERCARGILL

The sprinkler system installer is to provide a fully completed certificate of completion on satisfactory completion of the installation and commissioning tests. The certificate is to be in a form meeting the requirements of the NZ building Code and associated Standard NZS 4541:2013, and to be signed by suitably qualified personnel such as FPIS Ltd, AON, or similar. The sprinkler system in conjunction with the manual call point system is to be Type 6 in accordance with the Building Consent. (*Note this work will only be fully completed when the subsequent Zone 2 is in construction and the Class A water supply and sprinkler valve set installed. Notwithstanding this, as an interim, the associated statements for the Building Consent works is required).* 

The fire alarm installer shall supply a fully completed certificate of completion on satisfactory completion of the installation and commissioning tests. The certificate is to be in a form meeting the requirements of the NZ building Code and associated Standard NZS 4512:2010, and to be signed by suitably qualified personnel such as FPIS Ltd, AON, or similar. The alarm system in conjunction with the sprinkler system is to be Type 6 with supplementary smoke detection to the extent required in accordance with the Building Consent including that all fire alarm interfaces are functioning. (*Note this work may only be completed when the subsequent Zone 2 is completed and the Fireman's control room installed*).

The installer of the Type 18 hydrant system on completion is to provide a fully completed certificate of completion on satisfactory completion of the installation and commissioning tests. The certificate is to be in a form meeting the requirements of the NZ building Code and associated Standard NZS 4510:2008 and to be signed by suitably qualified personnel such as FPIS Ltd, AON, or similar. (*Note this work may only be completed when the subsequent Zone 2 are completed and the Fire control room with subsequent inlets installed*).

The installer of the smoke extract system within the anchor tenant shall undertake a physical test confirming operation of the extract fan and make up air supply operate as per the Cosgroves consented mechanical design (Type 11), including all other required commissioning requirements of the standard. A PS3 is to be provided on completion for the system installer stating that the smoke extraction system complies with AS 1668:Part 1.

Cosgroves as the designer responsible for the smoke extraction system design is to provide a PS4 construction review stating compliance with AS 1668:Part 1.

The emergency lighting installer shall provide a producer statement (PS3) confirming that the emergency lighting meets minimum illumination levels to the required areas and the minimum duration time as required by NZBC F6/AS1 and the Building Consent. Also required is the test and commissioning certificates for the emergency lighting, including test results. (*Note this work may only be completed when the subsequent Zones 1 and 2 are completed when the subsequent Zone 2 works when the connection to the development main is achieved. Notwithstanding this, as an interim, the associated statements for the Building Consent works is required*).

Cosgroves as the owner's consultant responsible for the emergency lighting design shall provide a producer statement for construction review (PS4) to confirm that the appropriate

17 Dec 2019

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

Building Division ections Applice a site out and the building works have been completed in accordance

with the consented documentation. (Note this work may only be completed when the subsequent Zone 2 works is completed when the connection to the development main is achieved).

Penetrations through smoke and fire separations to be sealed in accordance with AS:1530 Part 4 and AS4071:Part 1. A PS3 to C3 of the NZBC is to be provided from relevant trade that is sealing penetrations. A schedule of penetrations sealed is to be provided, noting that the treatment is to be in accordance for the solutions provided in the building consent documentation.

The applicator of the intumescent paint system shall on completion provide a PS3 stating compliance with C6 of the NZBC and the Building Consent. Also to be included is a record of the independent thickness tests.

Holmes Fire LP as the owner's consultant responsible for the fire design shall provide a producer statement for construction review (PS4) to confirm that the appropriate observations have been carried out and the building works have been completed in accordance with the consented fire strategy documentation. The level of construction monitoring is to be CM2. It is the applicant's responsibility to arrange the appropriate observations directly with the Fire Engineer or their representative.



# HWCP Invercargill Central Invercargill

HWCP Management Group

Zone 1 (Anchor) Fire Engineering Brief

Version A 24 June 2019 136249FEB03a.docx

# **Holmes Fire**



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



#### **Issue Authorisation**

Project:	HWCP Invercargill Central, Invercargill
Project No.	136249

Version	Date	Status	Prepared	Reviewed
Α	24 June 2019	For Review	ACC	DXM

Version	Extent of Revision

This report caters specifically for the requirements for this project and this client. No warranty is intended or implied for use by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party for any material contained herein. This report is produced and signed solely on behalf of Holmes Fire and no liability whatsoever accrues to the authors.

The building owner must be aware that the fire safety solutions described in this report may be alternative solutions to those given by the MBIE Acceptable Solutions or Verification Methods. Consideration of protection of the building owner's property is not included unless this has been specifically requested.

#### Written By:

**Reviewed By:** 

AMY CHAO Fire Engineer

DARIN MILLAR Principal



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

Con	tents1	Purpose5			
	1.1	Purpose of this Document			
	1.2	Related Documents5			
2		Description of the project			
3		Egress Design Philosophy7			
	3.1	Fire Emergency Procedures			
		3.1.1 Fire inside Childcare Centre			
		3.1.2 Fire in Other Areas			
	3.2	Vanagement and Use7			
4		Fire Systems Overview			
	4.1	Sprinkler System9			
	4.2	Fire Alarm System9			
	4.3	Storage Requirements9			
	4.4	ndicative Fire Separations and Means of Escape9			
	4.5	Other Proposed Key Fire Engineering Design Features9			
	4.6	'Do Not Exit" Exit signage10			
5		C/VM2 Design Scenario Applicability11			
	5.1	Design Scenario CF: Challenging Fire12			
		5.1.1 Challenging Fire 112			
		5.1.2 Challenging Fire 213			
		5.1.3 Challenging Fire 313			
	5.2	Design Scenario RC: Robustness Check13			
		5.2.1 Smoke exhaust13			
		5.2.2 Fire and smoke control doors without hold-open devices14			
		5.2.3 Smoke curtain14			
6		Dccupant Characteristics15			
	6.1	Fire Design Occupant Loads15			
7		Fire Resistance Rating to withstand Burnout17			
	7.1	Fire Load Energy Density17			
		7.1.1 Fire Load Energy Density, ef17			
		7.1.2 Thermal Properties Conversion Factor, k <sub>b</sub> 17			
		V.1.3 Modification Factor, F <sub>m</sub> 17			
		7.1.4 Ventilation Factor, w <sub>f</sub> 17			
8		Analysis Tools			
	8.1	-ire modelling18			
	8.2	Novement of people			
9		Scenarios and performance criteria for ASET vs rset19			
10		Summary			
Арре	Appendix A Preliminary Fire Engineering Sketches				
Арре	endix E	-ire Modelling (FDS)			
	B.1	-DS Input parameters are used:23			
	<b>B.2</b>	-DS Output			



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

	B.3	FDS Grid Size	
Tables	6		
Table	1 - C	/VM2 Design Scenario Applicability	11
Table	2 - C	hallenging Fire Descriptions	12
Table	3 - R	obustness Check Scenario Descriptions	14
Table	4 - S	ummary of Occupant Loads	15
Table	5 - S	ummary of Fuel Load Energy Density	
Table	6 – D	esign Scenario Summary Matrix	19



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



## 2019/1381

#### 1 PURPOSE

#### 1.1 Purpose of this Document

The purpose of this Fire Engineering Brief (FEB) is to establish and agree the fire safety design and acceptance criteria with the relevant stakeholders of the HWCP Invercargill CBD Development **Zone 1** project. The FEB will describe the additional methodologies, input data, and acceptance criteria required to supplement those prescribed within the Verification Method C/VM2, that is used to justify compliance with the Building Code and the project design brief.

The development of the Zone 1 FEB is to address the technical aspects of design scenarios and calculation methods for this particular zone. This document is to be considered in conjunction with the Master Fire Engineering Brief.

#### 1.2 Related Documents

This Fire Engineering Brief should be read in conjunction with the various briefing reports prepared for other consultants during this phase of the project, including any future fire reports prepared for this project by Holmes Fire. The following documents are prepared by the fire engineer for the project:

- Master Fire Engineering Brief (FEB), ref: 136249FEB01(Master)
- Zone 1 Fire Engineering Brief (FEB), ref: 136249FEB03(Anchor).
- Zone 1 Fire Engineering Strategy's (FES), ref: 136249FES01 (Anchor).
- Zone 1 Fire Engineering Sketches (FS), ref: 136249FS01 (Anchor).
- Zone 1 Fire Engineering Verification's (FEV), ref: 136249FEV01 (Anchor).



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### 2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

The project covered in this C/VM2 design is the construction of the HWCP Invercargill CBD development Zone 1 (Anchor).

Zone 1 is a three-storey structure that consists of a two-storey anchor retail building with a childcare centre above and will be connected to a shopping centre (Zone 2) on the west side. Entrance into the ground floor anchor retail space is located on the north side via Esk Street with direct access to the shopping centre on the west side of building. Direct access to the first-floor anchor retail space will be available on the west side via the Level 1 carpark (Zone 3). Level 2 childcare centre can be accessed via the Level 2 carpark.

The anchor building has two exits that leads occupants directly to a safe place outside on the north side and the SE side. Occupants can also egress via the shopping centre on the west side. Level 1 has two stairwells located on the SE and NE side that leads directly to a safe place outside with a connection to the Level 1 carpark on the west side. Level 2 childcare has two means of egress via the east side stairwell that leads directly to a safe place outside or the west side walkway that leads to the carpark stairwell on the west side.

The ground floor and level 1 anchor building are interconnected via the escalator void in the centre of the building. Level 2 childcare centre if fire separated from the anchor space. Smoke extract system is proposed in the escalator ceiling void with make up air provided from the ground floor main entrance, west side opening to the shopping centre (Zone 2) and first floor opening to the Level 1 carpark on the west side.

Following the meeting on 20 June 2019 with FENZ and the peer reviewer, the design philosophy is developed as such –

- C/VM2 is silent on children having a slower travel speed. The proposed design will consider the children having slower evacuation speed of 0.7 m/s for horizontal travel and 0.5 m/s for vertical travel.
- C/VM2 requires the children to be evacuated to a "place of safety" on the same level first, which would require the sprinkler system to be fully compliant with NZS 4541 without modification. The building does not have a place of safety and the proposed design is to rely on having a buffer area (outdoor play area) on the roof top away from the childcare centre instead of a place of safety. All occupants of the building are on all out evacuation.
- Based on the departures identified above, the design may need to be considered as Alternative Solution.

Refer to Master FEV (ref:136249.FEB01) for overall principles.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### **3 EGRESS DESIGN PHILOSOPHY**

#### 3.1 Fire Emergency Procedures

The evacuation strategy adopted for this building forms an important part of the building design. An 'allout' evacuation strategy applies to this building. All egress routes are assumed to be used by all occupants upon activation of the fire alarm.

There are two cases to consider regarding the evacuation of the childcare centre – which are fire inside the childcare centre, and fire in other areas. Note that only a single fire is to be considered happening in the building at any one time.

#### 3.1.1 Fire inside Childcare Centre

In case of fire inside the childcare centre, the teachers and staff members are to either lead the children to the buffer area on the roof top, or into the carpark and then when all the children are accounted for, they are then led downstairs by the teachers and staff members.

The pre-movement time is 60 seconds for staff to respond to alarm and then 60 seconds per child per staff to the buffer area. The pre-movement times for other occupants in the other locations are not changed and not affected by the childcare centre. Due to the long pre-movement time, the egress time from the childcare centre will be isolated from the remainder of the building and RSET time will be calculated utilising hand calculation.

This concept is the same as the philosophy used in C/VM2. However, instead of having a place of safety, the area away from the childcare centre is considered as a "buffer area" as the building is unlikely to have a full NZS 4541 compliant sprinkler system. It should however be noted that if the sprinkler system is modified by appendix B of C/AS2-7, the level of safety at the open air buffer area when there is a fire inside the childcare centre is at least the same if not better as for a fire within the building.

#### 3.1.2 Fire in Other Areas

In case of fire in other areas, the pre-movement time for the childcare centre would be 120 seconds in accordance with C/VM2 and then 60 seconds per child per staff. The pre-movement times for other occupants in the other locations are not changed and not affected by the childcare centre. Due to the long pre-movement time, the egress time from the childcare centre will be isolated from the remainder of the building and RSET time will be calculated utilising hand calculation.

C/VM2 does not distinguish the evacuation speeds between adults and children. Our proposed design will adopt the travel speed for childcare centre based on Kholshchevnikov et al.<sup>1</sup>, with travel speed of 0.7 m/s for horizontal travel, and 0.5 m/s for vertical travel.

Once the occupants reach the safe path stairs they can travel all the out to the external safe place.

#### 3.2 Management and Use

The staff members and teachers of the childcare centre will be the first to respond in the childcare centre

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>2 Kholshchevnikov, V.V. et al., Study of children evacuation from pre-school education institutions, Fire & Materials, Vol 36, pp. 349-366, 2012.





17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



area to a fire emergency in this building. Suitable training of building staff and management is obligatory to ensure that the evacuation procedures put in place are carried out effectively.

For the purposes of meeting the requirements of the Fire Service Act, it is recommended that a Fire Safety Management Plan is prepared for the building after approval of the Fire Engineering Strategy, incorporating documentation the staff emergency response plan.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



## 2019/1381

#### 4 FIRE SYSTEMS OVERVIEW

#### 4.1 Sprinkler System

A new Type 6 automatic sprinkler system is to be installed throughout the Zone 1 building in accordance with NZS4541, as an Appendix B (C/ASX) system. This may increase to being a Class A system to Appendix B but this will be established at the end of the verification.

#### 4.2 Fire Alarm System

Supplementary smoke detection system is to be installed on Level 2 childcare centre in accordance with NZS 4512. The supplementary smoke detection system will not trigger building wide alarm and will not be connect to the FENZ. The manual call points and the sprinkler system will trigger the building wide alarm and evacuation and contact the FENZ.

A new Type 2 fire alarm system is to be installed throughout the Zone 1 building in accordance with NZS4512.

The extent of a smoke detection system on ground and level 1 will be determined as part of the detailed assessment. Certain coverage is expected to facilitate the smoke control system and any EMHOD but this does not necessarily mean a full Type 4 system in this Zone.

The use or otherwise of a double knock system for smoke detectors prior to initiating evacuation is yet to be determined and will be considered as part of a detailed assessment.

#### 4.3 Storage Requirements

The Fire Engineering design is based on a capable storage height of no more than 3 m. It is noted that as we have not yet received a written confirmation from the Anchor tenant, that this could potentially change. Should the storage height exceed 3 m at a later stage, the design will be revisited.

#### 4.4 Indicative Fire Separations and Means of Escape

The indicative locations of fire and smoke separations are detailed on the attached sketch FS101. Also shown on these plans are the proposed means of escape for the building. These drawings are indicative only and provided for the purpose of informing the stakeholders of the intended Fire Engineering design at this early stage of the design.

#### 4.5 Other Proposed Key Fire Engineering Design Features

It is expected that the following Fire Engineering design features will be included in the final design:

Mechanical smoke extract system that extracts smoke at high level of the escalator void in the centre of the anchor building.

Make up air is expected to be provided via

- powered opening of the automatic sliding doors on the ground floor, and
- powered opening of the automatic sliding doors first floor, and
- the ground floor opening that connects the anchor to the shopping centre on the west side (it is expected to be open during opening hours with meshed security roller doors afterhours that permits make up air).

The specific performance requirements of these features is currently under development and will be detailed in the Fire Engineering Strategy.



Environmental & Planning	5
Services I.C.C.	
<b>Document Received</b>	

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



## Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



## 4.6 "Do Not Exit" Exit signage

The "Do Not Exit" signage is proposed on the Childcare Centre west exit. This "Do Not Exit" signage shall be activated when the sprinkler activated on either L1 or L2 carpark. This is to prevent egress from the Childcare Centre into the carpark when there is a fire that could potentially affect occupant egress.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



## Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

#### 5 C/VM2 DESIGN SCENARIO APPLICABILITY

The following identifies the design scenarios that require analysis.

#### Table 1 - C/VM2 Design Scenario Applicability

Design Scenario	Description	Further review required	Justification
BE	Blocked Exit	No	All areas with occupant load greater than 50 people have no less than two exits available. Any space with excessive (as defined by the BE scenario) travel distances will have additional escape routes added.
UT	Unoccupied room	No	Fire suppression is provided by way of sprinkler protection throughout building
CS	Concealed Space fire	No	All areas in building provided with automatic detection.
SF	Smouldering Fire	No	Smoke detection in sleeping areas, designed and installed to a recognised national or international standard.
HS	Horizontal fire Spread	No	Clause 3.6: On the basis that the sprinkler system is provided with a Class A sprinkler system and the spaces to which this applies do not have storage in excess of 3m height. For a space to not apply with storage in excess of 3m height – it must be in a separate firecell – this is to be confirmed in the detailed design. Clause 3.7 To be achieved by compliance with Table 4.1 of C/VM2.
VS	Vertical fire Spread	No	Part A: Either by Table 4.2 of C/VM2 or use of non-combustible material. Part B & Part C: The building is provided with automatic sprinkler system.
IS	Internal Surface fire spread	No	Compliance with NZBC C3.4 is required. Proposed to comply with internal surface finish requirements in accordance with C/VM2 and appropriate C/AS4 and C/AS5.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

Design Scenario	Description	Further review required	Justification
FO	Firefighting Operations	Уes	The proposed firefighting facilities for the building, e.g. the fire alarm panel, sprinkler inlets and internal hydrant inlets and outlets, are being addressed directly with FENZ. Input from the NZFS Operational representative is requested to confirm that the proposed locations are appropriate. The agreement with FENZ will be consolidated and included in the respective FEV documentation.
CF	Challenging Fire	Yes	Refer Section 5.1
RC	Robustness Check	Yes	Refer Section 5.2

#### 5.1 Design Scenario CF: Challenging Fire

Challenging Fire Scenarios are described in the table below and the sketches FS301 and FS302.

#### Table 2 - Challenging Fire Descriptions

Challenging Fire Scenario	Location	Description
CF1	GF Anchor	Fire in middle of atrium (escalator void). "Fast" t-squared fire growth rate, i.e. Q=0.0469t <sup>2</sup> . Maximum fire size = 20 MW or fire size at which sprinklers activate.
CF2	GF Anchor	Fire on the ground floor retail space under L1 (intermediate floor). We will adopt the ceiling height as being the highest expected for the tenancy for the sprinkler activation time, "Fast" t-squared fire growth rate, i.e. Q=0.0469t <sup>2</sup> . Maximum fire size = 20 MW or fire size at which sprinklers activate.
CF3	2L Childcare Centre	"Fast" t-squared fire growth rate, i.e. Q=0.0469t². Maximum fire size = 20 MW or fire size at which sprinklers activate.

Input and acceptance criteria specified by the Verification Method C/VM2 are used for the fire design as detailed below, including consideration of the additional design parameters previously discussed, and other relevant data and assumptions as referenced.

#### 5.1.1 Challenging Fire 1

Challenging Fire 1 is located to simulate a plume scenario at the bottom of the high-ceiling space. This reflects the anticipated worst case for largest fire and affect the tenability on the Level 1 retail space resulting in less available safe egress time for occupants from the Level 1 retail space.

The internal, non-fire rated partition between Zone 1 (Anchor) and Zone 2 (Mall) is expected to remain in place for the duration of egress, as the upper layer temperature is not expected to exceed 200°C. The above expectations will be confirmed in the analysis to be undertaken.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



Both the GF and L1 of the Zone 1 (Anchor) will be included as part of the assessment with automatic sliding doors open upon fire alarm activation. A delay of 15 s will be applied after the operation of the fire alarm system before the doors are taken as open. The connection between GF Zone 1 (Anchor) and Zone 2 (Mall) will be considered as constantly open. The smoke exhaust system will start at the activation of the fire

This fire represents the worst-case scenario for Level 1 retail space, therefore no challenging fire is proposed on Level 1 as a separate scenario.

#### 5.1.2 Challenging Fire 2

alarm system with a 30 s ramp up time.

Challenging Fire 2 is located to simulate a balcony spill plume fire which reflect the worst case for smoke production and affect tenability on Level 1 retail space resulting in less available safe egress time for occupants.

The internal, non-fire rated partition between Zone 1 (Anchor) and Zone 2 (Mall) is expected to remain in place for the duration of egress, as the upper layer temperature is not expected to exceed 200°C. The above expectations will be confirmed in the analysis to be undertaken.

Both the GF and L1 of the Zone 1 (Anchor) will be included as part of the assessment with automatic sliding doors open upon fire alarm activation. A delay of 15s will be applied after the operation of the fire alarm system before the doors are taken as open. The connection between GF Zone 1 (Anchor) and Zone 2 (Mall) will be considered as constantly open. The smoke exhaust system will start at the activation of the fire alarm system with a 30 s ramp up time.

As the ceiling height is not the same throughout the ground floor, it is proposed that for this challenging fire, B-Risk model will be used to find the sprinkler activation time for the higher ceiling (GF) and this number will be used as the sprinkler activation time in the FDS model. The FDS model for smoke movement will use the lower ceiling height (GF).

#### 5.1.3 Challenging Fire 3

Challenging Fire 3 threatens the occupants within the childcare centre area, for whom tenability will be assessed. The fire within the childcare centre is not expected to threaten the occupants in the remainder of the building due to the inherent separations expected. Note that the building is still under an "all out" evacuation.

CF3 will be modelled in B-RISK with a room for fire origin in the kitchen and a supplementary room to be equivalent to 50% of the floor area (given the partitions will be non rated).

#### 5.2 Design Scenario RC: Robustness Check

#### 5.2.1 Smoke exhaust

Robustness Check Scenario (RC1) will utiliseCF1 with the failure of the smoke exhaust system. A fire in the atrium is considered a worst-case scenario with the largest fire due to the high-ceiling space. For this scenario, only FEDco will be assessed. The doors are still expected to power open.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

#### Table 3 - Robustness Check Scenario Descriptions

Robustness Check Scenario	Location	Description
RC1 (utilising CF1)	GF Fire	Smoke Exhaust System failure. Fire in middle of atrium (escalator void). "Fast" t-squared fire growth rate, i.e. Q=0.0469t <sup>2</sup> . Maximum fire size = 20 MW or fire size at which sprinklers activate.

#### 5.2.2 Fire and smoke control doors without hold-open devices.

The building has two independent fire separated stairs. The Robustness Check scenario requires fire safety features to be failed only one at a time. Therefore, this scenario is considered addressed by provision of a second stair (that would not simultaneously be affected by failure of a fire/smoke door), and no further analysis is proposed.

#### 5.2.3 Smoke curtain

Potentially a smoke curtain located in the Ground level west connection to the mall (This may not be required due to the beam height and will be confirmed at design stage). The proposed curtain may only descend to 2.5 m above FFL as a smoke barrier/reservoir. Therefore, for the purpose of the Robustness Check design scenario in this means of escape assessment, a failure of the curtain will not adversely impact occupant egress and no further analysis is proposed.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### **6 OCCUPANT CHARACTERISTICS**

Occupant characteristics in the anchor building, which may affect occupant response to a fire emergency include:

- 1. Occupants are generally unfamiliar with the layout of the building.
- 2. Occupants are generally alert, ambulant, cognitive and able to understand and act on instructions to evacuate.

There will be no sleeping occupants in the anchor building at any time (except the childcare centre).

For the childcare centre, the staff members and the teachers are awake, familiar with the layout of the centre and the escape route.

The children are not expected to initiate the evacuation by themselves and will rely on the staff members to instruct them.

The pre-movement time proposed for the childcare centre is as described in the Egress Design Philosophy.

#### 6.1 Fire Design Occupant Loads

The general assumptions to be used in the fire engineering assessment of the proposed design are provided below. Any alterations to the design that result in the assumptions becoming invalid will be checked as part of the detailed verification and updated loads will be presented at that time.

The following is a summary of the fire design occupant load within the Zone 1 anchor building from C/VM2 Table 3.1. The occupant loads detailed in Table 4 are the maximum number of occupants for the spaces listed (as calculated using the C/VM2 densities).

Level	Description	Area [m2]	Occupant Density [m2/person]	Occupant Load
Ground	Anchor	2091	3.33 <sup>1</sup>	628
Ground	Esk St tenancy A	119	3.5	34
Ground	Esk St tenancy B	121	3.5	35
Ground	Esk St tenancy C	120	3.5	34
Ground	Esk St tenancy D	115	3.5	33
Ground	B.O.H (Reserve)	110	100	1
L1	Anchor	2310	3.33 <sup>1</sup>	694
L1	B.O.H (Reserve)	313	100	3
L1	Offices	217	10	22
	Anchor space	1484		
L2	Childcare Centre	-		88 children + staff
	Total (Zone 1)	1572		

#### Table 4 - Summary of Occupant Loads



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



#### **Explanatory Notes**

- 1. Anchor tenant seeks to have their occupant density to be  $3.33p/m^2$  as opposed to  $3.5p/m^2$ .
- 2. The exact occupant load for the Childcare Centre is yet to be confirmed. However, due to the restriction to external play area, a maximum of 88 children is permitted in the Childcare Centre. This is to be confirmed in the detailed design.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

#### 7 FIRE RESISTANCE RATING TO WITHSTAND BURNOUT

The time equivalence formula shall be used to determine the required fire resistance rating to withstand burnout, as per C/VM2 Equation 2.1.

#### 7.1 Fire Load Energy Density

The time-equivalent formula, as described in C/VM2 2.4.4, shall be used to model the full burnout design fire. The parameters to be used in the calculation are outlined below.

#### 7.1.1 Fire Load Energy Density, ef

The following is a summary of the fuel load energy density (FLED),  $e_f$ , within the building from C/VM2 Table 2.2.

#### Table 5 - Summary of Fuel Load Energy Density

Level	Activity	Example	FLED [MJ/m²]
G	Spaces for display of goods for sale and B.O.H	96% retail (800 MJ/m²) + 4% B.O.H (1200 MJ/m²). Exact split will depend on updated Architectural plans	816
ц	Spaces for display of goods for sale, office and B.O.H	81% retail (800 MJ/m <sup>2</sup> ) + 8% Office (800 MJ/m <sup>2</sup> ) + 11% B.O.H (1200 MJ/m <sup>2</sup> ) Exact split will depend on updated Architectural plans	844
L2	Childcare	Early childhood centre	800

#### 7.1.2 Thermal Properties Conversion Factor, k<sub>b</sub>

Refer to Master Fire Engineering Brief (ref:136249FEB01) for details.

#### 7.1.3 Modification Factor, F<sub>m</sub>

Refer to Master Fire Engineering Brief (ref:136249FEB01) for details.

#### 7.1.4 Ventilation Factor, w<sub>f</sub>

The ventilation factor, wf, shall be determined as described in accordance with C/VM2 Equation 2.2.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### 8 ANALYSIS TOOLS

#### 8.1 Fire modelling

Due to the complexity of the geometry, the computational fluid dynamics modelling Fire Dynamics Simulator (FDS) Version 6.7.1 will be used for this project. Refer to Appendix B for FDS modelling information.

Further to the Master FEB, the following assumptions and simplifications are made for purposes of fire modelling:

- Fire and smoke separations (including those around lifts) are assumed to have no leakage areas.
- The GF connection to Zone 2 will be modelled as a connection to outside for the purposes of this FEB. When Zone 2 FEB is undertaken, we will allow for Zone 1 scenario (worst case) to interact with the Zone 2.
- The Level 1 connection to Zone 3 will be modelled as an opening to the exterior. Given the Zone 3 is
  a carpark and alternative means of escape options exist for a relatively small occupant load, this
  simplification will occur. This opening will not be modelled at any other time.

#### 8.2 Movement of people

The methodology is as described in the Master Fire Engineering Brief. Further to that content, we offer the following supplementary information:

- 1. A base case Zone 1 consideration will be based on the distribution as per the Master FEB for Zone 1 only i.e no blocking of a route.
- 2. Non base case that will consider egress for all of Zone 1, 2 and 3 occupants with no exits being considered unavailable. Distribution as per the Master FEB.
- 3. To facilitate evacuation of a childcare facility, "Do not enter" signs were proposed in the vicinity of access into the Zone 3 carpark. These were intended to inform staff that it would be wiser to use the other exit. We propose that this signage be active when any alarm is initiated from Zone 3 Level 1 or Level 2. Given the methodology used to evacuate the childcare facility, and the inherent delays we need to accommodate, we do not intend on simulating their impact on the Zone 1 RSET. This assumption of the delay being longer than it will take for the remainder of Zone 1 occupants to enter a safe place will be verified as part of the assessment.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### 9 SCENARIOS AND PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR ASET VS RSET

The available safe environment time (ASET) is the earliest time period from fire ignition to the time at which one of acceptance criteria reaches a limit state where occupants are egressing the building.

The ASET calculation is to be based on the following acceptance criteria depending on the specific location of the occupants:

- FED<sub>co</sub> of <0.3,</li>
- FED<sub>thermal</sub> of <0.3, and
- Visibility > 10 m

ASET will be taken when the above acceptance criteria (measured at 2.0 m above floor level) is exceeded depending on the specific location of CF and the occupants.

The challenging fires, occupant scenarios and ASET tenability are summaries in the table below.

#### Table 6 - Design Scenario Summary Matrix

Challenging Fire Scenario	Occupant load <sup>1</sup> /Egress distribution	ASET Tenability		
	<ul><li>Base case:</li><li>Zone 1 occupants only</li><li>All exits available (no blocked exit)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>FEDco only on Zone 1 GF and L1 retail areas (on the basis that adjacent tenancies egress directly to the outside).</li> </ul>		
CF1 & CF2 (FDS model)	<ul> <li>Non-Base case RSET (occupants from other Zones interact):</li> <li>Zone 1, 2 &amp; 3 occupants (Zone 3 L5 - community event).</li> <li>All exits available (no blocked exit)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Visibility and FED<sub>thermal</sub> on Zone 1L1 Retail space.</li> <li>FEDco only in Zone 1 Stairwell will; be based on the FEDco of the retai floor.</li> <li>Visibility and FED<sub>thermal</sub> in Zone 2 Gl will only be established in Zone 2 assessment.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>No tenability assessed in Zone 3 Carpark.</li> </ul>		
CF3 (B-Risk model)	<ul> <li>Base case:</li> <li>L2 Childcare occupants only.</li> <li>All exits available (no blocked exit)</li> <li>Non-Base case RSET (occupants from other Zones interact).</li> <li>Due to the long pre-movement time, the egress time from the Childcare centre will be isolated from the remainder of the building therefore no non-base case RSET will be presented – this will need to be verified by one example.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>FEDco only on Zone 1 L2 (Childcare Centre).</li> <li>FEDco will not be measured anywhere else as the occupants will be in appropriate "buffer zones" once they depart the L2 enclosure.</li> </ul>		



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



### Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

Challenging Fire Scenario	Occupant load <sup>1</sup> /Egress distribution	ASET Tenability
RC 1 (FDS model)	<ul> <li>Base case:</li> <li>Zone 1 occupants only.</li> <li>All exits available (no blocked exit)</li> <li>Non-Base case RSET (occupants from other Zones interact):</li> <li>Zone 1, 2 &amp; 3 occupants (Zone 3 L5 - community event).</li> <li>All exits available (no blocked exit)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>FEDco only on Zone 1 GF and L1 retail areas (on the basis that adjacent tenancies egress directly to the outside).</li> <li>FEDco only in Zone 1 Stairwell will; be based on the FEDco of the retail floor.</li> <li>FEDco only in Zone 2 GF will only be established in Zone 2 assessment.</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> Refer to the occupant load table and functional modes for a description of the numbers of persons being considered in a space for the calculation of RSET.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



#### 10 SUMMARY

The stake holders in the fire safety design for this project are expected to participate in the development of this FEB. The members of this team, including the Authorities Having Jurisdiction, are expected to record their general agreement with the content. The content is then regarded as the official accepted brief for Fire Engineering design.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



**Appendix A Preliminary Fire Engineering Sketches** 







# Zone 1 Anchor and Childcare Centre



	Drawn: ACC	Date: 02 / 05	/ 2019	
-				
ons -	Project No.	Sheet No.	Rev	
	136249	Z1FEB FS 101	A	
			-	



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### Appendix B Fire Modelling (FDS)

The following FDS model parameters applies to CF1, CF2 and RC1. CF3 will utilise B-Risk model.

#### B.1 FDS Input parameters are used:

- Reaction based on the following fuel: C<sub>4.14</sub>H<sub>9.11</sub>O<sub>2.58</sub>.
- The input yield quantities for CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O are those described in C/VM2.

The calculated yields using the fuel described above align with those required by C/VM2, as follows:

 $y_{CO} = 0.04$ kg/kg  $y_{CO2} = 1.5$ kg/kg  $y_{H2O} = 0.82$ kg/kg  $y_{soot} = 0.07$ kg/kg (assuming  $y_{soot} = y_{C}$ ).

The heat of combustion for the reaction is also specifically input as 20MJ/kg

The fire is modelled as a growing-area square burner, with heat release rate (HRR) input to grow as a 'fast'  $t^2$  fire. In this manner, the overall HRRPUA of the burner is held virtually constant over time; the fire size grows as the physical size of the burner grows.

The maximum HRRPUA is expected to be within a range of 500 – 1000 kW/m<sup>2</sup> as per C/VM2.

Initially the model will be run with a fire that grows up to 20MW in order to determine sprinkler activation time. The time at which sprinkler activation occurs will be used to establish the peak HRR for subsequent models for this fire growth rate.

#### B.2 FDS Output

Refer to Master Fire Engineering Brief (ref:136249FEB01) for details.

#### B.3 FDS Grid Size

No grid sensitivity study will be performed on the FDS model for the 'fast' t<sup>2</sup> fire. It is assumed the grid sensitivity study carried out for the 'fast' t<sup>2</sup> fire in Zone 3 Carpark Fire Engineering Brief (ref:136249FEB02) is sufficient.





# Zone 1 – D.S. Anchor & Childcare Centre HWCP Invercargill CBD Development

HWCP Management Ltd

Fire Engineering Verification

Version B 14 October 2019 136249FEV01b.docx

**Holmes Fire** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



#### **Issue Authorisation**

Project:Zone 1 - D.S. Anchor & Childcare Centre, HWCP Invercargill CBD DevelopmentProject No.136249

Version	Date	Status	Prepared	Reviewed
Α	28 August 2019	For Approval	ACC	DXM
В	14 October 2019	For Approval	ACC	DXM

Version	Extent of Revision
В	<ul> <li>Update in response to peer reviewer comments</li> <li>BE Scenario - Table 2</li> <li>RSET for L1 Anchor</li> </ul>

This report caters specifically for the requirements for this project and this client. No warranty is intended or implied for use by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party for any material contained herein. This report is produced and signed solely on behalf of Holmes Fire and no liability whatsoever accrues to the authors.

The building owner must be aware that the fire safety solutions described in this report may be alternative solutions to those given by the MBIE Acceptable Solutions or Verification Methods. Consideration of protection of the building owner's property is not included unless this has been specifically requested.

#### Written By:

AMY CHAO Fire Engineer BE(Hons), MEngSt (Fire)

**Reviewed By:** 

DARIN MILLAR Principle BE(Hons), MEFireE, CMEngNZ, CPEng, IntPE(NZ)



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

## Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

С	0	n	t	e	n	t	s

1		Purpose		
2		Fire Safety Evaluation and Analysis Methods6		
	2.1	Evaluation Methods		
3		Introduction		
	3.1	Correspondence post FEB		
	3.2	Building Use and Fire Design Occupant Loads7		
4		Summary of Design Scenario Compliance7		
	4.1	Horizontal Fire Spread9		
	4.2	Fire Resistance Ratings Summary9		
	4.3	Challenging Fire ASET vs RSET Summary10		
	4.4	Grid Resolution Study Summary11		
	4.5	Robustness Check11		
	4.6	Smoke Barrier (ULT) Summary12		
Арре	endix A	A Design Scenario FO13		
	A.1	Burnout Calculation13		
Арре	endix E	3 RSET Assessment15		
	B.1	Childcare Centre RSET time - Hand Calculation15		
		B.1.1 RSET time for fire located within the Childcare centre15		
		B.1.2 RSET time for fire remote from Childcare centre15		
	<b>B.2</b>	EvacuatioNZ Model Input Data17		
	B.3	RSET for base case19		
		B.3.1 Childcare centre Evacuation - Base Case21		
	B.4	RSET for non-base case23		
		B.4.1 Childcare Centre Evacuation - Non-Base Case		
	B.5	Hand-calculation Validation of EvacuatioNZ		
Арре	endix C	CASET Assessment		
	C.1	ASET for Challenging Fire CF1		
		C.1.1 CF1 ASET Summary		
		C.1.2 CF1 ASET vs RSET Assessment		
	C.2	ASET for Challenging Fire CF235		
		C.2.1 CF2 ASET Summary		
		C.2.2 CF2 ASET vs RSET Assessment		
	C.3	ASET for Challenging Fire CF342		
		C.3.1 CF3 ASET Summary		
		C.3.2 CF3 ASET vs RSET Assessment		
	C.4	ASET for Robustness Check RC145		
		C.4.1 RC1 ASET Summary45		
		C.4.2 RC1 ASET vs RSET Assessment		
Арре	endix E	OSmoke Barrier (Upper Layer Temperature) Assessment		
Арре	endix E	Correspondence		



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### **Figures**

Figure 1 - EvacuatioNZ Node Clearance Times in anchor space for Base case CF1, CF2 and RC119
Figure 2 - EvacuatioNZ Node Clearance Times in anchor space for Base case CF3
Figure 3 - EvacuatioNZ Node Clearance Times for Non-Base case CF1, CF2 and RC1
Figure 4 - EvacuatioNZ Node Clearance Times for Non-Base case CF3
Figure 5 - CF1 FDS HRR vs VM2 HRR
Figure 6 - CF1 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Ground Floor at 1700 s
Figure 7 – CF1 Visibility slice file at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at 284 s
Figure 8 - CF1 Temperature slice file at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at 284 s
Figure 9 - CF1 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at 1700 s
Figure 10 - CF1 Visibility proceeding stair 3 entrance31
Figure 11 - CF1 Visibility proceeding stair 4 entrance
Figure 12 - CF1 Visibility proceeding Level 1 Carpark entrance
Figure 13 – CF2 Visual representation of B-Risk simulation35
Figure 14 - CF2 FDS HRR v.s. VM2 HRR
Figure 15 - CF2 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Ground Floor at 1700 s
Figure 16 - CF2 Visibility slice file on Level 1 Anchor at 268 s
Figure 17 - CF2 Temperature slice file on Level 1 Anchor at 1700 s
Figure 18 - CF2 Visibility at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor Stair 3 entrance
Figure 19 - CF2 Visibility at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor Stair 4 entrance
Figure 20 – CF2 Visibility at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor to L1 Carpark entrance
Figure 21 - CF2 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at 1700 s
Figure 22 – CF3 Visual representation of B-Risk simulation
Figure 23 - CF3 FDS HRR v.s. VM2 HRR
Figure 24 - CF3 FEDco in Room 2 (corridor)
Figure 25 – CF3 FEDco in Room 3 (Childcare)
Figure 26 - RC1 FDS HRR v.s. VM2 HRR45
Figure 27 - RC1 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Ground Floor Anchor at 1700 s
Figure 28 - RC1 FEDco measured at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at main exits
Figure 29 - CF1 Temperature slice file adjacent the west wall at 479 s(worst case)
Figure 30 - CF2 Temperature slice file adjacent the west wall at 1700 sec
Figure 31 - RC1 Temperature slice file adjacent the west wall at 1700 sec

#### Tables

Table 1 - Occupant Load summary	7
Table 2 - Design Scenario Applicability: Summary	8
Table 3 - Firecell Burnout Summary	9
Table 4 – ASET v RSET Summary	10
Table 5 - RC1 ASET v RSET Summary	11
Table 6 – Smoke Barrier Summary	12
Table 7 – Firecell Burnout Summary	13
Table 8 - Childcare RSET Summary	15



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

## Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

Table 9 - EvacuatioNZ Input Data	17
Table 10 - Clearance Time for Base case	
Table 11 - RSET time for Base case	
Table 12 - Output Data for Non-Base case	24
Table 13 - RSET time for Non-Base case	25
Table 14 - Hand-calculation Validation	27
Table 15 – Sprinkler Activation time for CF1	
Table 16 - CF1 ASET	
Table 17 - CF1 ASET vs RSET Summary	
Table 18 – Sprinkler Activation time for CF2	35
Table 19 - CF2 ASET	
Table 20 - CF2 ASET vs RSET Summary	
Table 21 - CF3 ASET Summary	
Table 22 - CF3 ASET vs RSET Summary	
Table 23 - Sprinkler Activation time for CF1	45
Table 24 - RC1 ASET	45
Table 25 - RC1 ASET vs RSET Summary	47
Table 26 - CF1, CF2 and RC1 Upper Layer Temperature	



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### 1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this document is to demonstrate that the proposed minimum fire safety precautions that are to be installed within the Zone 1 Anchor, HWCP Invercargill CBD Development at Invercargill, achieve compliance with Section 17 of the New Zealand Building Act 2004 with respect to the fire regulations, due to the proposed works.

This document is one of a number of documents prepared by the fire engineer:

- Master Fire Engineering Brief (FEB), ref: 136249FEB01(Master).
- Zone 3 Fire Engineering Brief (FEB), ref: 136249FEB03(D.S. Anchor & Childcare Centre).
- Zone 3 Fire Engineering Strategy (FES), ref: 136249FES01 (D.S. Anchor & Childcare Centre).
- Zone 3 Fire Engineering Sketches (FS).
- Zone 3 Fire Engineering Verification (FEV), ref: 136249FEV01 (D.S. Anchor & Childcare Centre).

The Fire Engineering Verification document is provided for regulatory approval and contains the calculations and engineering background to the fire safety design – the verification showing how the design solution meets the acceptance criteria.

#### 2 FIRE SAFETY EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS METHODS

#### 2.1 Evaluation Methods

Compliance with the Building Code for this project is achieved in accordance with the Verification Method: Framework for Fire Safety Design (C/VM2, July 2014) for New Zealand Building Code Clauses C1 - C6 (2012).

The fire engineering design has been evaluated by following the methodology of the Verification Method, and the analysis of Design Scenarios and associated calculations are detailed within this report.

The challenging fire scenarios have been completed including an ASET/RSET analysis; the fire modelling has been completed using the latest version of FDS (at the time modelling is commenced, version 6.7.1) for the Anchor space and B-Risk 2019.03 for the Childcare space, while the movement times of the occupants (traversal walking and flow times) has been carried out utilising the computer model EvacuatioNZ for the Anchor space and hand calculations (by spreadsheet) for the Childcare space.

#### 3 INTRODUCTION

Zone 1 is a three-storey structure consisting of a large anchor tenancy occupying the ground and level 1 space. In the centre of the ground floor are two elevators that leads from the ground floor to level one which creates an open void. Level one is therefore considered as an intermediate floor rather than fully fire separated first floor. Ground floor has three direction of egress; to the north (Esk St), south-east (Tay St) and south-west (mall) side of the building. Level one has three direction of egress via the north-east stair, south-east stair and the West side carpark. Small retail tenancies unrelated to the Anchor, are accessed directly from Esk St.

Level two is proposed to be a childcare centre that is accessed via the adjacent Zone 3 Carpark or the north-east stair.

For a full description of the different zones and their interrelationship, please refer to the Fire Engineering Briefs.

#### 3.1 Correspondence post FEB

Refer to Appendices for Q&A log. There is no content in the Q&A log that alters the FEB content.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

2019/1381

Occ Load (ppl)

1

765

694 3

#### 3.2 Building Use and Fire Design Occupant Loads

The following is a summary of the activities and fire design occupant loads within the building, determined in accordance with C/VM2 Table 3.1.

Location	Activity	Area (m²)	Occ Densitų (m²/person)
G	Anchor	2091	3.33 <sup>1</sup>
G	Esk St tenancy A	119	3.5
G	Esk St tenancy B	121	3.5
G	Esk St tenancy C	120	3.5
G	Esk St tenancy D	115	3.5

#### Table 1 - Occupant Load summary

B.O.H (Reserve)

B.O.H (Reserve)

**GF** Anchor

Anchor

L1Offices2171022L1 Anchor719ANCHOR SPACE1484L2Childcare Centre-88 children + 12 staffZONE 1 BUILDING TOTAL1572

110

2310

313

100

3.33<sup>1</sup>

100

Explanatory Notes

G

L1

L1

- 1. Anchor tenant seeks to have their occupant density to be  $3.33p/m^2$  as opposed to  $3.5p/m^2$ .
- 2. The exact occupant load for the Childcare Centre is yet to be confirmed. However, due to the restriction to external play area, a maximum of 88 children is permitted in the Childcare Centre.

#### 4 SUMMARY OF DESIGN SCENARIO COMPLIANCE

Table 2 below identifies C/VM2 fire scenarios in relation to the building assessment. Reference should be made to the FEB and stakeholder agreement correspondence (Appendix F) for the stakeholder agreed fire design methodology.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### Table 2 - Design Scenario Applicability: Summary

Design Scenario	Description	Further Verification Required?	Comment / Reference	
BE	<b>B</b> locked Exit	No	All areas with occupant load greater than 50 people have no less than two exits available and have a dead-end travel distance less than 40 m for occupants not familiar with the building.	
			Both the GF and 1L anchor spaces have occupant load > 250 people. Each level has three designated egresses > 8.0 m apart. 1L anchor space have two stairwells more than 8.0 m apart with the third egress via the carpark. The carpark also has two stairwells more than 20 m apart, each serving more than 250 people.	Rev F
UT	Unoccupied room, Threatening other rooms	No	Fire suppression is provided by way of sprinkler protection throughout building.	
CS	Concealed Space Fire	No	All areas in building provided with automatic detection (sprinklers).	
SF	Smouldering Fire	No	Smoke detection in sleeping areas, designed and installed to a recognised national or international standard.	-
HS	Horizontal Fire <b>S</b> pread	No	Refer to Section 4.1 below.	
VS	Vertical Fire <b>S</b> pread	No	Part A: Either by Table 4.2 of C/VM2 or use of non- combustible material. Part B & Part C: The building is provided with automatic sprinkler system.	
IS	Internal <b>S</b> urfaces Fire Spread	No	Compliance with NZBC C3.4 is required. Proposed to comply with internal surface finish requirements in accordance with C/VM2 and appropriate C/AS.	-
FO	Firefighting Operations	Yes	The proposed firefighting facilities for the building, e.g. the fire alarm panel, sprinkler inlets and internal hydrant inlets and outlets, are being addressed directly with FENZ with the agreement with FENZ consolidated in a separate document. Burnout calculation has been assessed and	
			presented in the summary table below in Section 4.2 and in Appendix A.	


17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

2019/1381

Design Scenario	Description	Further Verification Required?	Comment / Reference
CF	Challenging Fire	Yes	Three challenging fires has been assessed. Refer to Section 4.3 for a summary of results. Refer to Appendix B for RSET assessment. Refer to Appendix C for ASET assessment. Refer to Appendix D for Smoke Barrier Assessment.
RC	Robustness Check	Yes	Refer to section 4.5 below for a summary of results. Refer to Appendix C for ASET assessment.

## 4.1 Horizontal Fire Spread

The Anchor building is 40 m from the south relevant boundary across Tay Street and is 19 m from the north relevant boundary across Esk Street. The east side is 6 m from the relevant boundary and the west side faces the Carpark building. Based on the location of relevant boundary and provision of the sprinkler system with independent water supply, 100% unprotected opening on all levels of Zone 1 is acceptable. Refer to Appendix A for overall site plan that showed the relevant boundaries.

The building is provided with automatic sprinkler system with two independent water supplies, one of which is not dependent on town mains and the building shall not be used for storage above 3.0 m.

#### 4.2 Fire Resistance Ratings Summary

The burnout fire resistance rating calculation for the relevant firecells within the building is summarised below, with calculations included in Appendix A.

#### Table 3 - Firecell Burnout Summary

Firecell	Calculated FRR (min)	FRR Applied to Building (min)		
Anchor Building	60	60		
L2 Childcare	60	60		
L1 Anchor (Intermediate Floor) <sup>1</sup>	30	30		

**Explanatory Notes:** 

 Level 1 Anchor is considered as intermediate floor due to the escalator atrium opening that connects ground floor and Level 1. In accordance with C/VM2 section 4.8, for buildings with an escape height is less than 10 m, intermediate floor and supporting structure shall be provided with an FRR of (30)/30/30. The total intermediate floor area is more than 40% of the firecell area, thus integrity and insulation ratings need to apply to the intermediate floor.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

## 4.3 Challenging Fire ASET vs RSET Summary

Table 4 - ASET v RSET Summary

· · ·	Logation <sup>1</sup>		R	SET (s)		
Scenario	Location	ASET (SJ	Base-Case	Non-Base Case	A2E1 > K2E1	
	GF Anchor	>1700	209	199	Yes	
CF1 (Atrium)	L1 Anchor	328	282	284	Yes	Rev B
	L0 Stair 3 Landing <sup>2</sup>	>1700	295	287	Yes	
	L0 Stair 4 Landing <sup>3</sup>	>1700	267	273	Yes	
	N Circulation <sup>4</sup>	>1700	-	348	Yes	
	Corridor <sup>5</sup>	>1700	-	1537	Yes	
	Childcare	>1700		783	Yes	
	GF Anchor	>1700	193	183	Yes	
	L1 Anchor	362	266	268	Yes	Rev B
	L0 Stair 3 Landing <sup>2</sup>	>1700	279	271	Yes	
CF2 (Spill	L0 Stair 4 Landing <sup>3</sup>	>1700	251	332	Yes	
plainej	N Circulation <sup>4</sup>	>1700	-	515	Yes	
	Corridor <sup>5</sup>	>1700	-	1521	Yes	
	Childcare	>1700	767		Yes	
	GF Anchor	>1700	227	217	Yes	
	L1 Anchor	>1700	270	272	Yes	Rev B
	L0 Stair 3 Landing <sup>2</sup>	>1700	283	275	Yes	
CF3 (Childeare)	L0 Stair 4 Landing <sup>3</sup>	>1700	255	261	Yes	
(Childcure)	N Circulation <sup>4</sup>	>1700	-	350	Yes	
	Corridor <sup>5</sup>	>1700	-	1521	Yes	
	Childcare	917		711	Yes	

Explanatory Notes:

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 3) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).
- 4) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space preceding the final exit from the Zone 2 mall area.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

5) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space preceding the final exit from the north stairwell from the Zone 3 Carpark area.

As per the above table, ASET > RSET for all locations.

#### 4.4 Grid Resolution Study Summary

Grid resolution study has been assessed in Zone 3 Carpark Fire Engineering Verification Report (136249FEV02) for both a 0.0117t<sup>2</sup> 'medium' fire and 0.0469t<sup>2</sup> 'fast' fire. The results showed minimal difference between the results produced from the 0.1 m and the 0.2 m mesh grid sizes which supports the justification that a 0.2 m grid cell size in the near field mesh is adequate for the purpose of life safety assessment. Therefore, no further assessment has been carried out for Zone 1.

#### 4.5 Robustness Check

Robustness Check Scenario (RC1) has utilised CF1 with the failure of the smoke exhaust system. A fire in the atrium is considered a worst-case scenario with the largest fire due to the high-ceiling space. For this scenario, only FEDco has been assessed. The doors are still expected to power open.

Secondria	Logation		RS			
Scenario	Location	ASET (SJ	Base-Case	Non-Base Case	AJEI > RJEI	
	GF Anchor	>1700	212	202	Yes	
CF1 (Atrium)	L1 Anchor	1610	1610 285 287		Уes	Rev E
	L0 Stair 3 Landing <sup>2</sup>	1610	298	290	Yes	
	L0 Stair 4 Landing <sup>3</sup>	1644	270	276	Уes	
	N Circulation <sup>₄</sup>	>1700	- 351		Уes	
	Corridor⁵	>1700	- 1540		Уes	
	Childcare	>1700	786		Yes	]

#### Table 5 - RC1 ASET v RSET Summary

**Explanatory Notes:** 

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 3) 'LO Stair4 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).
- 4) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space preceding the final exit from the Zone 2 mall area.
- 5) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space preceding the final exit from the north stairwell from the Zone 3 Carpark area.

As per the above table, ASET > RSET for all locations.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

## 4.6 Smoke Barrier (ULT) Summary

The wall between the Level 1 Anchor and Level 1 carpark is intended to perform as a smoke barrier including the glazed partition. The Smoke Barrier assessment is not applicable for Zone 1 assessment on its own but have been provided as requested in Q&A log from stakeholders for future reference.

Temperature slice file has been placed in front of the wall between Anchor and carpark/mall.

As per Appendix D, the average upper layer temperature on the Anchor side did not exceed 200°C for the duration of fire model from both ground floor and first floor, hence any "smoke barrier" is considered to achieve smoke control performance for the duration of RSET.

Scenario	Location	Temperature (°C)
CE1	GF (between Anchor and Mall)	<50
CFI	L1 (between Anchor and Carpark)	<50
050	GF (between Anchor and Mall)	<117
CF2	L1 (between Anchor and Carpark)	<30
DOI	GF (between Anchor and Mall)	<40
KCI	L1 (between Anchor and Carpark)	<80

#### Table 6 - Smoke Barrier Summary



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

## Appendix A Design Scenario FO

To achieve C/VM2 Design Scenario FO, refer to the FENZ fire fighting facilities correspondence in a separate document.

Further to the correspondence with FENZ, for the purposes of NZBC C5.5:

• Internal hydrants are located in the north-east and south-east stairwell.

For the purposes of NZBC C6.3, burnout calculation has been carried out as per Section A.1 below. The south-east and north-east stairwell have been designed as exitways with the following fire resistance rating:

- The south-east stairwell that leads to Tay Street is provided with an FRR of (60)/60/60.
- The north-east stairwell that leads to Esk Street is provided with an FRR of (60)/60/60.

Master fire control room, FAP, mimic, FSI and HI locations are as per the FENZ firefighting facilities correspondence provided separately.

#### A.1 Burnout Calculation

Fire resistance rating to withstand full burnout is calculated using the Eurocode method (refer comment to paragraph 2.4 of C/VM2):

Both the ground floor and Level 1 of the anchor space is considered as the same firecell due to the escalator atrium that connects both floors. The burnout fire resistance rating calculation for the Anchor firecell and the childcare firecell is summarised below, with calculations included in Appendix A.1.

		Floor Area	Openir	ngs (m²)	Rating to	Proposed	
Firecell	FHC (At) (m <sup>2</sup> )		Vertical A <sub>v</sub> (Av/Af)	Horizontal A <sub>h</sub> (Ah/Af)	burnout (min.)	FRR (min.)	
Anchor (GF & L1)	2	3549	224.6	n/a	41	60	
Anchor (GF only)	2	3510	191	144.4	43	60	
Anchor (L1 - concrete roof)	2	3330	33.6	n/a	79	-	
Anchor (L1 – thin sheet steel roof)	2	3330	33.6	n/a	49	-	
			Weighted average		58	60	
Childcare	2	569	69	n/a	40	60	

#### Table 7 - Firecell Burnout Summary

Level 1 Anchor is considered as intermediate floor due to the escalator atrium opening that connects ground floor and Level 1 and are provided with an FRR of (30)/30/30. The total intermediate floor area is more than 40% of the firecell area, thus integrity and insulation ratings need to apply to the intermediate floor.

Attached is the following:



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

- Anchor Burnout Calculation
- L2 Childcare Burnout Calculation
- FSKA.1.01 FSKA.1.03









**Building Division** 

 Rev.
 Date
 Description

 A
 10.01.19
 PRELIMINARY DESIGN

 B
 05.02.19
 FOR PRELIMINARY COST

 C
 15.05.19
 FOR INFORMATION







TAY STREET & DEE STREET CORNER NVERCARGILL

T: +64 3 365 8855 holmesfire.com 136249 Measurement Status DEVELOPED DESIGN Date Plotted 7/08/2019 8:59:40 AM Date Issued 10.01.19 **INVERCARGILL CENTRAL - ZONE 1 PROPOSED ELEVATIONS** 

Z1-DD-A-2000

	BUCHAI
Revision	Christchurch Studio + 64 3 377 2973 / buchangroup.co.nz

FSK A.1.03

Α

V





	Project Title	Sketch Title	Drawn: ACC	Date: 31 / 07 /	2019
Holmes Fire LP 12, 254 Montreal St Christchurch New Zealand T: +64 3 365 8855 holmesfire.com	HWCP - Invercargill Central	Zone 1 Burnout Calculation Measurement - Rooftop	Project No. 136249	Sheet No. FSK A.1.04	Rev A

 Image
 <th



TINVERCARGILL CENTRAL - ZONE 1 TAY STREET & DEE STREET CORNER NVERCARGILL

1 2002

	ZONE 1
1	OVERALL BOOF F
- ( T )	I OVENALE NOOF I
	Z1-DD-A-1200
	- (Î

BUCHAN Christohurch Studio + 643.377.2773 / buchangroup.co.r.z







Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received 17 Dec 2019 Building Divisiont Fire	Inverc Co Buildin Au SeverApprove	argill C ouncil g Cons thority d Site	City Sent Copy	Approv 27	<b>/ed For Iss //02//2020</b> Bi	sue urnout C	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER 2019/1381
Centre for Ad	vanced Engineering, University	of Canterbury, 200	18. Ch. 6.4				Holmes
Ref 2: C/VM2 Verific For New Zeal	cation Method: Framework for Fi and Building Code Clauses C1-6	re Safety Design ( Protection from Fi	luly 2014) re				
Job No. Project Name By: Space: t <sub>e</sub> =e <sub>f</sub> k <sub>b</sub> k <sub>m</sub> w wher	: 136249 : HWCP Zone 1 Anchor ACC Anchor - GF & L1 ; (minutes) e: t <sub>o</sub> = e; t <sub>o</sub> = e; e k <sub>b</sub> = w <sub>f</sub> = k <sub>m</sub> =	Time equivalen Design fire load Thermal proper Ventilation fact Modification fa	ce fire severity d energy density ties conversion fa .or ctor for load-bea	Whole building - incl both ground floor an ictor ring structure material	uding d level 1		
F	irecell lining materials =	Normal weight co	ncrete ceiling and floor	rs 💌	k <sub>b</sub> =	0.065	
	Fire cell width, W =	45.5	m		w <sub>f</sub> =	(6/H)^0.3*(0.62+9	 20*(0.4-H27)^4/(1+(b <sub>v</sub> *H28)))
	Fire cell length, L =	78	m		Calculated w <sub>f</sub> =	1.56	w <sub>f</sub> >= 0.50 (C/VM2)
	Fire cell height, H =	9.3	m		Use w <sub>f</sub> =	1.56	
	Floor area, A <sub>f</sub> =	3549.0	m m <sup>2</sup>		Calcuted $a_v = A_v/A_f =$	0.063	$0.025 < - \alpha < - 0.25 (CAM2)$
F	lorizontal openings, $A_{\rm b}$ =	224.0	m <sup>2</sup>		$\alpha_{\rm h} = A_{\rm h}/A_{\rm f} =$	0.003	
Fire	Load Energy Density =	800 MJ/m2	•		b <sub>v</sub> = FLED =	20 812 MJ	b <sub>f</sub> >= 10
	F <sub>m</sub> factor for FLED = C/VM2 Table 2.2	Sprinklered: struct	ural elements	•	F <sub>m</sub> = e <sub>f</sub> =	0.50 406 MJ	
Burnou	t Rating for firecell, t <sub>e</sub> =	41	minutes				
Rating	for structural material =	Unprotected steel	members	-	k <sub>m</sub> =	1.00	km >= 1 (C/VM2)
Av H Time	leight of Openings, h <sub>eq</sub> = e equivalence value, t <sub>e</sub> =	2.3 41	m (relevant to u minutes	nprotected steel, only)	Av(heq)0.5/At=	0.036	0.02 <= to <=0.20

Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received <b>17 Dec 2019</b> Building Divisiont Fire	Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority SeverApproved Site Copy	Approv 27	ed For Iss (02/2020 в	ue Irnout ि	2019/1381
Ref 1: Spearpoint, M Centre for Ad Ref 2: C/VM2 Verific For New Zeald Job No. Project Name: By: Space:	LJ., Fire Engineering Design Guide - Third Edition, vanced Engineering, University of Canterbury, 2008. Ch. 6.4 ation Method: Framework for Fire Safety Design (July 2014) and Building Code Clauses C1-6 Protection from Fire 136249 HWCP Zone 1 Anchor ACC Ground Floor Anchor	Ground floor only.			Holmes
t <sub>e</sub> =e <sub>f</sub> k <sub>b</sub> k <sub>m</sub> w <sub>f</sub> where Fi	r (minutes) e: t <sub>e</sub> = Time equivalence fire severity e <sub>f</sub> = Design fire load energy density k <sub>b</sub> = Thermal properties conversion f w <sub>f</sub> = Ventilation factor k <sub>m</sub> = Modification factor for load-been irrecell lining materials = Normal weight concrete ceiling and flo	factor aring structure material ors	k <sub>b</sub> =	0.065	
H Fire	Fire cell width, W = 45 m Fire cell length, L = 78 m Fire cell height, H = 3.3 m Floor area, $A_f$ = 3510.0 m <sup>2</sup> Vertical openings, $A_v$ = 191.0 m <sup>2</sup> orizontal openings, $A_h$ = 191.0 m <sup>2</sup> Load Energy Density = 800 MJ/m <sup>2</sup> F <sub>m</sub> factor for FLED = Sprinklered: structural elements	<b>▼</b>	$\label{eq:wf} \begin{array}{l} w_f = ( \\ Calculated w_f = \\ Use w_f = \\ Calcuted a_v = A_v/A_f = \\ Use a_v = A_v/A_f = \\ a_h = A_h/A_f = \\ b_v = \\ FLED = \\ F_m = \end{array}$	6/H)^0.3*(0.62+91 1.60 1.60 0.054 0.054 0.04 19 822 MJ 0.50	)*(0.4-H27)^4/(1+(b <sub>v</sub> *H28))) w <sub>f</sub> >= 0.50 (C/VM2) 0.025 <= a <sub>v</sub> <= 0.25 (C/VM2) b <sub>f</sub> >= 10
Burnout Rating t Av H Time	C/VM2 Table 2.2 <b>t Rating for firecell, t</b> $_{0}$ = 43 minutes for structural material = Unprotected steel members leight of Openings, $h_{eq}$ = 1.9 m (relevant to requivalence value, $t_{e}$ = 43 minutes	▼ unprotected steel, only)	e <sub>f</sub> = k <sub>m</sub> = Av(heq)0.5/At=	411 MJ 1.00 0.034	km >= 1 (C/VM2) 0.02 <= to <=0.20

Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received 17 Dec 2019 Building Division t Fire Ref 1: Spearpoint, M Centre for Ad Ref 2: C/VM2 Verific For New Zeak	Invercargill ( Council Building Cons Authority Sever Appt Over Site	Copy R. Ch. 6.4 Ivily 2014) re	prove 27¢	ed For Iss 02//2020 вс	ue Irnout C	2019/1381 Holmes
Job No. Project Name By: Space:	136249 HWCP Zone 1 Anchor ACC L1 Anchor - Concrete roof	Level 1 on Note the r partial thir	ly - with cor oof to Leve n sheet stee	ncrete roof. l 1 is partial concrete l.	e and	
τ <sub>e</sub> =e <sub>f</sub> k <sub>b</sub> k <sub>m</sub> w when		ce fire severity d energy density ties conversion factor ior ictor for load-bearing structure	e material			]
-	Fire cell lining materials = Normal weight co Fire cell width, W = 45 Fire cell length, L = 74 Fire cell height, H = 3.3 Floor area, A <sub>f</sub> = 3330 Vortigel consistent A = 22.6	m m m m <sup>2</sup>	·	$k_b =$ $w_f = ($ Calculated $w_f =$ Use $w_f =$ Calcuted $a_v = A_v/A_f =$	0.065 6/H)^0.3*(0.62+9 2.87 2.87 0.010 0.025	°(0.4-H27)^4/(1+(b,*H28))) w₁>= 0.50 (C/VM2)
H	F m factor for FLED = $\frac{33.0}{800 \text{ M}/\text{m}^2}$	ural elements	•	$a_h = A_h/A_f = b_v = FLED = F_m =$	0.023 0.00 16 847 MJ 0.50	b <sub>1</sub> >= 10
Burnou Rating	C/VM2 Table 2.2 <b>Rating for firecell, t _ = 79</b> for structural material = Unprotected stee	<b>minutes</b> members	•	e <sub>f</sub> =k_m =	423.5 MJ 1.00	km ≻= 1 (C/VM2)
Av H Time	eight of Openings, h <sub>eq</sub> = 2.3 equivalence value, t <sub>e</sub> = 79	m (relevant to unprotected s minutes	steel, only)	Av(heq)0.5/At=	0.007	0.02 <= to <=0.20

Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received 17 Dec 2019 Building Division t Fire Ref 1: Spearpoint, N Centre for Ac Ref 2: C/VM2 Verific For New Zeal	Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Sever Approved Site Copy AJ., Fire Engineering, University of Canterbury, 2008. Ch. 6.4 station Method: Framework for Fire Safety Design (July 2014) and Building Code Clauses C1-6 Protection from Fire	Approv 27	red For Iss (02/2020 в	urnout C	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER 2019/1381 Holmes
Job No. Project Name By: Space:	136249 : HWCP Zone 1 Anchor ACC L1 Anchor - thin sheet steel roof	Level 1 only - with thir Note the roof to Level partial thin sheet stee	n sheet steel roof. 1 is partial concrete a l.	and	
t <sub>e</sub> =e <sub>f</sub> k <sub>b</sub> k <sub>m</sub> w wher	f (minutes) e: t <sub>e</sub> = Time equivalence fire severi ef = Design fire load energy den k <sub>b</sub> = Thermal properties conversi w <sub>f</sub> = Ventilation factor k <sub>m</sub> = Modification factor for load	ty sity on factor -bearing structure material system	k <sub>b</sub> =	0.04	]
	Fire cell width, W =45mFire cell length, L =74mFire cell height, H = $3.3$ mFloor area, $A_f =$ $3330$ m <sup>2</sup>		$w_{f} = \\ Calculated w_{f} = \\ Use w_{f} = \\ Calcuted a_{v} = A_{v}/A_{f} = \\ \end{cases}$	(6/H)^0.3*(0.62+9 2.87 2.87 0.010	0*(0.4-H27)^4/(1+(b,*H28)))) w <sub>t</sub> >= 0.50 (C/VM2)
Fire	Vertical openings, A <sub>v</sub> = 33.62 m <sup>2</sup> lorizontal openings, A <sub>h</sub> = m <sup>2</sup> Load Energy Density = 800 MJ/m2		Use $a_v = A_v/A_f =$ $a_h = A_h/A_f =$ $b_v =$ FLED =	0.025 0.00 16 847 MJ	0.025 <= a <sub>v</sub> <= 0.25 (C/VM2) b <sub>t</sub> >= 10
	F <sub>m</sub> factor for FLED = Sprinklered: structural elements C/VM2 Table 2.2	•	F <sub>m</sub> = e <sub>f</sub> =	0.50 423.5 MJ	
Rating Av F Time	t Rating for firecell, t • = 49 minutes for structural material = Unprotected steel members leight of Openings, h <sub>eq</sub> = 2.3 m (relevan e equivalence value, t <sub>e</sub> = 49 minutes	▼ [	k <sub>m</sub> = Av(heq)0.5/At=	1.00 0.007	km >= 1 (C/VM2) 0.02 <= to <=0.20

Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received <b>17 Dec 2019</b> Level 1 And Building Division	Invercargill City Council Building Consent hor Space - Weltherityrr Approved Site Copy	Approved For Issue 27/02/2020 Burnout BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER 2019/1381
Job No. Project Name: By: Space:	136249 HWCP Zone 1 Anchor ACC L1 Anchor	Level 1 only - weighted FRR calculation for Level 1 anchor space to include both the concrete roof and thin sheet steel roof.

1L	Area (m2)	Weighting factors	FRR	weighted FRR
Concrete roof thin sheet	1098	0.31	79.0	24.3
steel roof	2473	0.69	49.0	33.9
Total	3571	1.00		58

Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received <b>17 Dec 2019</b> Building Divisiont Fire	Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority severApproved Site Copy	Approv 27	ed For Iss (02/2020 Bu	ue Irnout C	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER 2019/1381
Ref 1: Spearpoint, N Centre for Ad Ref 2: C/VM2 Verific For New Zeak Job No. Project Name By: Space:	A.J., Fire Engineering Design Guide - Third Edition, vanced Engineering, University of Canterbury, 2008. Ch. 6.4 cation Method: Framework for Fire Safety Design (July 2014) and Building Code Clauses C1-6 Protection from Fire 136249 : HWCP Zone 1 Anchor ACC Zone 1 L2 Childcare Centre				Holmes
t <sub>e</sub> =e <sub>f</sub> k <sub>b</sub> k <sub>m</sub> w when	f (minutes) e: $t_e$ = Time equivalence fire severity $e_f$ = Design fire load energy density $k_b$ = Thermal properties conversion $w_f$ = Ventilation factor $k_m$ = Modification factor for load-be	J factor aring structure material			1
F	irecell lining materials = Normal weight concrete ceiling and flo	oors 💌	k <sub>b</sub> =	0.065	
	Fire cell width, W =m		w <sub>f</sub> = (	6/H)^0.3*(0.62+9	 10*(0.4-H27)^4/(1+(b <sub>v</sub> *H28)))
	Fire cell length, L = m Fire cell beight. H = 3 m		Calculated w <sub>f</sub> =	1.44 1.44	w <sub>f</sub> >= 0.50 (C/VM2)
	Floor area, $A_f = 569 \text{ m}^2$		Calcuted $a_v = A_v/A_f =$	0.121	
н	Vertical openings, $A_v = 68.58 m^2$ lorizontal openings, $A_h = m^2$		Use $a_v = A_v/A_f =$ $a_h = A_h/A_f =$	0.121 0.00	0.025 <= α, <= 0.25 (C/VM2)
Fire	e Load Energy Density = 800 MJ/m2 ▼		b <sub>v</sub> = FLED =	27 847 MJ	b <sub>f</sub> >= 10
	F <sub>m</sub> factor for FLED = Sprinklered: structural elements C/VM2 Table 2.2	•	F <sub>m</sub> = e <sub>f</sub> =	0.50 423.5 MJ	
Burnou	t Rating for firecell, t 。= 40 minutes				

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



## **Approved For Issue** 27/02/2020

**BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER** 

2019/1381

#### Appendix B RSET Assessment

#### **B.1 Childcare Centre RSET time - Hand Calculation**

RSET time for the Childcare centre has utilised hand calculation with a summary shown in Table 8 below and spreadsheet calculation shown in Section B.2.1 and B.2.2:

#### Table 8 - Childcare RSET Summary

CF	Smoke Detection Time, td (s)	RSET (without td) (s)	RSET <sup>1</sup> (s)
CF1	41	742	783
CF2	25	742	767
CF3	29	682	711
RC1	կկ	742	786

**Explanatory Notes:** 

The RSET time is taken till the last person leaving the building. 1)

#### **RSET time for fire located within the Childcare centre** B.1.1

Zone 1 Level 2 Childcare

Challenging Fire 3 - Fire located within the Childcare

RSET	Inputs	Valu	ie	1	
component					
t <sub>d</sub>	determined by B-Risk using C/VM2 input parameters (smoke act	tivation)	29	S	]
t n-	As per C/VM2		30 :	S	1
t <sub>pre</sub>	Pre-movement time (C/VM2 Table 3.3) for teachers		60 :	S	
t pre	Pre-movement time 60 seconds per staff per child (88 children +	Pre-movement time 60 seconds per staff per child (88 children + 12 staff)			
	88/12 = 8 trips to get children to buffer area 8 trips x 60 s each trip = 480 s				Buffer Area : 600 s
t tran	= distance / walking speed (= distance/S)	51			
	distance (assumed) = 35.4 m	1			
	S = 0.7 m	ı/s			
t flor	= distance / walking speed (= distance/S)	61			
	distance = 30.5 m	1			
	S = 0.5 m	1/s			
t move	= the combination of $t_{flow and} t_{trav}$	tflow	112 :	S	
RSET	$= (t_d + t_n + t_{pre}) + t_{move}$	RSET =	711 :	s	]

#### **B.1.2** RSET time for fire remote from Childcare centre

The RSET time for a fire remote from the Childcare centre did not include the detection time.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

## Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

### Zone 1 Level 2 Childcare

RSET for fire remote from the Childcare Centre

RSET	Inputs	Val	ue	1	
component					
t <sub>d</sub>	determined by B-Risk using C/VM2 input parameters (smoke activation)			s	
t n-	As per C/VM2		30	s	1
t pre	Pre-movement time (C/VM2 Table 3.3) for teachers		120	s	]
t <sub>pre</sub>	Pre-movement time 60 seconds per staff per child (88 children + 12 staff) 88/12 = 8 trips to get children to buffer area 8 trips x 60 s each trip = 480 s			s	Total time to Buffer Area = 600 s
t <sub>trav</sub>	= distance / walking speed (= distance/S) distance (assumed) = 35.4 m S = 0.7 m/s	51			
t <sub>flon</sub>	= distance / walking speed (= distance/S) distance = 30.5 m S = 0.5 m/s	61			
t move	= the combination of t <sub>flow and</sub> t <sub>trav</sub>	tflow	112	s	
RSET	$= (t_d + t_n + t_{pre}) + t_{move}$	RSET =	742	s	]



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

## B.2 EvacuatioNZ Model Input Data

A total of two egress scenarios are assessed, a base case and the non-base case as per agreed in the Zone 1 FEB for Challenging Fire 1 and 2. Refer to the master FEB for the sketches indicating the proposed egress distributions.

The following table summarises input data utilised for the RSET calculation within the EvacuatioNZ model for ground floor and first floor Anchor.

#### Table 9 - EvacuatioNZ Input Data

Input	Comment
Occupant Loads	For the base case egress model, we have limited the model to:
	Ground Floor Anchor.
	First Floor Anchor.
	For the non-base case egress model, we have included the following areas:
	Ground Floor Anchor.
	First Floor Anchor.
	<ul> <li>Zone 3 Carpark building L1 – L4 – utilising Zone 3 FEV occupant load.</li> </ul>
	• Zone 3 Carpark building L5 with an occupant load of 999 people.
	<ul> <li>Zone 2 Mall Ground Floor – utilising Master FEB occupant load.</li> </ul>
	Note the Zone 2 mall numbers may need to be refined at a later stage. If occupant load increased significantly in the future stage, we will re-address the assessment to incorporate the additional occupant load.
Egress Routes	As agreed in Master FEB.
Detection Time	Detection time is not included in EvacuatioNZ modelling results.
	For all Challenging Fires, smoke detector activation time is utilised for detection time (Time derived from FDS and B-Risk Results).
Notification Time	Notification time of 30 seconds is utilised as agreed in Master FEB.
	This is included in EvacuatioNZ modelling.
Pre-Movement Time	Pre-movement times, as agreed in the Master FEB, on basis of voice alarm:
	• 30 seconds, if within enclosure of fire origin.
	60 seconds, if remote from enclosure of fire origin.
Modelled Areas/Nodes	The actual floor areas have not been modelled in EvacuatioNZ., it is expected that queuing time will govern due to the number of occupants. Therefore, floor area (thus travel time) will not be a dominant factor.
	Hand calculation was carried out to verify that travel distance is not the governing factor on both ground floor and first floor but rather the queuing time. Therefore, the actual floor areas have not been modelled in EvacuatioNZ.
	Refer to attached EvacuatioNZ diagram for detailed model information with hand calculation validation shown in Appendix B.5.
Egress Widths	EvacuatioNZ makes deduction to the input clear widths to account for the boundary layer relative to a single opening.



Environmental & Dianning	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue	
Services I.C.C.	Council	27/02/2020	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	Building Consent		0040/4004
17 Dec 2019	Authority		2019/1381
Building Division	Approved Site Copy		

Input	Comment
Distribution Weighting	As agreed in Master FEB.





### B.3 RSET for base case

The following figure represents clearance times from each level for Base case for Challenging Fire 1, 2, 3 and RC1 utilising EvacuatioNZ model.









The following table summarises clearance times for various nodes:



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

2019/1381

#### Table 10 - Clearance Time for Base case.

Logation	Clearance Time <sup>2,3</sup> (excl. detection time) (s)			
Location	CF1, CF2 & RC1 <sup>2</sup>	CF3 <sup>3</sup>		
GF Anchor	168	198		
L1 Anchor	241	241		
L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>4</sup>	237	237		
L1 Anchor-S BoH⁵	194	194		
LO Stair 3 Landing <sup>6</sup>	254	254		
L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>7</sup>	226	226		

**Explanatory Notes:** 

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) The Clearance Time for Challenging Fire Scenarios CF1, CF2 and Robustness Check Scenario RC1 includes notification time of 30 sec and pre-movement time of 30 sec for ground floor anchor space and 60 sec for first floor anchor space.
- 3) The Clearance Time for Challenging Fire Scenario CF3 includes notification time of 30 sec and premovement time of 60 sec for ground floor and first floor anchor space.
- 4) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 5) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 6) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 7) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).

The following table summarises the RSET for various nodes:

CF	Location	Smoke Detection Time <sup>1</sup> (s)	RSET <sup>2</sup> (s)	
CF1	GF Anchor		209	
	L1 Anchor		282	Rev E
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>	41 -	278	
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>4</sup>		235	
	L0 Stair 3 Landing⁵		295	
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>6</sup>		267	
CF2	GF Anchor	25	193	

#### Table 11 - RSET time for Base case.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

CF	Location	Smoke Detection Time <sup>1</sup> (s)	RSET <sup>2</sup> (s)	
	L1 Anchor		266	Rev E
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>		262	
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>4</sup>		219	
	L0 Stair 3 Landing <sup>5</sup>		279	
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>6</sup>		251	
CF3	GF Anchor		227	
	L1 Anchor	29	270	Rev E
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>		266	
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>₄</sup>		223	
	L0 Stair 3 Landing⁵		283	
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>6</sup>		255	
RC1	GF Anchor	կկ	212	Rev B
	L1 Anchor		285	
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>		281	
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>₄</sup>		238	
	L0 Stair 3 Landing <sup>5</sup>		298	
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>6</sup>		270	

Explanatory notes:

- 1) Refer to Appendix C for a summary of the FDS results and smoke activation time.
- 2) RSET is calculated by the sum of 'Clearance Time' plus 'Smoke Detection Time.
- 3) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 4) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 5) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 6) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).

#### **B.3.1 Childcare centre Evacuation - Base Case**

Stair 3 from the anchor space is the designated share egress for both Level 1 Anchor space and L2 Childcare centre. As can be seen above in Table 11, the time taken for the L1 Anchor occupant to egress via stair 3 for all three challenging fires are less than 300 seconds while the pre-movement time for the childcare building is 600 seconds for a fire within the Childcare centre and 660 seconds for a fire remote



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

from the Childcare centre. Therefore, the egress time from the Childcare centre can be considered as isolated from the remainder of the building.

Attached is the following:

- EvacNZ model Setup FSK Z1 B.3.01
- Base case EvacuatioNZ Setup.
- Base case EvacuatioNZ Output file.







GF Anchor (106) 12.21 m by 28.82 m Pre-travel activity time = 60.0 seconds 629 agents (46.7%)

GF Anchor to mall

Nall-Zone 2 (10)

Safe

ate (0.0%

Length : 1.00 m Door - Width : 3.00 m Weighting : 38.00

L1 Anchor to Carpark Length : 1.00 m Door - Width : 2.00 m Weighting : 50.00



L1 entrance to Stair 3 Length : 1.00 m Door - Width : 1.55 m Weighting : 25.00

> L1-L0 Stair3 Length : 1.00 m Stairs - Width : 1.80 m (100) . 1.80 m .0%) Tread : 0.26 m, Riser : 0.19 m L1 S3 landing Length : 1.00 m Stairs - Width : 1.80 m Tread : 0.26 m, Riser : 0.19 m .0 Staira⊾ 5.30 m by 1.8 0 agents tQ(∩ L0 Stair3 La )%) Stair 3 Final Exit Length : 1.00 m Door - Width : 1.55 m ate Place-stair3 (104 Safe





GF Anchor - Tay St Length : 1.00 m Door - Width : 1.60 m Weighting : 25.00

GF Anchor Sale Place-Tay St (10) Safe



Michael Spearpoint University of Canterbury version 2.11 - Holmes Fire release (Sep 19 2016)

This software version is exclusively licensed to Holmes Fire Ltd and is not to be used by any other party.

#### Contents

<u>Nodes</u> <u>Connections</u> <u>Population</u> <u>Results</u>

#### Nodes

Node L1 Carpark - Safe Connections : L1 Anchor to Carpark,

Node **Mall-Zone 2** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor to mall</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor - Tay St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor- Esk St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor** Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : GF Anchor to mall, GF Anchor - Tay St, GF Anchor- Esk St,

Node **Safe Place-stair4** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 4 Final Exit</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair3** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 3 Final Exit</u>,

Node **L1 Stair4 Landing** Dimensions 4.50 m by 2.10 m Connections : <u>L1-L0 Stair4</u>, <u>L1 entrance to Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Stair4** Dimensions 9.20 m by 1.80 m Connections : L0 S4 landing, L1-L0 Stair4,

Node L1 Stair3 Landing

# Approved Fiendssue

Dimensions 12.60 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>L1 entrance to Stair</u> 3, <u>L1-L0 Stair3</u>,

Node **L1 Stair3** Dimensions 9.50 m by 1.80 m Connections : L1 S3 landing, L1-L0 Stair3,

Node **L1 Anchor-S BoH** Dimensions 5.90 m by 2.00 m Connections : <u>L1 Anchor to S BoH</u>, <u>L1 entrance to Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Anchor** Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : <u>L1 entrance to Stair 3</u>, <u>L1 Anchor to S BoH</u>, <u>L1 Anchor to Carpark</u>,

Node **L0 Stair4 landing** Dimensions 4.10 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>Stair 4 Final Exit</u>, <u>L0 S4 landing</u>,

Node **L0 Stair3 Landing** Dimensions 5.30 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>Stair 3 Final Exit</u>, <u>L1 S3 landina</u>,

#### Connections

Connection L1-L0 Stair3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair3 Landing to L1 Stair3 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 S3 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair3 to L0 Stair3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 entrance to Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Anchor-S BoH to L1 Stair4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection L1-L0 Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair4 Landing to L1 Stair4 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L0 S4 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair4 to L0 Stair4 landing (target node for required path)

Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m
Connection Stair 4 Final Exit

Length 1.00 m Connecting L<u>0 Stair4 landing</u> to <u>Safe Place-stair4</u> (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection **Stair 3 Final Exit** Length 1.00 m



EvacuatioNZ results file	Invercargill City Pa	age 3 of 4	Approved Fiendssue	_	Page 4 of 4
vironmental & Planning Services I.C.C.	Council		27/02/2020		BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	Building Consent	EvacuatioNZ model for:	21/02/2020		Appendix B.3
Connecting L0 Stair3 Landing to Safe PL	ade-stair3 (target node for required path)	Base Case	Last agent to leave node L1 Ancho	r-S BoH was 'Agent #7' at 193.5	<u>م 2019/1381 ما</u>
1700e02019.55 m, specific flow 1.33 pe	ersons/s per m en Avernoerityed by closer)	- Challenging Fire 1 (CF1) - Challenging Fire 2 (CF2)	Last agent to leave node L1 Ancho Last agent to leave node L0 Stair4	landing was 'Agent #12' at 241.0 s	sQ Time for last
Building Division Anchor to Carpark	Approved Site Copy	- Robustness Check (RC1)	Last agent to leave node L0 Stair3	Landing was 'Agent #1' at 25:	so occupant to enter
Connecting <u>L1 Anchor</u> to <u>L1 Carpark</u> (ta	rget node for required path)		Total number of agents in safe nod	le <u>L1 Carpark</u> = 340	gueuing in front
Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 pe	ersons/s per m eff. width		Total number of agents in safe nod Total number of agents in safe nod	le <u>Mall-Zone 2</u> = 240 le GE Anchor Safe Place-Tay St =	147 of stair 4)
Connection L1 Anchor to S BoH			Total number of agents in safe nod	e <u>GF Anchor Safe Place-Esk St</u> =	242
Length 1.00 m	H (target node for required nath)		Total number of agents in safe nod Total number of agents in safe nod	e <u>Safe Place-stair4</u> = 166 e Safe Place-stair3 = <b>21</b> 3	$\backslash$
Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 pe	ersons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)		T		
Connection 1.1 entrance to Stair 2			Total evacuation time = $253.50$ s	<b>^</b>	
Length 1.00 m					
Connecting <u>L1 Anchor</u> to <u>L1 Stair3 Land</u>	ing (target node for required path)		Completed at 13:59:41 on Tuesday 27 August	Time for last person from Level 1	
boor what 1.55 m, specific now 1.55 p	ersons/s per m en. width (restricted by closer)			Anchor to leave stair 3. This stair	is
Connection <b>GF Anchor- Esk St</b>				the Childcare Centre on Level 2.	
Connecting <u>GF Anchor</u> to <u>GF Anchor Saf</u>	e Place-Esk St (target node for required path)				<u> </u>
Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 pe	ersons/s per m eff. width				Time for last person from Level 1
Connection GF Anchor - Tay St			Time to clear L1 Anchor space (last person to enter into L1 carpark)		Anchor to leave stair 4.
Length 1.00 m Connecting GF Anchor to GF Anchor Saf	e Place-Tay St (target node for required path)		P		
Door width 1.60 m, specific flow 1.33 pe	ersons/s per m eff. width				
Connection GF Anchor to mall					
Length 1.00 m	areat pade for required path)				
Door width 3.00 m, specific flow 1.33 pe	ersons/s per m eff. width				
Population					
Name Number Density (agen	ts/m <sup>2</sup> ) Density (m <sup>2</sup> /agent)				
L1 Anchor 719 2.04	0.49				
GF Anchor 629 1.79	0.56				
Results					
Simulation run 1 : Total number of ager	nts = 1348	to clear GF			
Node <u>GF Anchor</u> clear at 167.5 s	Ancho	or space			
Node L1 Anchor clear at 241.0 s					
Last agent to leave node GF Anchor was	s 'Agent #720' at 167.5 s	clast occupant to enter			
Last agent to leave node L1 Stair4 Land	ing was 'Agent #12' at 210.5 s 'Agent #12' at 220.0 s	r 3 (i.e. no queuing in front			
Last agent to leave node L1 Stair3 Land	ing was 'Agent #1' at 236.5 s of stair a	3)			
Last agent to leave node L1 Stair3 was	'Agent #1' at 248.5 s				
file:///S:/136249/4.0%20HF%20DESIGN	/6%20Calculations%20&%20Verifications/ 27	7/08/2019	file:///S:/136249/4.0%20HF%20DES	SIGN/6%20Calculations%20&%20	Verifications/ 27/08/2019



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Pre-travel activity delays



Agent densities and numbers







EvacuatioNZ results file	Invercargi	II City Page	1 of 4	
Services I.C.C.	Cound	<del>il</del>		_
Document Received	Building Co	EvacuatioNZ model	for:	
Results file for EvacuatioNZ version 2.11 (Sep 19 2016 <b>17 Dec 2019</b> DESIGN\6 Calculations & Verificatio Childcare\results_13624 Started at 14.47:16 on Tuesday 27 August 2019		Blaced set Challenging Fire 3 Pre-movement time	(CF3) Childcare Fire for GF and 1L	
Building Division	Approved Si	te <sup></sup> @opy=60 s	ec	
Eva	acuatioNZ			

Michael Spearpoint University of Canterbury version 2.11 - Holmes Fire release (Sep 19 2016)

This software version is exclusively licensed to Holmes Fire Ltd and is not to be used by any other party.

#### Contents

<u>Nodes</u> <u>Connections</u> <u>Population</u> <u>Results</u>

#### Nodes

Node L1 Carpark - Safe Connections : L1 Anchor to Carpark,

Node **Mall-Zone 2** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor to mall</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor - Tay St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor- Esk St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor** Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : GF Anchor to mall, GF Anchor - Tay St, GF Anchor- Esk St,

Node **Safe Place-stair4** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 4 Final Exit</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair3** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 3 Final Exit</u>,

Node **L1 Stair4 Landing** Dimensions 4.50 m by 2.10 m Connections : <u>L1-L0 Stair4</u>, <u>L1 entrance to Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Stair4** Dimensions 9.20 m by 1.80 m Connections : L0 S4 landing, L1-L0 Stair4,

Node L1 Stair3 Landing

## Approved Fieldsue 27/02/2020

Dimensions 12.60 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>L1 entrance to Stair 3</u>, <u>L1-L0 Stair3</u>,

Node **L1 Stair3** Dimensions 9.50 m by 1.80 m Connections : L1 S3 landing, L1-L0 Stair3,

Node **L1 Anchor-S BoH** Dimensions 5.90 m by 2.00 m Connections : <u>L1 Anchor to S BoH</u>, <u>L1 entrance to Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Anchor** Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : <u>L1 entrance to Stair 3</u>, <u>L1 Anchor to S BoH</u>, <u>L1 Anchor to Carpark</u>,

Node **L0 Stair4 landing** Dimensions 4.10 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>Stair 4 Final Exit</u>, <u>L0 S4 landing</u>,

Node **L0 Stair3 Landing** Dimensions 5.30 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>Stair 3 Final Exit</u>, <u>L1 S3 landina</u>,

#### Connections

Connection L1-L0 Stair3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair3 Landing to L1 Stair3 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 S3 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair3 to L0 Stair3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 entrance to Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Anchor-S BoH to L1 Stair4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection L1-L0 Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair4 Landing to L1 Stair4 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L0 S4 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair4 to L0 Stair4 landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection **Stair 4 Final Exit** Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>L0 Stair4 landing</u> to <u>Safe Place-stair4</u> (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection **Stair 3 Final Exit** Length 1.00 m





17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

### B.4 RSET for non-base case

The RSET time for Non-Base case is shown below. The non-base case egress model includes the following areas:

- Zone 2 ground floor and level one mall area, and
- Zone 3 Carpark area (utilizing the non-base case scenario where a crowd activity occurs on L5 of the carpark).

The following figure represents clearance times taken from EvacuatioNZ model for Non-Base case.







Figure 4 - EvacuatioNZ Node Clearance Times for Non-Base case CF3.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



## Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

#### The following table summarises clearance times for various nodes:

#### Table 12 - Output Data for Non-Base case.

Looption1	Clearance Time <sup>2,3</sup> (excl. detection time) (s)		
Location	CF1, CF2 & RC1 <sup>2</sup>	CF3 <sup>3</sup>	
GF Anchor	158	188	
L1 Anchor	243	243	
L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>4</sup>	229	229	
L1 Anchor-S BoH⁵	199	199	
L1 Carpark	751	802	
N Circulation <sup>6</sup>	307	321	
Corridor <sup>7</sup>	1496	1492	
L0 Stair3 Landing <sup>8</sup>	246	246	
L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>9</sup>	232	232	

**Explanatory Notes:** 

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) The Clearance Time for Challenging Fire Scenarios CF1, CF2 and Robustness Check Scenario RC1 includes notification time of 30 sec and pre-movement time of 30 sec for ground floor anchor space and 60 sec for first floor anchor space.
- 3) The Clearance Time for Challenging Fire Scenario CF3 includes notification time of 30 sec and premovement time of 60 sec for ground floor and first floor anchor space.
- 4) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 5) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 6) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from the Zone 2 ground floor mall space and is taken as the node where the last person leaves GF Anchor.
- 7) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Zone 3 Carpark building.
- 8) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 9) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).

The following table summarises RSET for various nodes:


17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

## Table 13 - RSET time for Non-Base case.

CF	Location	Smoke Detector Activation Time <sup>1</sup> (s)	RSET <sup>2</sup> (s)
	GF Anchor		199
	L1 Anchor		284
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>		270
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>4</sup>		240
CF1	L1 Carpark	41	792
	N Circulation <sup>5</sup>		348
	Corridor <sup>6</sup>		1537
	L0 Stair3 Landing <sup>7</sup>		287
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>8</sup>		273
	GF Anchor		183
	L1 Anchor		268
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>		254
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>4</sup>		224
CF2	L1 Carpark	25	776
	N Circulation⁵		332
	Corridor <sup>6</sup>		1521
	L0 Stair3 Landing <sup>7</sup>		271
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>8</sup>		257
	GF Anchor		217
	L1 Anchor		272
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>		258
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>4</sup>		228
CF3	L1 Carpark	29	831
	N Circulation⁵		350
	Corridor <sup>6</sup>		1521
	L0 Stair3 Landing <sup>7</sup>		275
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>8</sup>		261
	GF Anchor		202
DC1	L1 Anchor	ևև	287
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>	TT	273
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>4</sup>		243



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



2019/1381

CF	Location	Smoke Detector Activation Time <sup>1</sup> (s)	RSET <sup>2</sup> (s)
	L1 Carpark		795
	N Circulation <sup>5</sup>		351
	Corridor <sup>6</sup>		1540
	L0 Stair3 Landing <sup>7</sup>		290
	L0 Stair 4 landing <sup>8</sup>		276

Explanatory notes:

- 1) Refer to Appendix C for a summary of the FDS results and smoke activation time.
- 2) RSET is calculated by the sum of Clearance Time plus smoke detector activation time.
- 3) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 4) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 5) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from the Zone 2 ground floor mall space and is taken as the node where the last person leaves GF Anchor.
- 6) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Zone 3 Carpark building and is taken as the node where the last per son leaves L1 Anchor.
- 7) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 8) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).

# B.4.1 Childcare Centre Evacuation - Non-Base Case

Stair 3 from the anchor space is the designated share egress for both Level 1 Anchor space and L2 Childcare centre. As can be seen above in Table 13, the time taken for the L1 Anchor occupant to egress via stair 3 for all three challenging fires are less than 300 seconds while the pre-movement time for the childcare building is 600 seconds for a fire within the Childcare centre and 660 seconds for a fire remote from the Childcare centre. Therefore, the egress time from the Childcare centre can be considered as isolated from the remainder of the building.

Attached is the following:

- EvacNZ model Setup FSK B.01 B.06
- Non-Base case EvacuatioNZ Setup.
- Non-Base case EvacuatioNZ Output file.

















Note types ( Notification Time







Michael Spearpoint University of Canterbury version 2.11 - Holmes Fire release (Sep 19 2016)

This software version is exclusively licensed to Holmes Fire Ltd and is not to be used by any other party.

#### Contents

<u>Nodes</u> <u>Connections</u> <u>Population</u> <u>Results</u>

#### Nodes

Node **Mall- NW-HOS-Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>N HOS to HOS Esk St</u>,

Node **Mall- NW-F&B-Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>N HOS to F&B Esk St</u>,

Node **N F&B** Dimensions 8.48 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>N F&B to N Cir</u>, <u>N HOS-2 to N F&B</u>, <u>N HOS-1 to N F&B</u>,

Node N HOS-2 Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : N HOS-2 to N F&B, N HOS to HOS Esk St, N HOS to F&B Esk St, N HOS-1 to 2,

Node **N HOS-1** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>N HOS-1 to N F&B, N HOS-1 to 2</u>,

Node **Mall-SW- Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>S F&B to Tay St</u>,

Node **S F&B** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>W cir to S F&B</u>, <u>S F&B seat to F&B</u>, <u>S F&B to Tay St</u>,

Node **S F&B Seating** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>S F&B to S Cir, S F&B seat to F&B</u>,

Node **N Retail** Dimensions 7.30 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>N Retail to N cir</u>,

# Approved FionIssue 27/02/2020

Node Mall- NW-Safe Place-Esk St - Safe Connections : <u>N Cir to NW Esk St</u>,



2019/1381

Node N Circulation

Dimensions 3.00 m by 29.01 m Connections : <u>E cir to N cir</u>, <u>N F&B to N Cir</u>, <u>W Cir to N Cir</u>, <u>N Retail to N cir</u>, <u>N Cir to NW Esk St</u>, <u>N Cent. Retail to N Cir</u>, <u>NE retail to E Cir</u>, <u>N Cir to NE Esk St</u>,

Node N Central Retail

Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>N Cent. Retail to W Cir</u>, <u>N Cent. retail to E Cir</u>, <u>N Cent. Retail to N Cir</u>,

Node **W Circulation** Dimensions 37.89 m by 5.86 m Connections : <u>S cir to W cir</u>, <u>W cir to S F&B</u>, <u>W Cir to N Cir</u>, <u>N Cent. Retail to W Cir</u>, <u>S Cent.</u> <u>Retail to W Cir</u>,

Node **S Central Retail** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>S Cent. Retail to W Cir, S Cent. Retail to E Cir, S Cent. Retail to S Cir</u>,

Node **Mall-SE- Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>S Retail to Tay st</u>,

Node **S Retail** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>S Retail to Tay st</u>, <u>S Retail to S Cir</u>,

Node **S Circulation** Dimensions 5.30 m by 32.13 m Connections : <u>S cir to W cir</u>, <u>S F&B to S Cir</u>, <u>S Cent. Retail to S Cir</u>, <u>S Retail to S Cir</u>, <u>S Cir to E</u> <u>Cir</u>,

Node **NE Retail** Dimensions 7.54 m by 12.80 m Connections : <u>NE retail to E Cir</u>,

Node **E Retail** Dimensions 7.54 m by 12.80 m Connections : <u>E Retail to E cir</u>,

Node **Mail- NE-Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>N Cir to NE Esk St</u>,

Node **E Circulation** Dimensions 30.49 m by 5.86 m Connections : <u>E cir to N cir</u>, <u>N Cent. retail to E Cir</u>, <u>S Cent. Retail to E Cir</u>, <u>S Cir to E Cir</u>, <u>E Retail</u> to E cir, <u>GF Anchor to mall</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor - Tay St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor- Esk St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor** Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : <u>GF Anchor to mall</u>, <u>GF Anchor - Tay St</u>, <u>GF Anchor- Esk St</u>, EvacuatioNZ results file Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C.

### **Document Received**

Node **Z2 Intermediate Floor 17 Dec 2019** 5.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : <u>Z2 to L1 Carpark</u>,

Building Division Node Safe Place-stair4 - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 4 Final Exit</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair3** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 3 Final Exit</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair2.4** - Safe Connections : <u>L1-L0 stair2.4</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair2.3** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 2.3 Final Exit</u>,

Node L5 Stair2.4 stair Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5 Stair2.4 landing to stair, L5 Stair2.4 to L4 landing,

Node L5 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5 Stair2.4 landing to stair, L5CS to L5S2.4L,

Node L5 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L5-L4 Stair2.3,

Node L5 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L5 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L5CN to L5S2.3L,

Node L5 Carpark Dimensions 30.00 m by 47.80 m Connections : L5CN to L5S2.3L, L5CS to L5S2.4L,

Node L4 Stair2.4 Stair Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5-L4 Stair2.4, L4 Stair2.4 to L3 landing,

Node L4 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5-L4 Stair2.4, L4CS to L4S2.4L, L5 Stair2.4 to L4 landing,

Node L4 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L4 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L4-L3 Stair2.3

Node L4 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L4 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L4CN to L4S2.3L, L5-L4 Stair2.3,

Node L4 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : L4CN to L4S2.3L, L4CS to L4S2.4L,

Node L3 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Invercargill City Page 3 of 14 Council Building Consent n-base Case Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved Fieldsue 27/02/2020

Connections : L4-L3 Stair2.4, L3CS to L3S2.4L, L4 Stair2.4 to L3

Node L3 Stair2.3 Stair

Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : <u>L4-L3 Stair2.4</u>, <u>L3 Stair2.4 to L2 landing</u>,

Node L3 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L3 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L3-L2 Stair2.3,

Node L3 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L3 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L3CN to L3S2.3L, L4-L3 Stair2.3, Page 4 of 14

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

Node L3 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : L3CS to L3S2.4L, L3CN to L3S2.3L,

Node L2 Stair2.4 Stair Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L3-L2 Stair2.4, L2 Stair2.4 to L1 landing,

Node L2 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L3-L2 Stair2.4, L2CS to L2S2.4L, L3 Stair2.4 to L2 landing,

Node L2 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L2 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L2-L1 Stair2.3,

Node **L2 Stair2.3 Landing** Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : <u>L2 Stair2.3 landing to stair</u>, <u>L2CN to L2S2.3L</u>, <u>L3-L2 Stair2.3</u>,

Node L2 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : L2CS to L2S2.4L, L2CN to L2S2.3L,

Node **L1 Stair4 Landing** Dimensions 4.50 m by 2.10 m Connections : <u>L1-L0 Stair4</u>, <u>L1 entrance to Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Stair4** Dimensions 9.20 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>L0 S4 landing</u>, <u>L1-L0 Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Stair3 Landing** Dimensions 12.60 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>L1 entrance to Stair 3</u>, <u>L1-L0 Stair3</u>,

Node L1 Stair3 Dimensions 9.50 m by 1.80 m Connections : L1 S3 landing, L1-L0 Stair3,

Node **L1 Stair2.4 Stair** Dimensions 17.10 m by 1.20 m Connections : <u>L2-L1 Stair2.4</u>, <u>L1-L0 stair2.4</u>,

Invercargill City Page 5 of 14 EvacuatioNZ results file **Environmental & Planning** Council Services I.C.C. EvacuatioNZ model for: **Document Received** Building Consentin-base Case Node L1 Stair2.4 Landing - Challenging Fire 1 (CF1) Connections : L2-L1 Stair2.4, L1CS to L1S2.4L, L2 Stair2.4 to L1 landing 17 Dec 2019 .60 m by 1.20 m - Challenging Fire 2 (CF2) Approved Site Copy Check (RC1) **Building Division** 

Node L1 Stair 2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L1 Stair 2.3 landing to stair, L1-L0 Stiar 2.3

Node L1 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L1 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L1CN to L1S2.3L, L2-L1 Stair2.3,

Node L1 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : <u>Z2 to L1 Carpark</u>, <u>L1 Anchor to Carpark</u>, <u>L1CS to L1S2.4L</u>, <u>L1CN to L1S2.3L</u>,

Node L1 Anchor-S BOH Dimensions 5.90 m by 2.00 m Connections : L1 Anchor to S BoH, L1 entrance to Stair4,

Node **L1 Anchor** Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : L1 entrance to Stair 3, L1 Anchor to S BoH, L1 Anchor to Carpark,

Node **L0 Stair4 landing** Dimensions 4.10 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>Stair 4 Final Exit</u>, <u>L0 S4 landing</u>,

Node **L0 Stair3 Landing** Dimensions 5.30 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>Stair 3 Final Exit</u>, <u>L1 S3 landing</u>,

Node **L0 Stair2.3 Landing** Dimensions 3.40 m by 1.60 m Connections : <u>L1-L0 Stiar2.3</u>, <u>L0 Stair2.3 landing to corridor</u>,

Node **Corridor** Dimensions 22.00 m by 1.80 m Connections : Stair 2.3 Final Exit, L0 Stair2.3 landing to corridor,

### Connections

Connection **L0 Stair2.3 landing to corridor** Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>L0 Stair2.3 Landing</u> to <u>Corridor</u> (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection **Stair 2.3 Final Exit** Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>Corridor</u> to <u>Safe Place-stair2.3</u> (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection L1-L0 stair2.4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair2.4 Stair to Safe Place-stair2.4 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

# Approved Fordssue 27/02/2020



Length 1.00 m Connecting L2 Stair2.4 Stair to L1 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L3 Stair2.4 to L2 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Stair2.3 Stair to L2 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L4 Stair2.4 to L3 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L4 Stair2.4 Stair to L3 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L5 Stair2.4 to L4 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Stair2.4 stair to L4 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1-L0 Stiar2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair2.3 Stair to L0 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L2-L1 Stair2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L2 Stair2.3 Stair to L1 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L3-L2 Stair2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Stair2.3 Stair to L2 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L4-L3 Stair2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L4 Stair2.3 Stair to L3 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L5CS to L5S2.4L Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Carpark to L5 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L5CN to L5S2.3L Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Carpark to L5 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L5-L4 Stair2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Stair2.3 Stair to L4 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1CN to L1S2.3L Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Carpark to L1 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path)

Page 6 of 14

2019/1381

EvacuatioNZ results file	Invercargill City Page 7 of 14
Services I.C.C.	Council
Document Received	Building Consent hase Case
Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. widter - Challenging Fire 1 (CF1)
Connection L1CS to L1S2 4	AUTOORITY - Challenging Fire 2 (CF2)
Building Division	Approved Site Copy Check (RC1)

Connecting L1 Carpark to L1 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

### Connection L2CN to L2S2.3L

Length 1.00 m Connecting L2 Carpark to L2 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L2CS to L2S2.4L Length 1.00 m

Connecting L2 Carpark to L2 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L3CN to L3S2.3L Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Carpark to L3 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L3CS to L3S2.4L Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Carpark to L3 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L4CS to L4S2.4L Length 1.00 m Connecting L4 Carpark to L4 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L4CN to L4S2.3L Length 1.00 m Connecting L4 Carpark to L4 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L5 Stair2.4 landing to stair Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Stair2.4 Landing to L5 Stair2.4 stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L5-L4 Stair2.4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L4 Stair2.4 Landing to L4 Stair2.4 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L4-L3 Stair2.4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Stair2.4 Landing to L3 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L3-L2 Stair2.4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L2 Stair2.4 Landing to L2 Stair2.4 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L2-L1 Stair2.4



Length 1.00 m

Connecting L1 Stair2.4 Landing to L1 Stair2.4 Stair (target node Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L5 Stair2.3 landing to stair

Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Stair2.3 Landing to L5 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L4 Stair2.3 landing to stair Lenath 1.00 m

Connecting L4 Stair2.3 Landing to L4 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L3 Stair2.3 landing to stair Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Stair2.3 Landing to L3 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L2 Stair2.3 landing to stair Length 1.00 m Connecting L2 Stair2.3 Landing to L2 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 Stair2.3 landing to stair Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair2.3 Landing to L1 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1-L0 Stair3 Lenath 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair3 Landing to L1 Stair3 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 S3 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair3 to L0 Stair3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 entrance to Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Anchor-S BoH to L1 Stair4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection L1-L0 Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair4 Landing to L1 Stair4 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L0 S4 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair4 to L0 Stair4 landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection Stair 4 Final Exit Length 1.00 m Connecting LO Stair4 landing to Safe Place-stair4 (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Page 8 of 14 BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

EvacuatioNZ results file		e 9 of 14	Approved-Fightssue	Page 10 of 14
Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C.	Council		27/02/2020	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	<b>Building Consent</b>	EvacuatioNZ model for:		Appendix B.4
Connection Stair 3 Final Exit	Authority	- Challenging Fire 1 (CF1)	Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	<sup>h</sup> 2019/1381
Connecting LO Stair3 Landing to Safe Place	e-stair3 (target node for required path)	- Challenging Fire 2 (CF2)	Connection S Retail to S Cir	
Building Division	sons/sApproved(Site Copyr)	- RODUSTIESS CHECK (RCI)	Length 1.00 m	ath)
Connection L1 Anchor to Carpark		_	Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	h
Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Anchor to L1 Carpark (targ	et node for required path)		Connection S Petail to Tay st	
Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m	
Connection <b>Z2 to L1 Carpark</b>			Connecting <u>S Retail</u> to <u>Mall-SE- Safe Place-Tay St</u> (target node Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff, width	for required path) h
Length 1.00 m				
Door width 1.86 m, specific flow 1.33 per	arpark (target node for required path) sons/s per m eff. width		Connection S Cent. Retail to S Cir Length 1.00 m	
			Connecting <u>S Central Retail</u> to <u>S Circulation</u> (target node for re	quired path)
Length 1.00 m			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	n
Connecting L1 Anchor to L1 Anchor-S Bol	(target node for required path)		Connection S Cent. Retail to E Cir	
Door math 1.55 m, specific now 1.55 per	sons/s per in en. width (restricted by closer)		Connecting <u>S Central Retail</u> to <u>E Circulation</u> (target node for re	quired path)
Connection L1 entrance to Stair 3			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	h
Connecting <u>L1 Anchor</u> to <u>L1 Stair3 Landin</u>	q (target node for required path)		Connection S Cent. Retail to W Cir	
Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)		Length 1.00 m	quired path)
Connection GF Anchor- Esk St			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	h
Length 1.00 m	Place-Esk St (target node for required nath)		Connection N Cont. Detail to N Cir	
Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m	
Connection GF Anchor - Tay St			Connecting <u>N Central Retail</u> to <u>N Circulation</u> (target node for re Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff, width	quired path) h
Length 1.00 m				
Door width 1.60 m, specific flow 1.33 per	<u>Place-Tay St</u> (target node for required path) sons/s per m eff. width		Connection <b>N Cent. retail to E Cir</b> Lenath 1.00 m	
			Connecting <u>N Central Retail</u> to <u>E Circulation</u> (target node for re	quired path)
Length 1.00 m			Door width 4.20 m, specific now 1.55 persons/s per m en. wid	11
Connecting <u>GF Anchor</u> to <u>E Circulation</u> (ta	rget node for required path)		Connection N Cent. Retail to W Cir	
			Connecting <u>N Central Retail</u> to <u>W Circulation</u> (target node for re	quired path)
Connection <b>N Cir to NE Esk St</b> Length 1.00 m			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	h
Connecting <u>N Circulation</u> to <u>Mall- NE-Safe</u>	<u>Place-Esk St</u> (target node for required path)		Connection N Cir to NW Esk St	
Door width 3.90 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m Connecting N Circulation to Mall- NW-Safe Place-Esk St (target	node for required path)
Connection <b>NE retail to E Cir</b>			Door width 3.50 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	h
Connecting <u>NE Retail</u> to <u>N Circulation</u> (tar	get node for required path)		Connection N Retail to N cir	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m	
Connection E Retail to E cir			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. widt	h
Length 1.00 m Connecting F Retail to F Circulation (target	et node for required nath)		Connection W Cir to N Cir	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m	
Connection S Cir to F Cir			Connecting <u>W Circulation</u> to <u>N Circulation</u> (target node for requ	ired path) h
Length 1.00 m				
Connecting <u>S Circulation</u> to <u>E Circulation</u> (	target node for required path)		Connection S F&B to Tay St	

EvacuatioNZ results file	Invercargill City	Page 11 of 14
Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C.	Council	
Document Received	Building Consent	EvacuatioNZ model for:
Length 1.00 m 17 Dec 2019: F&B to Mall-SW- Safe Place	Tay St (target note the required path)	- Challenging Fire 1 (CF1)
Door width 2.60 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	- Challenging Fire 2 (CF2)
Building Division Connection S F&B seat to F&B	Approved Site Cop	
Length 1.00 m Connecting S E&B Seating to S E&B (targ	et node for required nath)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection S F&B to S Cir		
Length 1.00 m Connecting S F&B Seating to S Circulation	(target node for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection W cir to S F&B		
Length 1.00 m Connecting W Circulation to S F&B (targe	t node for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection N HOS-1 to 2		
Length 1.00 m Connecting N HOS-1 to N HOS-2 (target)	node for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection N HOS-1 to N F&B		
Length 1.00 m Connecting N HOS-1 to N F&B (target no	de for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection N HOS to F&B Esk St		
Connecting N HOS-2 to Mall- NW-F&B-Sa	fe Place-Esk St (target node for required	path)
Door width 1.60 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection N HOS to HOS Esk St		
Connecting <u>N HOS-2</u> to <u>Mall- NW-HOS-Sa</u>	afe Place-Esk St (target node for required	path)
Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection N HOS-2 to N F&B		
Connecting N HOS-2 to N F&B (target not	de for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection N F&B to N Cir		
Connecting <u>N F&amp;B</u> to <u>N Circulation</u> (target	t node for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection <b>S cir to W cir</b>		
Connecting <u>S Circulation</u> to <u>W Circulation</u>	(target node for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow $1.33$ per	sons/s per m eff. width	
Connection <b>E cir to N cir</b>		
Connecting <u>E Circulation</u> to <u>N Circulation</u>	(target node for required path)	
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	

27/02/2020				BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Population				2019/1381
Name	Number	Density (agents/m <sup>2</sup> )	Den	sity (m²/agent)
L1 Anchor	719	2.04	0.49	
L1 Carpark	93	0.21	4.84	
L2 Carpark	112	0.25	4.02	
L3 Carpark	112	0.25	4.02	
L4 Carpark	111	0.25	4.05	
L5 Carpark	999	0.70	1.44	
Z2 Intermediate Floor	378	0.84	1.19	
GF Anchor	629	1.79	0.56	
E Circulation	123	0.69	1.45	
<u>E Retail</u>	97	1.01	0.99	
NE Retail	27	0.28	3.57	
S Circulation	136	0.80	1.25	
<u>S Retail</u>	405	2.10	0.48	
S Central Retail	137	0.71	1.41	
W Circulation	216	0.97	1.03	
N Central Retail	249	1.29	0.77	
N Circulation	179	2.06	0.49	
<u>N Retail</u>	144	1.11	0.90	
S F&B Seating	195	1.01	0.99	
<u>S F&amp;B</u>	75	0.39	2.57	
N HOS-1	86	0.45	2.24	
N HOS-2	185	0.96	1.04	
<u>N F&amp;B</u>	210	1.40	0.72	

Page 12 of 14

## Results

Simulation run 1 : Total number of agents = 5617

Node <u>N HOS-1</u> clear at 98.5 s Node <u>S Central Retail</u> clear at 103.5 s Node <u>S F&B Seating</u> clear at 109.5 s Node <u>NE Retail</u> clear at 130.0 s Node <u>S Retail</u> clear at 132.0 s

Approved Fignelssue









Michael Spearpoint University of Canterbury version 2.11 - Holmes Fire release (Sep 19 2016)

This software version is exclusively licensed to Holmes Fire Ltd and is not to be used by any other party.

#### Contents

<u>Nodes</u> <u>Connections</u> <u>Population</u> <u>Results</u>

#### Nodes

Node **Mall- NW-HOS-Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>N HOS to HOS Esk St</u>,

Node **Mall- NW-F&B-Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>N HOS to F&B Esk St</u>,

Node **N F&B** Dimensions 8.48 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>N F&B to N Cir</u>, <u>N HOS-2 to N F&B</u>, <u>N HOS-1 to N F&B</u>,

Node **N HOS-2** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : N HOS-2 to N F&B, N HOS to HOS Esk St, N HOS to F&B Esk St, N HOS-1 to 2,

Node **N HOS-1** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>N HOS-1 to N F&B, N HOS-1 to 2</u>,

Node **Mall-SW- Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>S F&B to Tay St</u>,

Node **S F&B** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>W cir to S F&B</u>, <u>S F&B seat to F&B</u>, <u>S F&B to Tay St</u>,

Node **S F&B Seating** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>S F&B to S Cir, S F&B seat to F&B</u>,

Node **N Retail** Dimensions 7.30 m by 17.72 m Connections : N Retail to N cir,

# Approved Fiondssue 27/02/2020

Node Mall- NW-Safe Place-Esk St - Safe Connections : <u>N Cir to NW Esk St</u>,



BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER Appendix B.4 2019/1381

Node N Circulation

Dimensions 3.00 m by 29.01 m Connections : <u>E cir to N cir</u>, <u>N F&B to N Cir</u>, <u>W Cir to N Cir</u>, <u>N Retail to N cir</u>, <u>N Cir to NW Esk St</u>, <u>N Cent. Retail to N Cir</u>, <u>NE retail to E Cir</u>, <u>N Cir to NE Esk St</u>,

Node **N Central Retail** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : N Cent. Retail to W Cir, N Cent. retail to E Cir, N Cent. Retail to N Cir,

Node **W Circulation** Dimensions 37.89 m by 5.86 m Connections : <u>S cir to W cir</u>, <u>W cir to S F&B</u>, <u>W Cir to N Cir</u>, <u>N Cent. Retail to W Cir</u>, <u>S Cent.</u> <u>Retail to W Cir</u>,

Node **S Central Retail** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>S Cent. Retail to W Cir</u>, <u>S Cent. Retail to E Cir</u>, <u>S Cent. Retail to S Cir</u>,

Node **Mall-SE- Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>S Retail to Tay st</u>,

Node **S Retail** Dimensions 10.87 m by 17.72 m Connections : <u>S Retail to Tay st</u>, <u>S Retail to S Cir</u>,

Node **S Circulation** Dimensions 5.30 m by 32.13 m Connections : <u>S cir to W cir</u>, <u>S F&B to S Cir</u>, <u>S Cent. Retail to S Cir</u>, <u>S Retail to S Cir</u>, <u>S Cir to E</u> <u>Cir</u>,

Node **NE Retail** Dimensions 7.54 m by 12.80 m Connections : <u>NE retail to E Cir</u>,

Node **E Retail** Dimensions 7.54 m by 12.80 m Connections : <u>E Retail to E cir</u>,

Node **Mail- NE-Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>N Cir to NE Esk St</u>,

Node **E Circulation** Dimensions 30.49 m by 5.86 m Connections : <u>E cir to N cir</u>, <u>N Cent. retail to E Cir</u>, <u>S Cent. Retail to E Cir</u>, <u>S Cir to E Cir</u>, <u>E Retail</u> to E cir, <u>GF Anchor to mall</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Tay St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor - Tay St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor Safe Place-Esk St** - Safe Connections : <u>GF Anchor- Esk St</u>,

Node **GF Anchor** Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : <u>GF Anchor to mall</u>, <u>GF Anchor - Tay St</u>, <u>GF Anchor- Esk St</u>,

file:///S:/136249/4.0%20HF%20DESIGN/6%20Calculations%20&%20Verifications/... 26/08/2019

EvacuatioNZ results file Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received

> Node **Z2 Intermediate Floor 17 Dec:2019** 5.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : <u>Z2 to L1 Carpark</u>,

Building Division Node Safe Place-stair4 - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 4 Final Exit</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair3** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 3 Final Exit</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair2.4** - Safe Connections : <u>L1-L0 stair2.4</u>,

Node **Safe Place-stair2.3** - Safe Connections : <u>Stair 2.3 Final Exit</u>,

Node L5 Stair2.4 stair Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5 Stair2.4 landing to stair, L5 Stair2.4 to L4 landing,

Node L5 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5 Stair2.4 landing to stair, L5CS to L5S2.4L,

Node L5 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L5-L4 Stair2.3,

Node L5 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L5 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L5CN to L5S2.3L,

Node L5 Carpark Dimensions 30.00 m by 47.80 m Connections : L5CN to L5S2.3L, L5CS to L5S2.4L,

Node L4 Stair2.4 Stair Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5-L4 Stair2.4, L4 Stair2.4 to L3 landing,

Node L4 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L5-L4 Stair2.4, L4CS to L4S2.4L, L5 Stair2.4 to L4 landing,

Node L4 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L4 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L4-L3 Stair2.3,

Node L4 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L4 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L4CN to L4S2.3L, L5-L4 Stair2.3,

Node L4 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : L4CN to L4S2.3L, L4CS to L4S2.4L,

Node L3 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Invercargill City Page 3 of 14 Council BuildingdConsent Non-base Case - Challen Authority hildcare Fire Pre-movement time for GF and 1L anchor space = 60 sec Approved Site Copy

# Approved Fiendssue 27/02/2020

Connections : L4-L3 Stair2.4, L3CS to L3S2.4L, L4 Stair2.4 to L3

Node L3 Stair2.3 Stair

Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : <u>L4-L3 Stair2.4</u>, <u>L3 Stair2.4 to L2 landing</u>,

Node L3 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L3 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L3-L2 Stair2.3,

Node L3 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L3 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L3CN to L3S2.3L, L4-L3 Stair2.3,

Node L3 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : L3CS to L3S2.4L, L3CN to L3S2.3L,

Node L2 Stair2.4 Stair Dimensions 11.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L3-L2 Stair2.4, L2 Stair2.4 to L1 landing,

Node L2 Stair2.4 Landing Dimensions 2.60 m by 1.20 m Connections : L3-L2 Stair2.4, L2CS to L2S2.4L, L3 Stair2.4 to L2 landing,

Node L2 Stair2.3 Stair Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L2 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L2-L1 Stair2.3,

Node L2 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L2 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L2CN to L2S2.3L, L3-L2 Stair2.3,

Node L2 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : L2CS to L2S2.4L, L2CN to L2S2.3L,

Node **L1 Stair4 Landing** Dimensions 4.50 m by 2.10 m Connections : <u>L1-L0 Stair4</u>, <u>L1 entrance to Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Stair4** Dimensions 9.20 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>L0 S4 landing</u>, <u>L1-L0 Stair4</u>,

Node **L1 Stair3 Landing** Dimensions 12.60 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>L1 entrance to Stair 3</u>, <u>L1-L0 Stair3</u>,

Node **L1 Stair3** Dimensions 9.50 m by 1.80 m Connections : <u>L1 S3 landing</u>, <u>L1-L0 Stair3</u>,

Node **L1 Stair2.4 Stair** Dimensions 17.10 m by 1.20 m Connections : <u>L2-L1 Stair2.4</u>, <u>L1-L0 stair2.4</u>, Page 4 of 14

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER Appendix B.4

2019/1381

EvacuatioNZ results file Environmental & Planning
Services I.C.C.

**Document Received** 

Node L1 Stair2.4 Landing 17 Dec 2019 .60 m by 1.20 m

Connections : L2-L1 Stair2.4, L1CS to L1\$2.4L, L2 Stair2.4 to L1 landing

**Building Division** Node L1 Stair 2.3 Stair

Dimensions 10.40 m by 1.20 m Connections : L1 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L1-L0 Stiar2.3

Node L1 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 6.40 m by 2.70 m Connections : L1 Stair2.3 landing to stair, L1CN to L1S2.3L, L2-L1 Stair2.3,

Node L1 Carpark Dimensions 15.00 m by 30.00 m Connections : Z2 to L1 Carpark, L1 Anchor to Carpark, L1CS to L1S2.4L, L1CN to L1S2.3L

Invercargill City

Council

Building Consent base Case

Approved Site Copypace \$ 60 sec

Page 5 of 14

- Challenging Fire 3 (CF3) Childcare Fire

Pre-movement time for GF and 1L

Node L1 Anchor-S BoH Dimensions 5.90 m by 2.00 m Connections : L1 Anchor to S BoH, L1 entrance to Stair4,

Node L1 Anchor Dimensions 12.21 m by 28.82 m Connections : L1 entrance to Stair 3, L1 Anchor to S BoH, L1 Anchor to Carpark,

Node L0 Stair4 landing Dimensions 4.10 m by 1.80 m Connections : Stair 4 Final Exit, L0 S4 landing,

Node L0 Stair3 Landing Dimensions 5.30 m by 1.80 m Connections : Stair 3 Final Exit, L1 S3 landing,

Node L0 Stair2.3 Landing Dimensions 3.40 m by 1.60 m Connections : L1-L0 Stiar2.3, L0 Stair2.3 landing to corridor,

Node Corridor Dimensions 22.00 m by 1.80 m Connections : Stair 2.3 Final Exit, L0 Stair2.3 landing to corridor,

### Connections

Connection L0 Stair2.3 landing to corridor Length 1.00 m Connecting L0 Stair2.3 Landing to Corridor (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection Stair 2.3 Final Exit Length 1.00 m Connecting Corridor to Safe Place-stair2.3 (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection L1-L0 stair2.4 Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>L1 Stair2.4 Stair</u> to <u>Safe Place-stair2.4</u> (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

# Approved-FionIssue 27/02/2020



Page 6 of 14

2019/1381 Connection L2 Stair2.4 to L1 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L2 Stair2.4 Stair to L1 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L3 Stair2.4 to L2 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Stair2.3 Stair to L2 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L4 Stair2.4 to L3 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L4 Stair2.4 Stair to L3 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L5 Stair2.4 to L4 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Stair2.4 stair to L4 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1-L0 Stiar2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair2.3 Stair to L0 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L2-L1 Stair2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>L2 Stair2.3 Stair</u> to <u>L1 Stair2.3 Landing</u> (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L3-L2 Stair2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Stair2.3 Stair to L2 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L4-L3 Stair2.3 Lenath 1.00 m Connecting L4 Stair2.3 Stair to L3 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L5CS to L5S2.4L Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Carpark to L5 Stair2.4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L5CN to L5S2.3L Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Carpark to L5 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width

Connection L5-L4 Stair2.3 Length 1.00 m Connecting L5 Stair2.3 Stair to L4 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1CN to L1S2.3L Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Carpark to L1 Stair2.3 Landing (target node for required path)

file:///S:/136249/4.0%20HF%20DESIGN/6%20Calculations%20&%20Verifications/... 26/08/2019

EvacuatioNZ results file	Invercargill City	Page	7 of 14		
ronmental & Planning	Council	1 460	• •		
ocument Received		Evacuat	ioN7 model for:		
Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 per		Non-ba	se Case		
17 Dec 2019 Connection L1CS to L1S2 4	Authority	- Challe	nging Fire 3 (CF3)		
Building Division	Approved Site Cor	) <b>V</b> re-mo	vement time for GF	and	
Connecting <u>L1 Carpark</u> to <u>L1 Stair2.4 Lan</u> Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 per	iding (target node for required path) ' sons/s per m eff. width	1L anch	or space = 60 sec		
Length 1.00 m					
Connecting <u>L2 Carpark</u> to <u>L2 Stair2.3 Lan</u>	iding (target node for required path)				
Connection <b>L2CS to L2S2.4L</b> Lenath 1.00 m					
Connecting L2 Carpark to L2 Stair2.4 Lan	ding (target node for required path)				
Door width 0.95 m, specific now 1.55 per	sons/s per m en. width				
Connection L3CN to L3S2.3L					
Connecting L3 Carpark to L3 Stair2.3 Lan	ding (target node for required path)				
Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width				
Connection L3CS to L3S2.4L					
Connecting L3 Carpark to L3 Stair2.4 Lan	ding (target node for required path)				
Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width				
Connection L4CS to L4S2.4L					
Length 1.00 m	ding (target node for required nath)				
Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width					
Connection L4CN to L4S2.3L					
Length 1.00 m	ding (target pade for required path)				
Door width 0.93 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width				
Connection L5 Stair2.4 landing to stair	r				
Length 1.00 m	in 4 stair (target and for required path)	<b>`</b>			
Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser (	0.19 m	)			
Connection 15-14 Stair2.4					
Length 1.00 m		_			
Connecting <u>L4 Stair2.4 Landing</u> to <u>L4 Sta</u> Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser (	<u>ir2.4 Stair</u> (target node for required path 0.19 m	)			
Connection 14 12 Stair2 4					
Length 1.00 m					
Connecting <u>L3 Stair2.4 Landing</u> to <u>L3 Sta</u> Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser (	ir2.3 Stair (target node for required path 0.19 m	)			
Connection L3-L2 Stair2.4 Length 1.00 m					
Connecting <u>L2 Stair2.4 Landing</u> to <u>L2 Sta</u>	ir2.4 Stair (target node for required path	)			
Stans width 1.20 m, tredu 0.20 m, fiser (	0.1 <i>7</i> III				
Connection L2-L1 Stair2.4					

Env

# Approved FionIssue 27/02/2020



Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair2.4 Landing to L1 Stair2.4 Stair (target node Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L5 Stair2.3 landing to stair

Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>L5 Stair2.3 Landing</u> to <u>L5 Stair2.3 Stair</u> (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L4 Stair2.3 landing to stair Length 1.00 m

Connecting <u>L4 Stair2.3 Landing</u> to <u>L4 Stair2.3 Stair</u> (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L3 Stair2.3 landing to stair Length 1.00 m Connecting L3 Stair2.3 Landing to L3 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L2 Stair2.3 landing to stair Length 1.00 m Connecting L2 Stair2.3 Landing to L2 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 Stair2.3 landing to stair Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair2.3 Landing to L1 Stair2.3 Stair (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.20 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Connection $L1$-L0 Stair3} \\ \mbox{Length 1.00 m} \\ \mbox{Connecting $\underline{L1 Stair3 Landing}$ to $\underline{L1 Stair3}$ (target node for required path)} \\ \mbox{Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m} \\ \end{array}$ 

Connection L1 S3 landing Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair3 to L0 Stair3 Landing (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

Connection L1 entrance to Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Anchor-S BoH to L1 Stair4 Landing (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

Connection L1-L0 Stair4 Length 1.00 m Connecting L1 Stair4 Landing to L1 Stair4 (target node for required path) Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Connection $L0 $S4 landing} \\ \mbox{Length 1.00 m} \\ \mbox{Connecting $\underline{L1 $Stair4$}$ to $\underline{L0 $Stair4$ landing}$ (target node for required path) } \\ \mbox{Stairs width 1.80 m, tread 0.26 m, riser 0.19 m} \end{array}$ 

Connection **Stair 4 Final Exit** Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>L0 Stair4 landing</u> to <u>Safe Place-stair4</u> (target node for required path) Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 persons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)

EvacuatioNZ results file	Invercargill City Pa	age 9 of 14	Approved Fiendssue		Page 10 of 14
Services I.C.C.	Council		27/02/2020		BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	<b>Building Consent</b>	EvacuatioNZ model for:			Appendix B.4
Connection Stair 3 Final Exit	Authority	- Challenging Fire 3 (CF3)	Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	2019/1381
Connecting LO Stair3 Landing to Safe Place	e-stair3 (target node for required path)	Childcare Fire	Connection S Retail to S Cir		
Building Division 55 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/ <b>֏֎ՠ֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎</b>	Pre-movement time for GF	Length 1.00 m		
Connection L1 Anchor to Carpark			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	<i>v</i>
Length 1.00 m	act and for required path)				
Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m		
Connection 72 to 11 Coursel			Connecting <u>S Retail</u> to <u>Mall-SE-</u> Sa	fe Place-Tay St (target node for	r required path)
Length 1.00 m			Door width 4.20 m, specific now 1	.55 persons/s per m en. widdi	
Connecting <u>Z2 Intermediate Floor</u> to <u>L1 C</u>	Carpark (target node for required path)		Connection S Cent. Retail to S C	ir	
boor width 1.00 m, specific now 1.33 per	sons/s per men. width		Connecting <u>S Central Retail</u> to <u>S C</u>	irculation (target node for requi	red path)
Connection L1 Anchor to S BoH			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	
Connecting <u>L1 Anchor</u> to <u>L1 Anchor-S Bol</u>	H (target node for required path)		Connection S Cent. Retail to E C	ir	
Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)		Length 1.00 m	inculation (target peda for requi	rad path)
Connection L1 entrance to Stair 3			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	
Length 1.00 m	a (target node for required nath)		Connection & Cont. Botail to W.C.	<b></b>	
Door width 1.55 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width (restricted by closer)		Length 1.00 m	, IF	
Connection GE Anchor- Esk St			Connecting <u>S Central Retail</u> to <u>W</u> (	<u>Circulation</u> (target node for requesting a series of the	ired path)
Length 1.00 m					
Connecting <u>GF Anchor</u> to <u>GF Anchor Safe</u> Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 per	<u>Place-Esk St</u> (target node for required path) sons/s per m eff, width		Connection N Cent. Retail to N C	lir	
			Connecting <u>N Central Retail</u> to <u>N C</u>	Circulation (target node for requ	ired path)
Connection <b>GF Anchor - Tay St</b> Lenath 1.00 m			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	
Connecting <u>GF Anchor</u> to <u>GF Anchor Safe</u>	Place-Tay St (target node for required path)		Connection N Cent. retail to E Ci	r	
Door width 1.60 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m en: width		Length 1.00 m Connecting N Central Retail to E C	irculation (target node for requi	red path)
Connection <b>GF Anchor to mall</b>			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	
Connecting <u>GF Anchor</u> to <u>E Circulation</u> (ta	arget node for required path)		Connection N Cent. Retail to W (	Cir	
Door width 3.00 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m	Circulation (target node for recu	ired neth)
Connection N Cir to NE Esk St			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	
Length 1.00 m	Place-Esk St (target node for required nath)		Connection N Cir to NW Eak St		
Door width 3.90 m, specific flow 1.33 per	rsons/s per m eff. width		Length 1.00 m		
Connection NE retail to E Cir			Connecting <u>N Circulation</u> to <u>Mall- N</u> Door width 3 50 m, specific flow 1	<u>W-Safe Place-Esk St</u> (target no 33 persons/s per m eff width	ode for required path)
Length 1.00 m			Door width 5.50 m, specific now 1	.55 persons/s per m en. widen	
Connecting <u>NE Retail</u> to <u>N Circulation</u> (tar Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	get node for required path) sons/s per m eff. width		Connection <b>N Retail to N cir</b>		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Connecting <u>N Retail</u> to <u>N Circulatio</u>	n (target node for required pat	h)
Connection <b>E Retail to E cir</b> Lenath 1.00 m			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	
Connecting <u>E Retail</u> to <u>E Circulation</u> (targe	et node for required path)		Connection W Cir to N Cir		
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m err. wiath		Length 1.00 m Connecting W Circulation to N Circ	ulation (target node for require	d path)
Connection S Cir to E Cir			Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1	.33 persons/s per m eff. width	. ,
Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>S Circulation</u> to <u>E Circulation</u>	(target node for required path)		Connection S F&B to Tav St		
	· · · ·		······································		

EvacuatioNZ results file Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received Length 1.00 m 170Dec 2019: F&B to Mall-SW- Safe Place Door width 2.60 m, specific flow 1.33 per	Invercargill City Page 1 of Council BuildingdConsent Non-base Case - Challen AuthOrity hildcare Fire sons/s Pre-movement time for GF and 1L anchor space	14 <b>Арргохед-Бог</b> <b>27/02/202</b> <b>Population</b>	ldssue 20
Building Division Connection S F&B seat to F&B	Approved Site Copy	Name	Number
Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>S F&amp;B Seating</u> to <u>S F&amp;B</u> (targ	et node for required path)	L1 Anchor	719
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	L1 Carpark	93
Connection S F&B to S Cir		L2 Carpark	112
Length 1.00 m Connecting S F&B Seating to S Circulatio	n (target node for required path)	L3 Carpark	112
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	L4 Carpark	111
Connection W cir to S F&B		L5 Carpark	999
Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>W Circulation</u> to <u>S F&amp;B</u> (targe	t node for required path)	Z2 Intermediate Flo	or 378
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. width	GF Anchor	629
Connection N HOS-1 to 2		E Circulation	123
Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>N HOS-1</u> to <u>N HOS-2</u> (target	node for required path)	<u>E Retail</u>	97
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	rsons/s per m eff. width	NE Retail	27
Connection N HOS-1 to N F&B		S Circulation	136
Connecting <u>N HOS-1</u> to <u>N F&amp;B</u> (target no	de for required path)	<u>S Retail</u>	405
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	rsons/s per m eff. width	<u>S Central Retail</u>	137
Connection N HOS to F&B Esk St		W Circulation	216
Connecting <u>N HOS-2</u> to <u>Mall- NW-F&amp;B-Sa</u>	fe Place-Esk St (target node for required path)	N Central Retail	249
Door width 1.60 m, specific flow 1.33 per	rsons/s per m eff. width	N Circulation	179
Connection N HOS to HOS Esk St		N Retail	144
Connecting <u>NHOS-2</u> to <u>Mall- NW-HOS-S</u>	afe Place-Esk St (target node for required path)	S F&B Seating	195
Door width 2.00 m, specific flow 1.33 per	rsons/s per m eff. width	<u>S F&amp;B</u>	75
Connection N HOS-2 to N F&B		<u>N HOS-1</u>	86
Connecting <u>NHOS-2</u> to <u>N F&amp;B</u> (target no	de for required path)	N HOS-2	185
Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	sons/s per m eff. widtn	N F&B	210
Connection <b>N F&amp;B to N Cir</b> Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>N F&amp;B</u> to <u>N Circulation</u> (targe Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	t node for required path) rsons/s per m eff. width	Results	
Connection S cir to W cir		Simulation run 1 : To	tal number o
Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>S Circulation</u> to <u>W Circulation</u> Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	(target node for required path) rsons/s per m eff. width	Node <u>N HOS-1</u> clear a Node <u>S Central Retai</u>	at 98.5 s clear at 103
Connection <b>E cir to N cir</b> Length 1.00 m Connecting <u>E Circulation</u> to <u>N Circulation</u> Door width 4.20 m, specific flow 1.33 per	(target node for required path) rsons/s per m eff. width	Node <u>E Retail</u> clear at Node <u>S F&amp;B Seating</u> Node <u>N Central Retai</u>	: 109.0 s clear at 109. clear at 120

run 1 : Total number of agents = 5617	
DS-1 clear at 98.5 s	
entral Retail clear at 103.5 s	
e <u>tail</u> clear at 109.0 s	
B Seating clear at 109.5 s	

Number Density (agents/m²) Density (m²/agent)

0.49

4.84

4.02

4.02

4.05

1.44

1.19

0.56

1.45 0.99

3.57

1.25

0.48

1.41

1.03

0.77

0.49

0.90

0.99

2.57

2.24

1.04

0.72

Node <u>N Central Retail</u> clear at 120.0 s

2.04

0.21

0.25

0.25

0.25

0.70

0.84

1.79

0.69

1.01

0.28

0.80

2.10

0.71

0.97

1.29

2.06

1.11

1.01

0.39

0.45

0.96

1.40

Page 12 of 14

**BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER** 

2019/1381



file:///S:/136249/4.0%20HF%20DESIGN/6%20Calculations%20&%20Verifications/... 26/08/2019

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# B.5 Hand-calculation Validation of EvacuatioNZ

To validate the presented EvacuatioNZ results, hand-calculation of travel / flow times is undertaken for key areas. Hand-calculations are undertaken as per V/VM2, section 3.2.5.

EvacuatioNZ results from Base case evacuation are utilised in comparison.

## Table 14 - Hand-calculation Validation

Location	Total Occupancy	Ha	EvacuatioNZ			
Location	Using Egress (ppl)	Travel Time (s)	Flow Time (s)	RSET (s)	RSET (s)	
GF Anchor	629	36	84	144¹	168 <sup>1</sup>	
L1 Anchor	719	52	142	232²	241 <sup>2</sup>	

Explanatory notes:

- 1) The RSET time did not include smoke detection time but does include  $t_n$  (30s) and  $t_{pre}$  (30s).
- 2) The RSET time did not include smoke detection time but does include  $t_n$  (30s) and  $t_{pre}$  (60s).

The RSET times (excluding the smoke detection time) are generally similar between EvacuatioNZ results and hand-calculations. The minor difference may be due to occupant interaction leading to queueing and as such reducing flow through various bottle-necks.

It is considered that the derived EvacuatioNZ modelling results are supported by crude overall building evacuation estimates by hand calculations.

It is also noted from Table 14 above that the travel time from both ground floor and Level 1 are less than the flow time. This is consistent with the assumption that flow time governs due to the large number of occupants presented within the space.

Attached is the following:

• Hand calculation for flow time validation.



17 Dec 2019

Building Division 136249 24/05/2019 Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

Holmes

Travel distance v.s. Flow calculation validation. Level 1 Anchor Space

RSET	Inputs		Value		
component					
t <sub>d</sub>	determined by FDS using C/VM2 input parameters (		0	s	
t n-	As per C/VM2			30	S
t <sub>pre</sub>	Pre-movement time (C/VM2 Table 3.3) for occupant within the enclosure of origin	60	S		
t trav	= distance / walking speed (= distance/S)		43		
	distance (assumed) = S =	52 m 1.2 m/s			
t <sub>flow</sub>	= #ppl / F <sub>c</sub>		142		
	#ppl = See flow capacity calc - total for 3 exits, Fc = Fc =	719 ppl 304 ppl/min 5.1 ppl/s			
t <sub>move</sub>	= the larger of t <sub>flow and</sub> t <sub>trav</sub>	142	S		
RSET	$= (t_d + t_n + t_{pre}) + t_{move}$		RSET =	232	s

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



## Flow capacity of egress route elements

Job No.	136249					
Name:	HWCP Zone 1					
By:	ACC					
Date:	16/0//2019					
Faress rou	to / space:	Pround Eleon				
Egress rou	te / space:	Fround Floor				
Cummon our	innuts and	atata				
Summar	y - inputs and	outputs				
Description		14/			101	
# la musa m	ear wiath:	vv <sub>(d)</sub> =	2000	mm	134 ppi/min	Automatic sliding door fo
# leaves pe	er doorset:	=	•	leaves		
Self-closer	fitted?		No	•		
Description		14/			010	
Doorset cl	ear wiath:	vv <sub>(d)</sub> =	3000	mm	213 ppi/min	Opening into mall
# leaves pe	er doorset:	=	0	leaves		
Self-closer	fitted?		No	•		
Doorset cl	ear width:	W <sub>(d)</sub> =	1600	mm	100 ppl/min	Double leaf door
# leaves pe	er doorset:	=	2	leaves		
Self-closer	fitted?		Yes	•		
			1.20			
Calculat	tions (Referenc	e: C/VM2)				
Door flow	capacitu	W(a) =	200	0 mm		
//	Boundary layer	(each side) =	0.1	5 m	C/VM2 To	uble 3.5 (Door)
	Effective width	- W	17	) m	C, VIVIL TO	
	ar flow occasion	••e(d) =	۱./۱ ۲۰۰۲ (۱۰۰۲	) ////////////////////////////////////		
Do	or now capacity	rc (door) =	(I-aL	, jku vv <sub>e(d)</sub>	0 1 10 1	- 1 h for bosto at al taxa d
		k =	1.4	J	C/VM2: k	= 1.4 for horizontal travel
		a =	0.26	6	C/VM2: a	= 0.266
		D =	1.9	) ppl/m <sup>c</sup>	C/VM2 De	ensity at flow constriction
Calc	ulated capacity	F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	2.2	+ ppl/s		
	Calculated $F_{c (d)}$	<sub>oor]</sub> per leaf =	13	+ ppl/min/le	af	
Wo	uld closers restrict	capacity? =	N	o	"Yes" if Fo	: (door) per leaf >50ppl/min
Desig	gn flow capacity	F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	2.2	+ ppl/s		
	-		13	+ ppl/min		
Door flow	capacity	W <sub>(d)</sub> =	300	0 mm		
	Boundaru lauer	(each side) =	0.1	ōm	C/VM2 To	ıble 3.5 (Door)
	Effective width	W-(-) =	2.7	 D m	2,	(= )
De	or flow capacity	F /	(1-ar	)kDW		
00	or now capacity	• c (door) =	(PuL Hos	יייע tre(d)	C //M2	- 1 li for borizontel terriel
		K =	1.4	ر د	C/VM2: k	= 1.4 for norizontal travel
		a =	0.26	D	C/VM2: a	= 0.200
		D =	1.9	j ppi/m⁻	C/VM2 De	ensity at flow constriction
Calc	ulated capacity	F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	3.5	o ppl/s		
	Calculated $F_{c (d)}$	<sub>oor]</sub> per leaf =	#DIV/C	l! ppl/min/le	af	
Wo	uld closers restrict	capacity? =	N	0	"Yes" if Fo	: (door) per leaf >50ppl/min
Desig	gn flow capacity	F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	3.5	ō ppl/s		
	=		213.	1 ppl/min		
Door flow	capacity	W <sub>[d]</sub> =	160	0 mm		
	Boundaru lauer	(each side) =	0.1	ōm	C/VM2 To	ıble 3.5 (Door)
	Effective width	W <sub>c</sub> (a) =	1.3	Эm	2,	
De	or flow capacity	F /	(1-ar	)kDW		
00	or now capacity	' c (door) =	100	י שאר fe[d]	C //M2	- 1 li for borizontel terriel
		к =	0.07	J 4		
		a =	0.26		C/VM2: a	= 0.200
		D =	1.9	J ppl/m	C/VM2 De	ensity at flow constriction
Calc	ulated capacity	⊢ <sub>c (door)</sub> =	1.7	1 ppl/s		
	Calculated F <sub>c (d</sub>	<sub>oor]</sub> per leaf =	5	1 ppl/min/le	af	
Wo	uld closers restrict	capacity? =	Уe	s	"Yes" if Fo	: (door) per leaf >50ppl/min
Desig	gn flow capacity	F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	1.6	7 ppl/s		
	=		10	0 ppl/min		

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



## Flow capacity of egress route elements

Job No.	136249				
Name:	HWCP Zone 1				
By:	ACC				
Date:	16/07/2019				
-	. /				
Egress rou	te / space:	Level one			
Summar	ry - inputs and	d outputs			
Corridor w	vidth:	W <sub>(c)</sub> =	<b>2000</b> mm	126 ppl/min	
o				05 1/ 1	
Stair width:		vv <sub>(s)</sub> =	1800 mm	85 ppl/min	
Stair geom	netry:	Stair tread =	254 🔻		
		Stair riser =	191 mm		
Doorset cl	ear width:	W <sub>(d)</sub> =	<b>1600</b> mm	100 ppl/min	Double leaf door into stairwells
# leaves p	er doorset:	=	2 leaves		L
Self-closer	fitted?		Yes 🔻		
Calcula	tions (Refere	nce: C/VM2)			
a) Corrido	r flow capacity				
	Boundary layer	(each side) =	0.2 m	C/VM2 Tab	ole 3.5 (corridor/ramp wall)
	Effective width	W <sub>e(c)</sub> =	1.60 m		
Corridor flow capacity		F <sub>c (corridor)</sub> =	(1-aD)kDW <sub>e(c)</sub>		
		k =	1.40	C/VM2: k =	1.4 for horizontal travel
		a =	0.266	C/VM2: a =	= 0.266
		D =	1.90 ppl/m <sup>2</sup>	C/VM2 Der	nsity at flow constriction
Corrido	or flow capacity	F <sub>c (corridor)</sub> =	2.11 ppl/s		
b) Stair fla	w capacitu				
b) otali ne	Boundary layer	· (each side) =	0.15 m	C/VM2 Tak	ale 3.5 (stair walls/side tread)
	Effective width	(cuch side) = W () =	150 m	O, THE THE	
Sta	ir flow capacitu	F. () =	(1-gD)k.DW.(a)		
010	in non oupdoing	· c (stair)	1	C/VM2 Tab	ble 3.4 (closest riser/tread)
		a =	0.266	C/VM2: q =	= 0.266
		D =	1.90	C/VM2 Der	ositu at flow constriction
Sta	ir flow capacity	F <sub>c (stair)</sub> =	1.41 ppl/s	0, 1112 2 01	
<b>.</b> .			<i>.</i>	• • • • -	
Iravel s	peed in full stair	S = 0	<sub>s</sub> -ak <sub>s</sub> D m/s	S = k - akD	[k trom lable 3-14.5]
		S =	0.49 m/s	C/VM2 Tab	ble 3.4 (closest riser/tread)
Iravel spe	eed, unimpeded	S <sub>max</sub> =	0.85 m/s	i.e. for very	J low occupant density
c) Door flo	w capacity				
	Boundary layer	(each side) =	0.15 m	C/VM2 Tab	ble 3.5 (Door)
	Effective width	W <sub>e(d)</sub> =	1.30 m		
Doe	or flow capacity	F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	(1-aD)kDW <sub>e(d)</sub>		
		k =	1.40	C/VM2: k =	1.4 for horizontal travel
		a =	0.266	C/VM2: a =	= 0.266
		D =	1.90 ppl/m <sup>2</sup>	C/VM2 Der	nsity at flow constriction
		F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	1.71 ppl/s	Based only	l on width
		=	51 ppl/min/le	eaf	
Wou	ld closers restric	t capacity? =	Yes		
Desig	In flow capacity	F <sub>c (door)</sub> =	1.67 ppl/s	Accounting	for closers and # leaves
		=	100 ppl/min	"Yes" if Fc	(door) per leaf >50ppl/min

17 Dec 2019

Building/Division 136249 Zone 3 28/06/2019 Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



Holmes

Travel distance v.s. Flow calculation validation. Ground Floor Anchor Space

RSET	Inputs	Va	ue
component			
t <sub>d</sub>	determined by FDS using C/VM2 input parameters (smoke detection)		s
t n-	As per C/VM2	30	S
t <sub>pre</sub>	Pre-movement time (C/VM2 Table 3.3) for occupants awake, alert, unfamiliar and within the enclosure of origin	30	s
t <sub>tr</sub>	$\frac{1}{av} = \text{distance / walking speed (= distance/S)} \\ \frac{1}{distance (assumed)} = \frac{43}{\text{ m}} \\ \text{S} = 1.2 \text{ m/s} $	6	
t <sub>fla</sub>	$= \#ppI / F_{c}$ $\#ppI = \frac{629}{9} ppI$ See flow capacity calc - total for 3 exits, Fc = $\frac{447}{7} ppI/min$ Fc = 7.5 ppI/s	4	
t <sub>move</sub>	= the larger of t <sub>flow and</sub> t <sub>trav</sub> tflo	<b>w</b> 84	S
RSET	$= (t_d + t_n + t_{pre}) + t_{move}$ RSET	= 144	s

= 134 ppl/min (Auto. sliding door)

- + 213 ppl/min (opening into mall) + 100 ppl/min (1600 mm double leaf door)

17 Dec 2019

Building Division 136249 24/05/2019

Invercargill City Council **Building Consent** Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



Holmes

Travel distance v.s. Flow calculation validation. Level 1 Anchor Space

RSET	Inputs		Value	
component				
t <sub>d</sub>	determined by FDS using C/VM2 input parameters (heat detection)		0	S
t <sub>n-</sub>	As per C/VM2		30	S
t pre	Pre-movement time (C/VM2 Table 3.3) for occupants awake, alert, unfami within the enclosure of origin	iliar and	60	S
t <sub>t</sub>	<sub>rav</sub> = distance / walking speed (= distance/S)	43		
	distance (assumed) = $52 \text{ m}$ S = 1.2 m/s			
t <sub>fi</sub>	<sub>low</sub> = #ppl / F <sub>c</sub>	142		
	#ppl = 719 ppl See flow capacity calc - total for 3 exits, Fc = 0 304 ppl/min Fc = 5.1 ppl/s			
t <sub>move</sub>	= the larger of t <sub>flow and</sub> t <sub>trav</sub>	tflow	142	S
RSET	$=(t_d + t_n + t_{pre}) + t_{move}$	RSET =	232	s

= 85 ppl/min (Stair 3)

+ 85 ppl/min (Stair 4) + 134 ppl/min (Auto. sliding door)



**BUCHAN** Christchurch Studio + 64 3 377 2973 / buchangroup.co.nz

Rev

Α

Revision D

2019/1381

1600mm doors

1800mm stairs

PBDH



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# Appendix C ASET Assessment

## C.1 ASET for Challenging Fire CF1

Challenging Fire CF1 locates a fire centrally within the atrium on the ground floor, modelled within FDS. The fire is subject to (quick response) sprinkler control.

## Table 15 - Sprinkler Activation time for CF1

(Quick Response) Sprinkler activation in FDS (s)	Sprinkler activation modelled for HRR control (s)
265	265

The graph below shows the comparison between the HRR output of the FDS burner modelled in Challenging Fire CF1 against that specified in C/VM2 (0.0469t<sup>2</sup>).



Figure 5 - CF1 FDS HRR vs VM2 HRR

# C.1.1 CF1 ASET Summary

Tenability was assessed by FEDco slice files located 2m above each floor. The table below showed the tenability for each floor.

Table	16	- CI	F1	ASET
		· · · ·		

Floor Level		Tim			
	Location	Visibility = 10m	FED <sub>thermal</sub> = 0.3 (temp. @ 50°C)	FED <sub>co</sub> = 0.3	ASET (s)
GF	Retail	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
	SW Entry to Mall	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
	Main Entry (Esk St)	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
	SE Exit to Tay St	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

Floor Level		Tim			
	Location	Visibility = 10m	FED <sub>thermal</sub> = 0.3 (temp. @ 50°C)	FED <sub>co</sub> = 0.3	ASET (s)
L1	Retail	213	>1700	>1700	213
	Entry to Carpark	328	>1700	>1700	328
	Entry to Stair 3	386	>1700	>1700	386
	Entry to Stair 4	311	>1700	>1700	311
	Stair 3	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
	Stair 4	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700

The results are shown below in FDS slice files and graphs.



Figure 6 - CF1 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Ground Floor at 1700 s







Figure 8 - CF1 Temperature slice file at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at 284 s





```
Time: 1700.0
```

## Figure 9 - CF1 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at 1700 s



Figure 10 - CF1 Visibility proceeding stair 3 entrance





17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy





2019/1381







## Figure 12 - CF1 Visibility proceeding Level 1 Carpark entrance

# C.1.2 CF1 ASET vs RSET Assessment

Table 17 - CF1 ASET vs RSET Summary

Floor	Leastin 1	ASET (a)	RSET (s)			
Level	Location	ASET (SJ	Base Case	Non-Base Case	ASEI > KSEI	
	GF Anchor	>1700	209	199	Yes	
GF	N Circulation <sup>2</sup>	>1700	-	348	Yes	
L1	L1 Anchor	213 <sup>8</sup>	161 <sup>9</sup>		Yes	
	Entry to Carpark	328 <sup>10</sup>	282	284	Yes	Rev
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>	386	278	270	Yes	]


Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

2019/1381

Floor	Loogtion	ASET (a)	ASET (s) Base Case Non-Base Case			
Level	Location	AGET (S)			AGET - RGET	
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>₄</sup>	311	282	240	Yes	Rev E
	L0 Stair 3 Landing⁵	>1700	295	287	Yes	
	LO Stair 4 Landing <sup>6</sup>	>1700	267 273		Yes	
	Corridor <sup>7</sup>	>1700	-	1537	Yes	
L2	Childcare Centre	>1700	783		Yes	

**Explanatory Notes:** 

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from the Zone 2 ground floor mall space and is taken as the node where the last person leaves GF Anchor.
- 3) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 4) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 5) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 6) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).
- 7) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Zone 3 Carpark building.
- 8) Visibility in the south west corner starts to fail around 213 seconds as shown above in Figure 7. This is due to the smoke hitting the walls and roll down reducing the visibility at 2.0 m above level 1 floor. The RSET for occupants to move away from the south west corner and queue at the exit is approximately 161 seconds as calculated below. Therefore, the ASET vs RSET passes in this location.
- 9) RSET to start queuing at exit is approximately 161 seconds as calculated below.

t <sub>d</sub> (s)	t <sub>n</sub> (s)	t <sub>pre</sub> (s)	t <sub>travel</sub> (s)	RSET (s)
41	30	60	35m at 1.2m/s = 30	161

- 10) Visibility (10 m) in the near vicinity of entry to L1 carpark starts to fail intermittently around 231 seconds. The intermittent failure was:
  - Short in duration (approximately 2 seconds at a time),
  - Location being within 2m of door (further is clear),
  - Level of visibility in short duration loss is only approximately 8m visibility.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



The intermittent failure of visibility at 10 m continued until 328 seconds when the visibility (10m) failed for 10 seconds and is considered as the time to visibility failure. It is also noted that:

- Visibility (10m) to stair 3 and stair 4 entrance remained clear for the duration of evacuation • of L1 Anchor space providing occupants with alternative egress routes.
- FED<sub>thermal</sub> and FED<sub>co</sub> were maintained for the duration of L1 evacuation (284 seconds). •

Therefore, given the above reasoning, it is considered that intermittent failure from 231 sec to 328 sec will not negatively impede occupant egress thus not considered as a failure of visibility.

As shown above in Table 17, ASET is greater than RSET for all locations.



Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



## C.2 ASET for Challenging Fire CF2

Challenging Fire CF2 locates a fire in the SW corner of ground floor Anchor to simulate a spill plume fire, modelled within FDS. The SW corner of the ground floor Anchor has the highest ceiling throughout the ground floor Anchor. The fire is subject to sprinkler control. As agreed in the Zone 1 FEB, B-Risk model has been used to find the sprinkler activation time for Challenging Fire 2 with number used as the sprinkler activation time in the FDS model.

Figure 13 below showed the B-Risk model that simulate the part of ground floor Anchor with the highest ceiling. Openings simulated within the B-Risk model has been taken directly from the floor plan measurement as shown in Appendix C.2.



Figure 13 - CF2 Visual representation of B-Risk simulation

#### Table 18 - Sprinkler Activation time for CF2

(Quick Response) Sprinkler activation in B-Risk (s)	Sprinkler activation modelled for HRR control (s)		
180	180		

The graph below shows the comparison between the HRR output of the FDS burner modelled in Challenging Fire CF2 against that specified in C/VM2 (0.0469t<sup>2</sup>)







#### Figure 14 - CF2 FDS HRR v.s. VM2 HRR

### C.2.1 CF2 ASET Summary

Tenability was assessed by FEDco slice files located 2m above ground floor and level 1. The table below showed the tenability for each floor.

#### Table 19 - CF2 ASET

Floor		Tir			
Level	Location	Visibility = 10m	FED <sub>thermal</sub> = 0.3 (temp. at 50°C)	FED <sub>co</sub> = 0.3	ASET (s)
	Retail	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
C.C.	SW Entry to Mall	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
GF	Main Entry (Esk St)	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
	SE Exit to Tay St	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
	Retail	362	>1700	>1700	362
	Entry to Carpark	1360	>1700	>1700	1360
41	Entry to Stair 3	469	>1700	>1700	469
IL	Entry to Stair 4	362	>1700	>1700	362
	Stair 3	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700
	Stair 4	N/A	N/A	>1700	>1700









Figure 16 - CF2 Visibility slice file on Level 1 Anchor at 268 s



Time: 1700.0





Figure 18 - CF2 Visibility at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor Stair 3 entrance







Figure 19 - CF2 Visibility at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor Stair 4 entrance



#### Figure 20 - CF2 Visibility at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor to L1 Carpark entrance

As shown above in Figure 16 and Figure 19, the visibility first starts to fail on the east side of atrium at 281 seconds followed by the failure of visibility on the south side of the atrium around 340 seconds before slowing moving towards the south wall (BoH wall) with intermittent failure starting around 600 seconds as shown in Figure 20.





1.00

0.30

0.00

0%

100%

Time: 1700.0

#### Figure 21 - CF2 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at 1700 s

### C.2.2 CF2 ASET vs RSET Assessment

#### Table 20 - CF2 ASET vs RSET Summary

Floor	Lo antion1		ASET (a)			
Level	Location	ASET (S)	Base Case	Non-Base Case	ASET > RSET	
C.C.	GF Anchor	>1700	193	183	Уes	
GF	N Circulation <sup>2</sup>	>1700	-	332	Уes	
L1	L1 Anchor	362	362 266 268		Уes	Rev B
	Entry to Carpark	1360	266	268	Уes	Rev B
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>	469	262	254	Уes	
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>₄</sup>	362	266 224		Уes	Rev B
	L0 Stair 3 Landing⁵	>1700	279	279 271		
	LO Stair 4 Landing <sup>6</sup>	>1700	251	257	Уes	
	Corridor <sup>7</sup>	>1700	-	1521	Уes	
L2	Childcare Centre	>1700	7	767	Уes	

**Explanatory Notes:** 

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from the Zone 2 ground floor mall space and is taken as the node where the last person leaves GF Anchor.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



- 3) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 4) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 5) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 6) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).
- 7) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Zone 3 Carpark building.

As shown above in Table 20, ASET is greater than RSET for all locations.

Attached is the following:

- B-Risk results for sprinkler activation time
- FSK C.2.01 C.2.03





STAIR 1.2-LIFT 1.2 (GOODS) RESERVE 5.0°

Appendix C.2 - B-F

Sprinkler Activatic

CANOF

LIFT 1.1

STAIR 1.1

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

 
 Rev.
 Date
 Description

 A
 27.11.18
 FOR COMMENT

 B
 10.01.19
 PRELIMINARY DESIGN

 C
 21.03.19
 PRELIMINARY DESIGN

 D
 17.04.19
 FOR INFORMATION
 BH JB BH DA ree C.11BG - Revit Projects/917077 - AR-PD - INVERCARGILL -ZONE 1 - ANCHOR - 2019\_Jessica.Tuboltsev.buchan.rvt



INVERCARGILL CENTRAL - ZONE 1 TAY STREET & DEE STREET CORNER INVERCARGILL hoject Number 917077

Status PRELIMINARY DESIGN		ZONE 1	1	DUCUAN
Date Plotted 17/04/2019 144-26 PM Date Issued 21.03.19	_ ( <b>r</b> )	OVERALL FLOOR PLANS		BUCHAN
Scale 1:250 @A1	$\bigcirc$	Ziner Aurober Z1-PD-A-1100	Revision D	Christchurch Studio + 64 3 377 2973 / buchangroup.co.nz

Drawn: Author

Project No.

136249

Date: 31 / 07 / 2019

Rev

Α

Sheet No.

FSK C.2.01





ronmental & Planning Services I.C.C. locument Received	Invercargill City Council Building Consont	Approved For Issue 27/02/2020
	Building Consent	
17 Dec 2019	Authority	Vent Soff.
July 31, 2019 11:17:29 B-RISK Fire Simulator and Design Fire Tu	Amening Read City Consu	Opening T Closing T
Building Division	Approved Sile Copy	Discharge
Input Filename : input1.xml Base File : C:\Users\amy chao\Documents	\B-	Vent 3 · Opening1
RISK/riskdata/basemodel_default/basemodel	el_default.xml	From room
User Mode : C/VM2 Simulation Time = 200.00 seconds. Initial Time-Step = 1.00 seconds.		Rear fa Offset ( Vent Wid Vent Hei Vent Sil Vent Sof Opening Closing
Description of Rooms		Discharge
Room 1 : GF Anchor		
Room Length (m) =	33.60	Ceiling/Floor Vents
koom wiath (m) = Maximum Room Height (m) =	14.60 3.75	
Minimum Room Height (m) =	3.75	Ambient Conditions
Floor Elevation (m) = Absolute X Position (m) =	0.000	
Absolute Y Position (m) =	0.000	Exterior Temp (C) =
Room 1 has a flat ceiling. Shape Factor (Af/H^2) =	34.9	Relative Humidity (%) =
Wall Surface is plasterboard		Tenability Parameters
Wall Density (kg/m3) =	810.0	
Wall Conductivity (W/m.K) = Wall Specific Heat (J/kg K) =	0.160	Monitoring Height for Visibi
Wall Emissivity =	0.88	Visibility calculations assu
Wall Thickness (mm) = SQROOT Thermal Inertia (J.m-2.:	13.0 s-1/2.K-1) = 342	Egress path segments for FE 1. Start Time (sec) 1. End Time (sec)
Ceiling Surface is plasterboard	d	1. Room
Ceiling Density (Kg/m3) = Ceiling Conductivity (W/m.K) =	0.160	2. Start Time (sec) 2. End Time (sec)
Ceiling Specific Heat (J/kg.K)	= 900	2. Room
Ceiling Emissivity = Ceiling Thickness (mm) =	0.88	3. Start Time (sec) 3. End Time (sec)
SQROOT Thermal Inertia (J.m-2.;	s-1/2.K-1) = 342	3. Room
Floor Surface is concrete	0000.0	
Floor Conductivity (W/m.K) =	1.200	Sprinkier / Detector Paramet
Floor Specific Heat (J/kg.K) =	880	Ceiling Jet model v
Floor Emissivity = Floor Thickness = (mm)	0.50	Sprinkler System Re
SQROOT Thermal Inertia (J.m-2.;	s-1/2.K-1) = 1558	Sprinkler Probabili Sprinkler Cooling C
Wall Vante		Sprinkler ID
Vont 1 · Moll Opening		Response Time Index
From room 1 to 2		Water Sprav Density
Right face of room	1	Radial Distance (m)
Offset (m) =	0.000	Distance below ceil
Vent Height (m) =	3.300	Actuation remperate
Vent Sill Height (m)	= 0.000	
Vent Sottit Height (1 Opening Time (sec) =	m) = 3.300 0	Smoke Detector Parameters
Closing Time (sec) =	0	Smoke Detection Sys
Discharge Coefficien	t(-) = 0.680	Smoke Detector ID
Vent 2 : opening2		Room
From room 1 to 2		Radial Distance fro
Offset (m) =	0.000	Smoke Optical Dens
()	10.000	Detector Character
Vent Width (m) =	19.000	
Vent Width (m) = Vent Height (m) =	3.300	Detector response i

27/02/2020	Appendix C Sprinkler A	2 - B-Fisi <b>BUILDING CONS</b> trivation time for CF2	ENT NUMBER
Vent Soffit Heigh Opening Timp (sec Closing Time (sec Discharge Coeffic.	t (m) = ) = ) = ient (-) =	3. 100 0. 100 0. 100	1381
<pre>Vent 3 : Opening1     From room 1 to 2     Rear face of room     Offset (m) =     Vent Width (m) =     Vent Height (m) =     Vent Soffit Height     Vent Soffit Height     Opening Time (sec     Closing Time (sec     Discharge Coeffic.</pre>	<pre>m 1 (m) = t (m) = ) = ient (-) =</pre>	0.000 19.500 3.300 0.000 3.300 0 0 0.680	
Ceiling/Floor Vents			
Interior Temp (C) = Exterior Temp (C) = Relative Humidity (%) =		24.0 15.0 50	
Tenability Parameters Monitoring Height for Visibility and Asphyxiant gas model = Visibility calculations assume: Egress path segments for FED calcula: 1. Start Time (sec) 1. End Time (sec) 2. Start Time (sec) 2. End Time (sec) 3. Start Time (sec) 3. Start Time (sec) 3. End Time (sec) 3. Room	FED (m) =	2.00 FED(CO) C/VM2 reflective signs 0 600 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Sprinkler / Detector Parameters			
Ceiling Jet model used is N Sprinkler System Reliabilit; Sprinkler Probability of Su Sprinkler Cooling Coefficie;	IST JET. Y ppression nt	1.000 0.000 1.000	
Room Room Sprinkler C-Factor (m/s)^1/ Water Spray Density (mm/min Radial Distance (m) = Distance below ceiling (m) Actuation Temperature (deg	/2 = 2 = ) = = C) =	1 50 0.65 4.20 3.250 0.025 68.0	
Smoke Detector Parameters			
Smoke Detection System Reli	ability	1.000	
Smoke Detector ID Room Radial Distance from Plume Distance below Ceiling (m) Smoke Optical Density for A Detector Characteristic Lend Detector response is based of	(m) = = larm (1/m) gth Number (m) = on OD outside the o	1 1 7.00 0.025 0.097 15.00 etector chamber.	

1.0.01		l In	vercargill City	Approve	d For Issue	_
Services I.C.C.		Council	27/0	12/2020		
cument Received	d	Bu	ilding Consent			
7.0. 2010						L
./ Dec 2019 Mechanical	Ventilation (to/from out	== <mark>-</mark> ==================================	Authority		Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	24.6 22.3
uilding Division	Ventilation not installe ech ventilation system re	d. liability	roved Site Copy		Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* =	4.7 4.7 0.000
					Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path FED thermal on egress pat	20+ = 0.000 th = 0.000
Descriptio:	n of the Fire			0 min	20 sec	
CO Yield p CO Yield p	re-flashover(g/g) = ost-flashover(g/g) =		0.040 0.400		(20 sec)	Room
Soot Yield	<pre>pre-flashover(g/g) = post-flashover(g/g) =</pre>		0.070		Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	3.722
Flame Emis	sion Coefficient (1/m) =		1.00		Lower Temp (C)	21.1
Fuel - Carl	bon Moles		1.00		Unconstrained HRR (kW)	18.8
Fuel - Oxy	gen Moles		0.50		0* =	0.000
Fuel - Nit	rogen Moles		0.00		Visibility (m) at 2m	20+
Stoichiome	tric air/fuel ratio		0.0		FED gases on egress path FED thermal on egress pat	= 0.000 th $= 0.000$
Burning ob Enhanced b	jects are manually positi urning submodel is	oned in room.	OFF	0 min	30 sec	Doom
Burning Ob	ject No 1					2
descriptio	n Located in Room		1		Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	27.9
	Energy Yield (kJ/g) =		20.0		Lower Temp (C)	20.1
	CO2 Yield (kg/kg fuel	) =	1.500		Unconstrained HRR (kW)	42.2
	HCN Yield (kg/kg fuel H2O Yield (kg/kg fuel	) =	0.000		HRR (KW) O* =	42.2
	Heat Release Rate Per	Unit Area (kW/	m2) = 250.0		visibility (m) at 2m	20+
	Radiant Loss Fraction	=	0.35		FED gases on egress path	= 0.000
	Fire Elevation (m) =	0 (m) =	0.300		FED thermal on egress pat	:h = 0.000
	Location, Y-coordinat	e (m) =	7.200	0 min	40 sec	
	Fire Location (for en	trainment) =	CENTRE		(40 sec)	Room
	Filme benaviour 15		ONDIGIONEED		Layer (m)	3.659
	Alpha T2 growth coeff	icient =	0.0469		Upper Temp (C)	30.0
	Peak HRR (KW) =		20000		Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	19.4
					HRR (kW)	75.0
Postflasho	ver Inputs				Q* =	0.002
Postflasho	ver model is OFF.				FED gases on egress path FED thermal on egress path	= 0.000 th = 0.000
Flame Spre	ad Inputs			0 min	50 sec (50 sec)	Room
This simul	ation includes flame spre	ad on linings.	the Flux Time Product method		Laver (m)	2 615
cone caror	imotor ignition data is c	orreraced astily	end flux fime froudet method.		Upper Temp (C)	32.3
					Lower Temp (C)	18.9
Results fr	om Fire Simulation				unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	117.3
					Q* =	0.003
0 min 0	0 sec 0 sec)	Room 1 O	utside		Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path FED thermal on egress pat	20+ = 0.000 th = 0.000
L	ayer (m)	3.750				
U]	pper Temp (C)	24.0		1 min	00 sec	Poom
U: U:	nconstrained HRR (kW)	0.0			(00 300)	ROOM
H	RR (kW)	0.0			Layer (m)	3.562
Q	* =	0.0000			Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	34.7
V. F	ED gases on egress path =	0.000			Unconstrained HRR (kW)	168.8
F	ED thermal on egress path	= 0.000			HRR (kW)	168.8
0 min 1	0.500				Q* = Vicibility (m) at 2m	0.005
0 min 1	10 sec)	Room 1 0	utside		FED gases on egress path	= 0.000
					FED thermal on egress pat	ch = 0.000
L	ayer (m)	3.741				

Appendix C.2 - B-Fisi BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER Sprinkler Activaticn time for CF2

Outside

Outside

Outside

Outside

Outside

2019/1381

1	Inv	ercargill City		ed For Issue			
lanning		Council	27/	02/2020		Appendix C.2 - B-F	
ived	Buil	ding Consent				Sprinkler Activatic	n time for CF2
0	Dui				L		2019/1381
910 sec (70 sec)	Room 1 Outs	Authority		Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = (	20+ 0.000		
On_ayer (m)	3.50 Appro	oved Site Copy		FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.000		
Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	37.1 18.1		2 min	10 sec (130 sec)	Room	1 Outside	
Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	229.8 229.8			Layer (m)	3.125		
Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0076 20+			Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	54.5 17.5		
FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.000 = 0.000			Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	792.6 792.6		
20 sec				Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.026 20+	2	
(80 sec)	Room 1 Outs	side		FED gases on egress path = ( FED thermal on egress path =	0.000 = 0.000		
Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	3.433 39.5		2 min	20 sec			
Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	17.9			(140 sec)	Room	1 Outside	
HRR (kW)	300.2			Layer (m)	3.099		
Visibility (m) at 2m	20+			Lower Temp (C)	17.6		
FED dases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.000			HRR (kW)	919.2		
30 sec				Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.030 20+	4	
(90 sec)	Room 1 Outs	side		FED gases on egress path = ( FED thermal on egress path =	0.000 = 0.000		
Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	3.356 42.1		2 min	30 sec			
Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	17.7 379.9			(150 sec)	Room	1 Outside	
HRR (kW) Q* =	379.9 0.0126			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	3.079 62.4		
Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = 0	20+ 0.000			Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	17.7 1055.	3	
FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.000			HRR (kW) O* =	1055.	3	
40 sec (100 sec)	Room 1 Outs	side		Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = (	20+ 0.000		
Laver (m)	3 273			FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.000		
Upper Temp (C)	44.8		2 min	40 sec	Room	1 Outside	
Unconstrained HRR (kW)	469.0				2.002	1 0403140	
$Q^* =$	489.0			Upper Temp (C)	5.062		
Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = 0	20+			Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	17.9	6	
FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.000			$Q^* =$	0.039	7	
50 sec (110 sec)	Room 1 Outs	side		Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = (	20+		
Layer (m)	3.206			FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.000		
Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	47.8 17.5		2 min	50 sec (170 sec)	Room	1 Outside	
Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	567.5 567.5			Layer (m)	3.048		
Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0188 20+			Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	70.9 18.0		
FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.000 = 0.000			Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	1355. 1355.	4	
00 sec				Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.044	8	
(120 sec)	Room 1 Outs	side		FED gases on egress path = ( FED thermal on egress path =	0.000		
Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	3.159 51.0		3 min	00 sec			
Lower Temp (C)	17.5			(180 sec)	Room	1 Outside	
HRR (kW)	675.4			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	3.035		
¥ -	0.0220			opper remp (c)	, , , , ,		
	<pre>Anning </pre>	Inv         Sived         9:0.sec         (70.sec)         Onayer (m)         Upper Temp (C)         Lower Temp (C)         10:sec         Visibility (m) at 2m         20:sec         (80 sec)         Room 1         Outs         20:sec         (80 sec)         Room 1         Outs         20:sec         (80 sec)         Room 1         Outs         20:sec         (80 sec)         Room 1         0:000         20 sec         (80 sec)         Room 1         0:000         20 sec         (90 sec)         Room 1         0:000         30 sec         (90 sec)         Room 1         Constrained HRR (kW)         379.9         Q* =         0:0126         Visibility (m) at 2m         20:         FED thermal on egress path = 0.000         FED thermal on egress path = 0.000         FED thermal on egress path = 0.000         FED thermal on egress path	Inning ivid       Invercarguil City Council Building Consent Authority Outside         9:acc (*) acc (*) accc (*) acc (*) ac	Invercarguil City Council Building Consent Authority Council Building Consent Authority Council Building Consent Authority Council Building Consent Authority Council Building Consent Authority Council Council Building Consent Authority Council Council Building Consent Authority Council Council Council Building Consent Authority Council Council Council Building Consent Authority Council Council Council Building Consent Authority Council	Invercarguil City Council Building Consent Prove and the set of	Image WedInvercarguil City Council Building Consent Authority a Approved Site CopyApproved For Issue 27/02/20209Image The Site Council Building Consent Authority a Approved Site CopyImage Site Council Building Consent Authority a Approved Site Copy9Image The Site Council Building Consent Authority a Approved Site CopyImage Site Council Building Consent Authority Site Site Council Building Consent Site Council Building Consent Authority Site Site Site Council Building Consent Site Site Site Council Building Consent Site S	Inverse of the second s

. 10 0	1000		Invercargill City		
onmental & P	lanning	Council			
Services I.C.C	27 1		Couricii		
ocument Rece	Ived	Building Conser			
17 Dec 201	9 Lower Temp (C)	18.2	Authority		
Building Divisi	HRR (kW)	1519 <b>A</b>	pproved Site Copy		
	Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	20+ ).000 = 0.000			
3 min	10 sec (190 sec)	Room 1	Outside		
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path =	3.030 78.4 18.4 1519.6 0.0503 20+ 0.000 = 0.000			
3 min	20 sec (200 sec)	Room 1	Outside		
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path =	3.032 80.1 18.6 1519.6 0.0503 20+ 0.000 = 0.000			
====== Event L					
Simulat Sprinkl Fire HR 180 Sec 32 sec. 0 sec. Iterati	ion Finished. er Effectiveness 1 R is controlled by sprinkler . Sprinkler 1 responded. Smoke detector 1 operates in Item 1 description ignited.	room 1			

Computer Run-Time = 1.6 seconds.

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

Appendix C.2 - B-Fist BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER Sprinkler Activation time for CF2 2019/1381 Environmental & Planning<br/>Services I.C.C.<br/>Document ReceivedInvercargill City<br/>Council<br/>Building Consent<br/>Authority<br/>Approved Site CopyApproved For Issue<br/>27/02/2020BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER<br/>2019/1381Building DivisionApproved Site CopyApproved For Issue<br/>27/02/2020BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER<br/>2019/1381

# C.3 ASET for Challenging Fire CF3

Challenging Fire CF3 locates a fire in the kitchen of the Level 2 Childcare centre, modelled in B-Risk. The fire is subject to (quick response) sprinkler control. All internal doors assumed to be open for the duration of the model while the external doors are modelled for the duration of egress. Figure 22 below showed the B-Risk model.



Figure 22 - CF3 Visual representation of B-Risk simulation

The heat release rate for CF3 is shown below in Figure 23.





## C.3.1 CF3 ASET Summary

Tenability is limited to the FEDco outputs in spaces remote from fire origin as shown below in Figure 24 and Figure 25. The FEDco failed at 915 seconds in the Corridor (Room 2).





#### Figure 25 - CF3 FEDco in Room 3 (Childcare)

A summary of the ASET for Challenging Fire 3 is shown below in Table 21.

#### Table 21 - CF3 ASET Summary

Room	Time @ FED $_{co}$ = 0.3 (s)	ASET (s)	
Corridor (RM2)	917	017	
Childcare space (RM3)	>1000	917	

### C.3.2 CF3 ASET vs RSET Assessment

Table 22 - CF3 ASET vs RSET Summary

Floor	Loogtion1		RSI			
Level	Location	AJET (SJ	Base Case	Non-Base Case	ASET > RSET	
GF	GF Anchor	>1700	227	217	Уes	



Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C. Document Received

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

2019/1381

Floor	Loogition1		RS			
Level	Location		Base Case	Non-Base Case	ASEI > ROEI	
	N Circulation <sup>2</sup>	>1700	-	350	Yes	
L1	L1 Anchor	>1700	270	272	Yes	Rev
	Entry to Carpark	>1700	270	272	Yes	Rev
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>	>1700	266	258	Yes	
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>+</sup>	>1700	270	228	Yes	Rev
	L0 Stair 3 Landing⁵	>1700	283	275	Yes	
	LO Stair 4 Landing <sup>6</sup>	>1700	255	261	Yes	
	Corridor <sup>7</sup>	>1700	-	1521	Yes	
L2	Childcare Centre	917		Yes		

**Explanatory Notes:** 

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from the Zone 2 ground floor mall space and is taken as the node where the last person leaves GF Anchor.
- 3) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 4) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 5) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 6) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).
- 7) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Zone 3 Carpark building.
- 8) As shown above in Table 22, ASET is greater than RSET for all locations.

Attached is the following:

- B-Risk results
- FSK C.3.01 C.3.02







1 MAIN SECTION 1

	Usines Fire 10	Project Title	Sketch Title	Drawn: ACC	Date: 02 / 05 /	/ 2019
	L2, 254 Montreal St Christchurch New Zealand	HWCP - Invercargill Central	Zone 1 L2 R Rick Coomatory Section	Project No.	Sheet No.	Rev
Holr	nes T: +61 3 365 8855 holmesfire.com		B-Nisk Geometry - Section	136249	FSK C.3.02	A





INVERCARGILL CENTRAL - ZONE 1 TAY STREET & DEE STREET CORNER INVERCARGILL PageThome 917077

Status PRELIMINARY DESIGN	
Date Plotted 11/20/2018 11:39:46 AM	MAIN SECTION 1.8.2
Date Issued	WAIN SECTION 1 & 2
Scale 1:100 @A	
	Z1-PD-3000



ol 9 Dianning	Invercargil	l City	A	pproved For Issue	
es I.C.C.	Counc	il	App	endi <b>27.502/12020</b> Centre B-R	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBE
Received	Building Co	nsent			2040/4204
2019	Authori	ty			2019/1301
Division	Approved Sit	e Copy			
				bob Name HWCP Zone 1 Childcare	
			JOI	Name ACC	
				Date 19-Jul-19	
	B-Risk Cl	necklist		CF3 RM 1 - kitchen	
Building	Parameters	Teeninse			
	Volume, V <sub>R</sub> =		m <sup>3</sup>		
	Floor area, A <sub>F</sub> =	15.6	m²		
	Perimeter, P =	17.2	m		
Model Ir	nputs				
	Length, L =	6.0	m		
	Width, W =	2.6	m		
	Height, H =	2.7	m		
Shape Fa	actor				
	Average enclosure height =	2.7	m		
	SF =	2		Single room OK	
Non-dim	ensional HRR Parameter				
HR	R (peak or value at RSET) =	459.7	kW		
	Non-dimensional HRR =	0.03		Within recommended limit	
Note: App	blies to room of fire origin only	and only during	the period	l of interest	
Leakage					
Leakage	wall area (m2)=	46.4			
	area (x0.001m2/m2)	0.0464			
Leakage					

9 Diamating	Invercargi	II City		Approved For Issue
I.C.C.	Cound	cil		Appendi 27/02/2020 Centre B. BUILDING CONSENT NUMBE
Received	Building Co	onsent	Ľ	
2019	Author	itv		2019/1381
livision	Approved Si	te Copy		Job Name HWCP Zone 1 Childcare
11131011				Job Number 136249
				Name ACC
				Date 19-Jul-19
	B-Risk C	hecklist		CF1 RM 2 - Corridor
Building	Parameters			
	Volume, V <sub>R</sub> =		m³	
	Floor area, A <sub>F</sub> =	87.6	m²	
	Perimeter, P =	87	m	
Model I	nputs			
	Length, L =	41.4	m	
	Width, W =	2.1	m	
	Height, H =	2.7	m	
Shape Fa	actor			
Av	erage enclosure height =	2.7	m	
	SF =	12		Single room OK
Non-dim	ensional HRR Parameter			
HRR	peak or value at RSET) =	0	kW	
	Non-dimensional HRR =	0.00		Within recommended limit
	plies to room of fire origin o	nly and only durin	ng the	period of interest
Note: Ap				
Note: Ap				
Note: Ap Leakage				
Note: Ap Leakage Leakage	wall area (m2)=	234.9		
Note: Ap Leakage Leakage Leakage	wall area (m2)= area (x0.001m2/m2)	234.9 0.2349		

Rev.01

. 10 01	Invercargi	II City		Approved For Issue	
ices I.C.C.	Counc	cil		27/02/2020	UILDING CONSENT NUMBER
ent Received	Building Co	onsent	ЦАр	pendix C.3 Childcare Centre B-R sk	Setup and Results
)ec 2019	Author	itv			2019/1381
ng Division	Approved Si	te Copy			
15 51131011				Job Name HWCP Zone 1 Childcare	
				lob Number 136249	
				Name ALC	
	B-Risk C	hecklist		CF3 RM3 - Child carea	
Building	, Parameters				
	Volume, V <sub>R</sub> =		m <sup>3</sup>		
	Floor area, A <sub>F</sub> =	195.36	m²		
	Perimeter, P =	57.6	m		
Model I	nnute				
Widdei i	length. I =	17.6	m		
	Width. W =	11.1	m		
	Height, H =	2.7	m		
Shape F	actor				
Av	erage enclosure height =	2.7	m		
	SF =	27		Single room OK	
Non-din	nensional HRR Parameter				
HRR	(peak or value at RSET) =	0	kW		
	Non-dimensional HRR =	0.00		Within recommended limit	
Note: Ap	plies to room of fire origin or	nly and only duri	ng the p	period of interest	
Leekeer					
Leakage	wall area (m2)-	155 5			
Leakage	$a = a = a = (x_0 - 0.01 m^2/m^2)$	0 1555			
Leakage	width (m)	0.058			
Leanage		0.050			

	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue	_	
Environmental & Planning	Council	27/02/2020	F	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received		Appendix C.3	Childcare Centre B-Risk	Setup and Results
	Building Consent			2010/1201
17 Dec 2019	Authority	Ceiling Specific Heat	(J/kg,K) = 900	2019/1301
July 31, 2019 12:15:00		Ceiling Emissivity =	0.88	
Building Division	<sup>1001</sup> Approved Site Copy	SQROOT Thermal Inerti	J = 13 .a (J.m-2.s-1/2.K-1) = 34	
Input Filename : input1.xml Base File : C:\Users\amy.chao\Documen	nts\B-RISK\riskdata\basemodel 136249 Zonel CF3	Floor Surface is conc	rete	
\basemodel_136249_Zonel_CF3.xml		Floor Density (kg/m3)	= 2300 (m K) = 1.20	0.0
User Mode : C/VM2		Floor Specific Heat (	J/kg.K) = 880	-
Simulation Time = 1000.00 seconds. Initial Time-Step = 1.00 seconds.		Floor Emissivity = Floor Thickness = (mm	ı) 0.50	.0
		SQROOT Thermal Inerti	a (J.m-2.s-1/2.K-1) = 1558	3
		Room 3 : Child Area	17.	
Description of Rooms		Room Width (m) =	11.7	10
		Maximum Room Height (	m) = 2.70	0
Room 1 : Kitchen	C 00	Minimum Room Height (	m) = 2.70	2
Room Width (m) =	2.60	Absolute X Position (M) -	(m) = 0.00	0
Maximum Room Height (m) =	2.70	Absolute Y Position (	m) = 4.70	00
Minimum Room Height (m) =	2.70	Room 3 has a flat cei	ling.	
Floor Elevation (m) =	0.000	Shape Factor (Af/H^2)	= 26.8	3
Absolute X Position (m) =	0.000	Wall Surface is plast	erboard	
Room 1 has a flat ceiling.		Wall Density (kg/m3)	= 810.	.0
Shape Factor (Af/H^2) =	2.1	Wall Conductivity (W/	m.K) = 0.16	60
Wall Surface is plasterboard		Wall Specific Heat (J Wall Emissivity -	/kg.K) = 900	
Wall Density (kg/m3) =	810.0	Wall Thickness (mm) =	- 13.0	)
Wall Conductivity (W/m.K) =	0.160	SQROOT Thermal Inerti	.a (J.m-2.s-1/2.K-1) = 342	
Wall Specific Heat (J/kg.K)	= 900	Oriling Outford is al		
Wall Emissivity = Wall Thickness (mm) =	13.0	Ceiling Density (kg/m	asterboard 13) = 810	. 0
SQROOT Thermal Inertia (J.m-	-2.s-1/2.K-1) = 342	Ceiling Conductivity	(W/m.K) = 0.16	60
		Ceiling Specific Heat	(J/kg.K) = 900	
Ceiling Surface is plasterbo Ceiling Density (kg(m3) =	ein o	Ceiling Emissivity =	0.88	3
Ceiling Conductivity (W/m.K)	= 0.160	SQROOT Thermal Inerti	(J.m-2.s-1/2.K-1) = 342	2
Ceiling Specific Heat (J/kg.	K) = 900	_		
Ceiling Emissivity =	0.88	Floor Surface is conc	rete 2200	
SOROOT Thermal Inertia (J.m-	-2.8 - 1/2.K - 1) = 342	Floor Conductivity (W	- 2300 //m.K) = 1.20	0
- <u>-</u>		Floor Specific Heat (	J/kg.K) = 880	
Floor Surface is concrete		Floor Emissivity =	0.50	0
Floor Density (Kg/m3) = Floor Conductivity (W/m K) =	- 1 200	Floor Thickness = (mm SOBOOT Thermal Inerti	) $100.$ a $(Tm-2 e-1/2 K-1) = 155($	. U
Floor Specific Heat (J/kg.K)	= 880	Syloot memai merer	a (0.m 2.5 1/2.n 1) - 1550	5
Floor Emissivity =	0.50			
Floor Thickness = (mm)	100.0 -2 c=1/2 K=1) = 1558	Wall Vents		
Synoor incimur incitia (0.m-	2.0 1/2.11 1/ - 1000	Vent 1 : RM1 Leak		
Room 2 : Corridor		From room 1	to 4	
Room Length (m) =	41.40 2 10	Front face	= 0.00	20
Maximum Room Height (m) =	2.70	Vent Width	(m) = 0.07	17
Minimum Room Height (m) =	2.70	Vent Height	. (m) = 2.70	00
Floor Elevation (m) =	0.000	Vent Sill H	eight (m) = 0.00	00
Absolute X Position (m) = Absolute X Position (m) =	2 600	Vent Sorrit Opening Tim	Height (m) = 2.70	50
Room 2 has a flat ceiling.		Closing Tim	(sec) = 0	
Shape Factor (Af/H^2) =	11.9	Discharge C	oefficient (-) = 1.00	00
Wall Surface is plasterboard	1	Vent 2 : RM2 Leak		
Wall Density (kg/m3) =	810.0	From room 2	to 4	
Wall Conductivity (W/m.K) =	0.160	Rear face	of room 2	
Wall Specific Heat (J/kg.K) = Wall Emissivity =	= 900 900	Offset (m) Vont Width	= 0.80 (m) = 0.01	37
Wall Thickness (mm) =	13.0	Vent Width Vent Height	. (m) = 2.70	00
SQROOT Thermal Inertia (J.m-	2.s - 1/2.K - 1) = 342	Vent Sill H	eight (m) = 0.00	00
Colling Cumfron in plastants	and a second	Vent Soffit	Height (m) = 2.70	00
Ceiling Density (kg/m3) =	810.0	Opening Tim Closing Tim	.e (sec) = 0	
Ceiling Conductivity (W/m.K)	= 0.160	Discharge C	oefficient (-) = 1.00	00

		Invercargill City
nvironmental & Planning Services I.C.C.		Council
Document Received		Building Consent
17 Dec 2019		
Vent 3 : Door - RM	11-RM2	Authority
<b>Building Division</b>	Rear face of room	
	Vent Width (m) = Vent Height (m) = Vent Sill Height (m) = Vent Soffit Height Opening Time (sec) Closing Time (sec) Discharge Coefficie	$m) = 0.000 \\ 2.100 \\ 2.100 \\ 0.000 \\ (m) = 2.100 \\ = 0 \\ = 0 \\ ent (-) = 0.680$
Vent 4 : Final Exi	t - RM2 From room 2 to 4	
	Rear face of room Offset (m) = Vent Width (m) = Vent Height (m) = Vent Sill Height ( Vent Soffit Height Opening Time (sec) Discharge Coefficie	2 18.000 0.900 2.100 m) = 0.0000 (m) = 2.100 = 120 = 600 ent (-) = 0.680
Vent 5 : RM3 Leak		
	Rear face of room Offset (m) = Vent Width (m) = Vent Height (m) = Vent Solfit Height ( Vent Solfit Height ( Opening Time (sec) Closing Time (sec) Discharge Coeffici	3 0.800 0.058 2.700 m) = 0.000 (m) = 2.700 = 0 = 0 ent (-) = 1.000
Vent 6 : Door - RM	12-RM3	
	From Foom 2 to 3 Rear face of room Offset (m) = Vent Width (m) = Vent Height (m) = Vent Solfit Height (r Vent Soffit Height Opening Time (sec) Discharge Coefficie	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Ceiling/Floor Vents		
=======================================		
Ambient Conditions		
Interior Temp (C) = Exterior Temp (C) = Relative Humidity (	%) =	24.0 15.0 50
Tenability Paramete	rs	
Monitoring Height f Asphyxiant gas mode Visibility calculat Egress path segment 1. Start Time (sec) 1. End Time (sec)	or Visibility and 1 1 = ions assume: s for FED calculat.	FED (m) = 2.00 FED(CO) C/VM2 reflective signs ions 0 1000
1. Room 2. Start Time (sec)		2 0
2. End Time (sec) 2. Room		0 0
<ol> <li>Start Time (sec)</li> <li>End Time (sec)</li> </ol>		0 0

27/02	<b>/2020</b> Appendix C.3 Childcare Centre B-	<b>BUILDING CONSENT NUM</b> <b>Ris</b> K Setup and Results
3. Room		。2019/1381
Sprinkler	/ Detector Parameters	
Ci Sj Sj Sj	eiling Jet model used is NIST JET. prinkler System Reliability prinkler Probability of Suppression prinkler Cooling Coefficient	1.000 0.000 1.000
S	prinkler ID	1
Ri Sj Wi Ri D.	oom seponse Time Index (m.s)^1/2 = prinkler C-Factor (m/s)^1/2 = ater Spray Density (mm/min) = adial Distance (m) = Stance below ceiling (m) =	50 0.65 4.20 3.250 0.025
A	ctuation Temperature (deg C) =	68.0
Smoke Dete	ctor Parameters	
======================================	noke Detection System Reliability	1.000
SI	noke Detector ID	1
R	Dom	1
R	adial Distance from Plume (m) =	7.00
D. Si	noke Optical Density for Alarm (1/m)	0.023
D	etector Characteristic Length Number (m) =	15.00
Mechanical	Ventilation not installed.	1 000
Descriptio	n of the Fire	
CO Yield p	re-flashover(g/g) =	0.040
CO Yield p	ost-flashover(g/g) =	0.400
Soot Yield	<pre>pre-flashover(g/g) = pre-flashover(g/g) =</pre>	0.070
Flame Emis	sion Coefficient (1/m) =	1.00
Fuel - Carl	oon Moles	1.00
Fuel - Hyd	rogen Moles	2.00
Fuel - Ni+	gen Moles rogen Moles	0.00
Stoichiome	tric air/fuel ratio	0.0
Burning obj Enhanced b	jects are manually positioned in room. urning submodel is	OFF
Burning Ob	ject No 1	
acourtput0.	Located in Room	1
	Energy Yield (kJ/g) =	20.0
	CO2 Yield (kg/kg fuel) =	1.500
	HUN TIELA (KG/KG TUEL) = H2O Yield (kg/kg fuel) =	0.818
	Heat Release Rate Per Unit Area (kW/m2) =	250.0
	Radiant Loss Fraction =	0.35
	Fire Elevation (m) =	0.300
	Location, X-coordinate (m) =	3.000
	Fire Location (for entrainment) =	CENTRE
		0.0460
	Alpha T2 growth coefficient = Peak HRR (kW) =	20000

Α

Environmental & Planning		Invercargill City			I City	Approved	Approved For Issue				
Services I.C.C. Document Received		LC.C. Leceived Building Consent				27/0	2/2020 Appendix C.3	Childcare Centre B-Ris			UILDING CONSENT NUMBER etup and Results
17 Dec 201	19	Authority				Upper Temp (C)	57.6	27.7	24.0	2019/1301	
Postila ======	snover inputs	Ar	onrov	ed Sit	e Conv	L	Unconstrained HRR (kW	) 75.0	0.0	0.0	
Dulluing Divisi	Whover model is OFF.						HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	75.0 0.0056 1.74	0.0 - 20+	0. - 20+	
====== Results	from Fire Simulation				=						
	00 860				=		FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.000 path = 0.000			
0	(0 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	0 min	50 sec (50 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	2.700 24.0 24.0 0.0 0.0 0.0000 20+	2.700 24.0 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+	2.700 24.0 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.389 76.1 26.4 ) 117.3 117.3 0.0088 1.19	2.502 30.4 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	2.700 24.0 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.000					FED gases on egress p. FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.000 path = 0.000			
0 min	10 sec (10 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	1 min	00 sec	- Doom 1	Doom 0	Doom 2	Outoide
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	2.560 28.0 24.0 4.7 4.7 0.0004 20+	2.700 23.9 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	2.700 24.0 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1,232 98.4 28.5 ) 168.8 168.8 0.0127 0.88	2.292 33.7 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	2.700 24.0 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	outside
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.000					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.000			
0 min	20 sec (20 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	1 min	10 sec	pacii - 0.000			
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	2.280 34.9 24.1 18.8 18.8 0.0014 20+	2.700 23.9 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	2.700 24.0 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+			<pre>(70 sec) Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m</pre>	Room 1 1.151 123.5 33.1 ) 229.8 229.8 0.0173 0.67	Room 2 2.041 37.7 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	Room 3 2.699 24.2 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	Outside
0 min	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.000 = 0.000					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.000 path = 0.000			
0 min	(30 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	1 min	20 sec (80 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.938 44.6 24.4 42.2 42.2 0.0032 2.77	2.694 25.2 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	2.700 24.0 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.105 152.2 41.6 300.2 0.0226 0.53	1.788 41.9 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 2.49	2.684 25.7 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.000					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.000 path = 0.001			
0 min	40 sec (40 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	l min	30 sec (90 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	nažer (m)	1.024	2.000	2.700							

r ·	4		Inver	cargill	l City	Approve	ed For Issue				
Environmental & P Services I.C. Document Rece	lanning C. vived	E	C Buildir	counci ng Co	il nsent	27/0	<b>)2/2020</b> Appendix C.3	Childcare Cei	ntre B-Ris	<b>BUI</b> k Set	LIDING CONSENT NUMBER tup and Results
17 Dec 201 Building Divis	9 Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) On Inconstrained HRR (kW)	1.053 181.7 53.7 379.		uthori ed Sit	ty e Copy		Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	1.022 237.3 104.7	1.096 2. 63.3 30 26.9 24	003.1	2019/1381
	$Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0286 0.45	- 1.90	20+			HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	0.0 0.	0 +	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.001 = 0.002					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.005 path = 0.018			
l min	40 sec (100 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	2 min	30 sec (150 sec)	Room 1	Room 2 Ro	om 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.005 208.7 69.2 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.39	1.341 51.2 24.2 0.0 0.0 - 1.51	2.545 27.9 23.9 0.0 0.0 - 20+			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.023 240.1 109.3 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.109         1.           65.1         30           27.8         24           0.0         0.           0.0         0.           -         -           0.76         3.	873 .5 .0 0 42	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.001 = 0.004					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.006 path = 0.022			
1 min	50 sec (110 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	2 min	40 sec (160 sec)	Room 1	Room 2 Ro	om 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* =	1.010 221.7 83.5 423.3 423.3 0.0318	1.191 55.5 24.5 0.0 0.0 -	2.422 28.7 24.0 0.0 0.0			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW)	1.024 242.5 112.8 423.3 423.3	1.120 1. 66.6 30 28.6 24 0.0 0. 0.0 0.	749 .8 .0 0	
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.36	1.24	20+			Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318 0.32	0.71 3.	09	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	- 0.006					FED gases on egress p. FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.008 path = 0.027			
2 min	00 sec (120 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	2 min	50 sec (170 sec)	Room 1	Room 2 Ro	om 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.007 229.0 91.6 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.34	1.086 58.7 25.1 0.0 0.0 - 1.06	2.280 29.3 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.025 244.5 115.8 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.129       1.         67.9       31         29.3       24         0.0       0.         0.0       0.         -       -         0.66       2.	633 .1 .0 0 0 82	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.003 = 0.010					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.010 path = 0.033			
2 min	10 sec (130 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	3 min	00 sec (180 sec)	Room 1	Room 2 Ro	om 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.018 233.4 98.8 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.084 61.2 26.0 0.0 0.0 - 0.93	2.141 29.7 24.0 0.0 0.0 - 20+			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* =	1.026 246.4 118.3 423.3 423.3 0.0318	1.136 1. 69.0 31 29.9 24 0.0 0. 0.0 0.	524 .3 .1 0	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.004 = 0.013					FED gases on egress p	0.32 ath = 0.012	0.03 2.	22	
2 min	20 sec (140 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	3 min	FED thermal on egress	path = 0.039			

Environmental 9 D	lonning		Inver	cargill	City	rove	d For Issue				
Services I.C.(	ianning 2.		C	counci		27/0	2/2020			BU	ILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Rece	ived	F	Buildir	na Co	nsent		Appendix C.3	Childcare Ce	ntre B-I	Risk Set	tup and Results
17 Dec 201	9 (100)	D 1		ithorit	Noussia.	4	00				2019/1381
	(190 sec)	1 02 A	ROOM 2		Youtside	4 min	(240 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	
Building Divisi	Onjpper Temp (C)	248. <b>A</b>	oprove	ed Sit	e Copy		Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	1.027	1.118	1.065	
	Unconstrained HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0			Lower Temp (C)	127.4	31.6	24.3	
	Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318	-	- 2 40			HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0	
	VISIDITICY (m) at 2m	0.52	0.00	2.40			Visibility (m) at 2m	0.32	0.53	1.70	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	).013 = 0.045					FED gases on egress pa	ath = 0.024			
3 min	20 sec						FED thermal on egress	path = 0.079			
	(200 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	4 min	10 sec (250 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	1.027 249.7	1.144 70.9	1.323 31.8			Layer (m)	1.026	1.111	1.030	
	Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	122.2 423.3	30.9 0.0	24.2 0.0			Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	256.3 128.6	73.5 31.8	33.3 24.4	
	HRR (kW) O* =	423.3 0.0318	0.0	0.0			Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	423.3 423.3	0.0	0.0	
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.32	0.58	2.23			Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318	- 0.52	-	
	FED gases on egress path = $($	0.016									
	FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.051					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.027 path = 0.086			
3 min	30 sec (210 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	4 min	20 sec				
	Layer (m)	1.027	1.145	1.232			(260 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	251.2 123.8	71.6 31.2	32.0 24.2			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	1.026 257.5	1.105 73.9	0.996 33.5	
	Unconstrained HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0			Lower Temp (C)	129.8	32.1	24.5	
	$Q^* =$	423.3	-	-			HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0	
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.32	0.56	2.10			Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318 0.33	- 0.51	1.50	
	FED gases on egress path = 0	0.018									
2	FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.058					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	path = 0.029 path = 0.094			
3 min	40 sec (220 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	4 min	30 sec	Poom 1	Boom 2	Poom 3	Outsido
	Layer (m)	1.027	1.136	1.146			(270 300)	1.026	1 000	0.064	outstuc
	Lower Temp (C)	125.2	31.3	24.2			Upper Temp (C)	258.6	74.2	33.8	
	Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0			Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	131.1	32.4	24.5	
	Q* =	0.0318	-	-			HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0	
	VISIBILITY (m) at 2m	0.32	0.33	1.97			Visibility (m) at 2m	0.33	0.51	1.42	
	FED gases on egress path = C FED thermal on egress path =	0.020 = 0.065					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.032 path = 0.101			
3 min	50 sec (230 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	4 min	40 sec	-			
	Layer (m)	1.027	1.126	1.101			(280 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	253.9 126.3	72.7 31.4	32.6 24.3			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	1.025 259.7	1.094 74.5	0.932 34.0	
	Unconstrained HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0			Lower Temp (C)	132.5	32.8	24.6	
	HRR (KW) $Q^* =$	423.3 0.0318	0.0	-			Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0	
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.32	0.54	1.83			Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318 0.33	-	- 1.35	
	FED gases on egress path = (	0.022									
	FED thermal on egress path =	= 0.072					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.034 path = 0.109			

Environmental & D	lanning		Inver	cargill	l City		Approved	l For Issue					
Services I.C.C. Document Received		Council Building Consent					27/02	2/2020 Appendix C.3	Childcare Ce	ntre B-Ri	BU sk Se	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER & Setup and Results	
<b>17 Dec 201</b>	<b>19</b>		A	uthori	ty			FED thermal on egress	path = 0.149			2019/1381	
Building Divisi	(290 sec)	RoomA	oprov	ed Sit	e°Copy		5 min	40 sec (340 sec)	Room 1	Room 2 F	20 <b>m</b> 3	Outside	
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m FED gases on egress path = 0	1.025 260.8 133.9 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.088 74.7 33.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.50	0.902 34.2 24.7 0.0 0.0 - 1.29				Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.023 265.8 141.4 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.063 0 76.0 3 35.1 2 0.0 0 0.0 0  0.49 1	0.763 35.1 25.3 0.0 0.0 -		
	FED thermal on egress path =	• 0.117						FED gases on egress particular FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.050 path = 0.157				
5 min	00 sec (300 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside		5 min	50 sec	Room 1	Room 2 F	200m 3	Outside	
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.025 261.8 135.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.083 75.0 33.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.50	0.872 34.4 24.8 0.0 0.0 - 1.23				Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.023 266.8 142.9 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.058 ( 76.3 3 35.5 2 0.0 ( 0.0 ( 0.49 ]	).738 35.2 25.5 ).0 ).0		
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.039 = 0.124						FED gases on egress pa	ath = 0.052				
5 min	10 sec (310 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside		6 min	00 sec	pacii - 0.165				
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.024 262.8 136.9 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.078 75.3 33.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.50	0.844 34.6 25.0 0.0 0.0 - 1.18				(360 sec) Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	Room 1 1.023 267.7 144.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	Room 2     F       1.054     0       76.5     3       35.9     2       0.0     0       0.0     0       -     -       0.49     1	Room 3 0.714 85.4 25.6 0.0 0.0 0.0	Outside	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.042 = 0.132						FED gases on egress pa	ath = 0.055				
5 min	20 sec (320 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside		6 min	10 sec (370 sec)	Boom 1	Room 2 F	Room 3	Outside	
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.024 263.9 138.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.073 75.5 34.3 0.0 0.0 -	0.816 34.8 25.1 0.0 0.0 - 1.14				Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.022 268.7 145.8 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.049 76.7 36.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.49	).690 35.5 25.8 ).0 ).0 - ).97		
5 min	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.044 = 0.140						FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.058 path = 0.182				
5 min	30 sec (330 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside		6 min	20 sec (380 sec)	Room 1	Room 2 F	Room 3	Outside	
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.024 264.9 139.9 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.33	1.068 75.8 34.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.49	0.789 34.9 25.2 0.0 0.0 - 1.10				Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.022 269.6 147.2 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.045 0 76.9 3 36.6 2 0.0 0 	).667 35.6 25.9 ).0 ).0 		
	FED gases on egress path = $0$	0.047											

Environmental & Pl Services I.C.C Document Recei <b>17 Dec 201</b> Building Divisio	anning ved 9 FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path = 000 sec (390 sec)	Invercargill City Council Building Consent .061 0.191 Approved Site Copy Room 1 Room 2 Room 3 Outside								
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.022 270.5 148.7 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.040 77.0 37.0 0.0 - 0.48	0.645 35.7 26.1 0.0 0.0 - 0.92						
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.063 0.199								
6 min	40 sec (400 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside					
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.022 271.3 150.0 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.036 77.0 37.3 0.0 0.0 - 0.48	0.624 35.9 26.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.90						
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.066 0.208								
6 min	50 sec (410 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside					
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.022 272.2 151.3 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.031 77.0 37.6 0.0 0.0 - 0.48	0.604 36.0 26.4 0.0 0.0 - 0.88						
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.069 0.217								
7 min	00 sec (420 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside					
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.021 273.0 152.6 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.025 77.0 37.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.48	0.584 36.2 26.6 0.0 0.0 - 0.86						
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.072 0.225								
7 min	10 sec (430 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside					
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* =	1.021 273.8 153.8 423.3 423.3 0.0318	1.023 77.2 38.2 0.0 0.0	0.565 36.3 26.7 0.0 0.0 -						
	$\tilde{\mathbb{V}}$ isibility (m) at 2m	0.32	0.48	0.84						

#### Approved For Issue 27/02/2020 Appendix C.3 Childcare Centre B-Risk Setup and Results BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER 2019/1381 FED gases on egress path = 0.075FED thermal on egress path = 0.234 7 min 20 sec (440 sec) Room 1 Room 2 Room 3 Outside Layer (m) 1.021 1.024 0.546 Upper Temp (C) 274.6 77.4 36.4 Lower Temp (C) 154.9 38.5 26.9 Unconstrained HRR (kW) 423.3 0.0 0.0 HRR (kW) 423.3 0.0 0.0 0\* = 0.0318 -Visibility (m) at 2m 0.47 0.82 0 32 FED gases on egress path = 0.078FED thermal on egress path = 0.2437 min 30 sec (450 sec) Room 1 Room 2 Room 3 Outside Layer (m) 1.021 1.022 0.529 Upper Temp (C) 275.4 77.6 36.5 Lower Temp (C) 155.9 38.8 27.1 Unconstrained HRR (kW) 423.3 0.0 0.0 HRR (kW) 423 3 0.0 0.0 Q\* = 0.0318 -Visibility (m) at 2m 0.47 0.32 0.81 FED gases on egress path = 0.081FED thermal on egress path = 0.2527 min 40 sec (460 sec) Room 1 Room 2 Room 3 Outside Layer (m) 1.021 1.021 0.512 Upper Temp (C) 276 1 77 8 36.6 Lower Temp (C) 156.9 39.0 27.3 Unconstrained HRR (kW) 423.3 0.0 0.0 HRR (kW) 423.3 0 0 0 0 Q\* = 0.0318 --Visibility (m) at 2m 0.32 0.47 0.79 FED gases on egress path = 0.083FED thermal on egress path = 0.260 7 min 50 sec (470 sec) Room 3 Outside Room 1 Room 2 1.021 1.024 0.496 Laver (m) Upper Temp (C) 276.8 78.2 36.7 Lower Temp (C) 157.8 39.3 27.4 Unconstrained HRR (kW) 423.3 0.0 0.0 HRR (kW) 423.3 0.0 0.0 Q\* = 0.0318 -Visibility (m) at 2m 0.46 0.78 0.32 FED gases on egress path = 0.086FED thermal on egress path = 0.270 8 min 00 sec (480 sec) Room 1 Room 2 Room 3 Outside Layer (m) 1.022 1.026 0.481 Upper Temp (C) 276.8 78.5 36.8 Lower Temp (C) 158.6 39.5 27.6 Unconstrained HRR (kW) 423.3 0 0 0 0 HRR (kW) 423.3 0.0 0.0

0.0318

0.32

-

0.46

0.76

O\* =

Visibility (m) at 2m

Environmental & P	lanning		Inver	cargil	City	Approve	d For Issue			_	
Services I.C.O Document Rece	c. vived	E	C Buildir	ounci ng Co	nsent	27/0	2/2020 Appendix C.3	Childcare Ce	ntre B-l	Risk Se	JILDING CONSENT NUMBER tup and Results
17 Dec 201	.9		Αι	uthori	ty		Visibility (m) at 2m	0.32	0.45	0.70	2019/1381
Building Divisi	FED gases on egress path = ( ONFED thermal on egress path =	0.090 0.279	oprove	ed Sit	e Copy		FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.105 path = 0.327			
8 min	10 sec (490 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	9 min	00 sec (540 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.021 276.9 159.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.021 78.7 39.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.46	0.466 36.9 27.8 0.0 0.0 - 0.75			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.020 277.2 162.9 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.024 80.5 40.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.45	0.400 37.5 28.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.69	
	FED gases on egress path = ( FED thermal on egress path =	0.093 = 0.288					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.108 path = 0.336			
8 min	20 sec (500 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	9 min	10 sec (550 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.021 277.0 160.1 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.025 79.2 40.0 0.0 0.0 - 0.46	0.452 37.0 27.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.74			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.019 277.5 163.6 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.020 80.7 41.1 0.0 0.0 - 0.45	0.389 37.6 28.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.68	
	FED gases on egress path = ( FED thermal on egress path =	0.096 = 0.297					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.112 path = 0.347			
8 min	30 sec (510 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	9 min	20 sec (560 sec)	Boom 1	Boom 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.021 277.2 160.8 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.025 79.5 40.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.45	0.438 37.1 28.1 0.0 0.0 - 0.72			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.019 278.0 164.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.023 81.0 41.3 0.0 0.0 - 0.44	0.377 37.7 28.8 0.0 0.0 - 0.67	
	FED gases on egress path = ( FED thermal on egress path =	0.099 = 0.307					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.115 path = 0.357			
8 min	40 sec (520 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	9 min	30 sec	Room 1	Boom 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^{\star} =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.021 277.0 161.5 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.021 79.8 40.4 0.0 0.0 - 0.45	0.425 37.3 28.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.71			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.019 278.5 165.1 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.021 81.2 41.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.44	0.367 37.8 28.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.66	
	FED gases on egress path = ( FED thermal on egress path =	0.102 = 0.317					FED gases on egress pa FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.118 path = 0.367			
8 min	50 sec (530 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	9 min	40 sec	Doom 1	Boom 2	Poor 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* =	1.020 277.1 162.2 423.3 423.3 0.0318	1.021 80.1 40.7 0.0 0.0	0.412 37.4 28.4 0.0 0.0 -			Laver (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	1.018 279.0 165.8 423.3 423.3	1.021 81.5 41.7 0.0 0.0	0.357 37.9 29.1 0.0 0.0	outside

- - nvironmental & Pl	anning		Inver	cargil	l City	Approve	ed For Issue			_	
Services I.C.C Document Recei	ived	E	C Buildir	counc ng Co	il nsent	27/0	0 <b>2/2020</b> Appendix C.3	Childcare Ce	entre B-	<b>Ris</b> < S	etup and Results
17 Dec 2019	9 <sub>Q* =</sub> Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318 0.32		uthori ed Sit	ty e Conv		HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	423.3 0.0318 0.32	0.0 - 0.39	0.0 - 0.61	2019/1381
Building Divisio	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.378					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.139			
9 min	50 sec	Dec. 1	D	D	Outed	10	FED thermal on egress	path = 0.434			
	(590 sec) Laver (m)	1.018	1.020	0.347	Outside	10 min	40 Sec (640 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	0utside
	Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	279.5 166.5 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	81.6 41.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.44	38.0 29.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.64			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.005 283.9 175.3 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.31	0.944 87.1 47.3 0.0 0.0 - 0.38	0.296 38.8 29.8 0.0 0.0 - 0.60	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.125 = 0.388					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.143			
10 min	00 sec (600 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	10 min	50 sec	Boom 1	Boom 2	Room 3	3 Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	1.018 280.0 167.2 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	1.019 81.8 42.1 0.0 0.0 - 0.44	0.337 38.0 29.3 0.0 0.0 - 0.63			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	1.005 284.8 178.1 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.31	0.928 87.6 48.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.37	0.287 39.1 29.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.59	
10 min	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.128 = 0.399					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	eath = 0.147 path = 0.461			
	<pre>(610 sec) Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m</pre>	Room 1 1.010 280.7 167.9 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	Room 2 0.997 83.9 43.6 0.0 0.0 - 0.42	Room 3 0.326 38.2 29.4 0.0 0.0 - 0.63	Outside	11 min	00 sec (660 sec) Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	Room 1 1.002 285.7 180.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.31	Room 2 0.914 87.9 49.0 0.0 0.0 - 0.36	Room 3 0.278 39.3 30.1 0.0 0.0 - 0.58	0utside
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.132 = 0.410					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.152			
10 min	20 sec (620 sec) Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	Room 1 1.004 281.8 169.7 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.32	Room 2 0.977 85.5 45.0 0.0 - 0.40	Room 3 0.316 38.4 29.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.62	Outside	11 min	10 sec (670 sec) Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	Room 1 0.998 286.4 182.3 423.3 0.0318 0.31	Room 2 0.900 88.2 49.6 0.0 0.0 - 0.36	Room 3 0.270 39.5 30.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.57	0 Outside
10 min	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.135 = 0.422					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	path = 0.156 path = 0.488			
IU MIN	(630 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	11 min	20 sec (680 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	0utside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	1.003 282.9 172.3 423.3	0.960 86.5 46.3 0.0	0.306 38.6 29.7 0.0			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	0.992 287.0 184.1	0.886 88.5 50.2	0.263 39.7 30.4	

Environmental & P	lanning		Inver	cargil	l City	Approve	d For Issue				
Services I.C.O Document Rece	c. ived	E	C Buildii	counc ng Co	il nsent	27/0	2/2020 Appendix C.3	Childcare Ce	entre B-	Ris ( S	etup and Results
17 Dec 201	9 Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$	423.3 423.3 0.031		uthori ed Sit	ty e Copy		Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW)	191.5 423.3 423.3	52.2 0.0 0.0	31.1 0.0 0.0	2019/1381
Dulluling Divisi	Unvisibility (m) at 2m	0.31	4.39				Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318	0.33	0.51	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path :	0.160 = 0.502					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.185			
11 min	30 sec (690 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	12 min	20 sec (740 sec)	Room 1	Boom 2	Boom 3	3 Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.987 287.5 185.7 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.31	0.872 88.7 50.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.34	0.255 40.0 30.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.55			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.952 289.6 192.8 ) 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.30	0.808 89.4 52.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.32	0.225 40.9 31.3 0.0 0.0 - 0.50	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path :	0.165 = 0.515					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.190 path = 0.586			
11 min	40 sec (700 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	12 min	30 sec (750 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	3 Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.980 288.0 187.2 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.30	0.858 88.8 51.1 0.0 0.0 - 0.34	0.248 40.2 30.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.54			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.944 290.0 194.1 ) 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.29	0.796 89.5 52.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.32	0.220 41.1 31.4 0.0 0.0 - 0.49	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path =	0.170 = 0.529					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.195			
11 min	50 sec (710 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	12 min	40 sec (760 sec)	Boom 1	Boom 2	Room 3	3 Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.973 288.4 188.7 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.30	0.845 89.0 51.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.33	0.242 40.4 30.8 0.0 0.0 - 0.53			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.937 290.3 195.4 ) 423.3 0.0318 0.29	0.784 89.6 53.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.31	0.215 41.2 31.6 0.0 0.0 - 0.48	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path :	0.175 = 0.544					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.201			
12 min	00 sec (720 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	12 min	50 sec (770 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	3 Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.966 288.9 190.1 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.30	0.832 89.1 51.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.33	0.236 40.5 31.0 0.0 - 0.52			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.929 290.7 196.7 ) 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.29	0.773 89.8 53.4 0.0 0.0 -	0.210 41.4 31.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.48	
12 min	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	0.180 = 0.558					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.206 path = 0.630			
12 m11	(730 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	13 min	00 sec (780 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	0.959 289.3	0.820 89.3	0.230 40.7			Layer (m)	0.922	0.762	0.206	

Environmental & P	lanning		Inver	cargill	l City il	Approve	ed For Issue			BU	
Document Rece	ived	E	Buildir	ng Co	nsent	211	Appendix C.3	Childcare Ce	entre B-	Risk Se	tup and Results
17 Dec 201 Building Divisio	9 Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	291.0 197.9 423.3		uthori ed:Sit	ty e Copy		Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HPR (kW	0.887 292.5 203.6 423.3	0.714 90.5 55.0	0.189 42.2 32.5	2019/1381
Sanani 8 Sinoi	$Q^* = V$ isibility (m) at 2m	0.0318 0.29	0.31	0.47	<u> </u>		HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.28	0.0	0.0	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.212 = 0.644					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.242			
13 min	10 sec (790 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	14 min	n 00 sec (840 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^{\star} =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.915 291.3 199.1 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.29	0.752 90.0 54.0 0.0 - 0.30	0.202 41.7 32.0 0.0 0.0 - 0.46			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.880 292.7 204.6 () 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.28	0.705 90.6 55.2 0.0 0.0 -	0.186 42.4 32.6 0.0 0.0 - 0.43	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.218 = 0.659					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.248 path = 0.733			
13 min	20 sec (800 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	14 min	n 10 sec (850 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.907 291.6 200.3 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.28	0.742 90.1 54.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.30	0.199 41.8 32.1 0.0 0.0 - 0.45			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.874 293.0 205.6 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.27	0.697 90.7 55.4 0.0 0.0 - 0.29	0.183 42.5 32.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.42	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.224 = 0.674					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.255 path = 0.748			
13 min	30 sec (810 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	14 min	n 20 sec (860 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lover Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.900 291.9 201.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.28	0.732 90.2 54.5 0.0 0.0 - 0.30	0.195 42.0 32.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.45			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.811 303.3 168.4 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.28	0.692 90.7 55.6 0.0 0.0 - 0.29	0.182 42.6 32.8 0.0 0.0 - 0.41	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.230 = 0.689					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.261 path = 0.763			
13 min	40 sec (820 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	14 min	1 30 sec (870 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.893 292.2 202.5 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.28	0.723 90.3 54.7 0.0 0.0 - 0.30	0.192 42.1 32.4 0.0 0.0 - 0.44			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.803 302.3 156.2 423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.27	0.690 90.9 55.8 0.0 0.0 - 0.28	0.181 42.7 32.9 0.0 0.0 - 0.41	
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.236 = 0.703					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.268 path = 0.779			
13 min	50 sec (830 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	14 min	40 sec (880 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside

	100 X 10		Inver	cargill	l City	Approved	I For Issue				
Services I.C.C	anning		С	ounc	il	27/02	2/2020	Childcaro Co	ntro D I	BL	JILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Rece	ived	E	Buildir	na Co	nsent		Appendix C.5	childcare ce	intre b-i	ISK SE	
17 Dec 201	9	0 903	A	uthori	ty		(930 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	2019/1381
Building Divisi	Upper Temp (C) Dh.ower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	301. 154. 423.3	oprove	edSit	e Copy		Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	0.797 301.3 154.6	0.679 91.8 56.9	0.170 43 33.5	
	HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	423.3 0.0318 0.27	0.0 - 0.28	0.0 - 0.40			Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) $Q^* =$	423.3 423.3 0.0318	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	
	FED gases on egress path = 0	.275				·	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.26	0.27	0.37	
14 min	FED thermal on egress path =	0.794					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.310 path = 0.871			
14 10111	(890 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	15 min	40 sec (940 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRP (kW)	300.9 153.7 423.3	91.2 56.2 0.0	42.9 33.1 0.0			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW	0.796 301.5 154.9 423.3	0.678 91.9 57.0	0.169 43.4 33.6	
	$Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318	0.28	- 0.40			HRR (kW) $Q^* =$ Visibility (m) at 2m	423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.25	0.0	0.0 - 0.37	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.281					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.318 path = 0.887			
15 min	00 sec (900 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	15 min	50 sec (950 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW)	0.802 300.9 153.8 423.3	0.685 91.4 56.4 0.0	0.175 43.0 33.2 0.0			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	0.795 301.7 155.3	0.676 92.0 57.2	0.168 43.5 33.7	
	HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	423.3 0.0318 0.26	0.0 - 0.27	0.0 - 0.39			Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW) Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	<pre>423.3 423.3 0.0318 0.25</pre>	0.0 0.0 - 0.26	0.0 0.0 - 0.36	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.288					FED gases on egress p	ath = 0.325			
15 min	10 sec (910 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	16 min	00 sec	path = 0.903			
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C)	0.800	0.683 91.5	0.173 43.1			(960 sec) Laver (m)	Room 1 0.793	Room 2 0.674	Room 3 0.167	Outside
	Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) O* =	154.0 423.3 423.3 0.0318	56.5 0.0 0.0	33.3 0.0 0.0 -			Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW HRR (kW)	301.9 155.6 423.3 423.3	92.1 57.3 0.0	43.6 33.8 0.0 0.0	
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.26	0.27	0.39			Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318 0.25	- 0.26	- 0.36	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.296					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.333 path = 0.919			
15 min	20 sec (920 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	16 min	10 sec (970 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside
	Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW) O* =	0.799 301.1 154.3 423.3 423.3 0.0318	0.681 91.6 56.7 0.0 0.0	0.172 43.2 33.4 0.0 0.0			Layer (m) Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C) Unconstrained HRR (kW) HRR (kW)	0.792 302.2 156.0 () 423.3 423.3	0.672 92.3 57.5 0.0 0.0	0.166 43.7 33.9 0.0 0.0	
	$\bar{\rm V}$ isibility (m) at 2m	0.26	0.27	0.38			Q* = Visibility (m) at 2m	0.0318	0.26	- 0.36	
	FED gases on egress path = 0 FED thermal on egress path =	.303 0.856					FED gases on egress p FED thermal on egress	ath = 0.341 path = 0.935			
15 min	30 sec						-				

F
. 10 0	1 P.S. 1 F. 1993		Inver	cargil	I City	
nental & Pl	lanning		Council			
ment Rece	ived					
		t	Buildir	ng Co	nsent	
Dec 201	9 <sub>20 sec</sub>		A	uthori	tv	
	(980 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	
ding Divisio	OnLayer (m)	0.79 <b>A</b>	oprove	edipit	есору	
	Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	302.4 156.3	92.4 57.6	43.8 34.0		
	Unconstrained HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0		
	Q* =	0.0318	-	-		
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.25	0.26	0.35		
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.349 = 0.951				
16 min	30 sec (990 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	
	Layer (m)	0.789	0.669	0.164		
	Upper Temp (C) Lower Temp (C)	302.6 156.7	92.5 57.8	43.9 34.0		
	Unconstrained HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0		
	$Q^* =$	0.0318	-	-		
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.25	0.25	0.35		
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.357 = 0.967				
16 min	40 sec (1000 sec)	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Outside	
	Layer (m)	0.788	0.667	0.163		
	Lower Temp (C)	302.8 157.0	92.6 57.9	44.0 34.1		
	Unconstrained HRR (kW)	423.3	0.0	0.0		
	$Q^* =$	0.0318	-	-		
	Visibility (m) at 2m	0.25	0.25	0.34		
	FED gases on egress path = FED thermal on egress path	0.365 = 0.983				
Errost T	~~~				:=	
======	~y =======					
FED(thermal) Exceeded 0.3 at 503.0 Seconds. FED(thermal) Exceeded 0.3 at 503.0 Seconds. Simulation Finished. Sprinkler Effectiveness 1 600 sec. Vent 2-4-2 closed by user. Fire HRR is controlled by sprinkler 95 Sec. Sprinkler 1 responded. 29 sec. Visibility at 2m above floor reduced to 10 m in room 1 30 sec. Item 1 description ignited. Iteration 1						
					=	

Approved For Issue		
27/02/2020 Appendix C.3	Childcare Centre B-Ris	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
		2019/1381

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# C.4 ASET for Robustness Check RC1

Robustness Check RC1 utilise Challenging Fire CF1 with the failure of the smoke exhaust system. The fire is subject to (quick response) sprinkler control.

#### Table 23 - Sprinkler Activation time for CF1

(Quick Response) Sprinkler activation in FDS (s)	Sprinkler activation modelled for HRR control (s)
265	265

The graph below shows the comparison between the HRR output of the FDS burner modelled in RC1 against that specified in C/VM2 (0.0469t<sup>2</sup>).





### C.4.1 RC1 ASET Summary

Tenability was assessed by FEDco slice files located 2m above each floor. The table below showed the tenability for each floor. As agreed in the Zone 1 FEB, only FEDco will be assessed.

#### Table 24 - RC1 ASET

Floor Level	Location	Time @ FED <sub>co</sub> = 0.3 (s)	ASET (s)	
GF	Retail >1700			
	SW Entry to Mall >1700		1700	
	Main Entry (Esk St)	>1700	>1/00	
	SE Exit to Tay St	>1700		
L1	Retail	1610	1610	
	Entry to Carpark	1644	1644	
	Entry to Stair 3	1686	1686	



F 10 DL 1	Invercargill City
Services I.C.C.	Council
Document Received	Building Consent
17 Dec 2019	Authority
<b>Building Division</b>	Approved Site Copy

BUILDING (	CONSENT	NUMBER
------------	---------	--------

2019/1381

Floor Level	Location	Time @ FED <sub>co</sub> = 0.3 (s)	ASET (s)
	Entry to Stair 4	1615	1615

The images below showed FDS Smokeview FEDco slice file at 2 metres above each level.



Figure 27 - RC1 FEDco slice file at 2.0 m above Ground Floor Anchor at 1700 s



Figure 28 - RC1 FEDco measured at 2.0 m above Level 1 floor at main exits



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# C.4.2 RC1 ASET vs RSET Assessment

Table 25 - RC1 ASET vs RSET Summary

Floor	Location <sup>1</sup>		RSET <sup>1</sup> (s)		RSET <sup>1</sup> (s)			
Level		ASEI (SJ	Base Case	Non-Base Case	ASEI > KSEI			
C.F.	GF Anchor	>1700	212	202	Yes			
GF	N Circulation <sup>2</sup>	>1700	-	534	Yes			
L1	L1 Anchor	1610	285	351	Yes	Rev B		
	Entry to Carpark	1644	285	287	Yes	Rev B		
	L1 Stair 3 Landing <sup>3</sup>	1686	281	273	Yes			
	L1 Anchor-S BoH <sup>4</sup>	1615	285	243	Yes	Rev B		
	L0 Stair 3 Landing⁵	1610	298	290	Yes			
	L0 Stair 4 Landing <sup>6</sup>	1644	270	276	Yes			
	Corridor <sup>7</sup>	>1700	-	1540	Yes			
L2	Childcare Centre	>1700	7	786	Yes			

Explanatory Notes:

- 1) Location is the space represented as nodes in EvacuatioNZ.
- 2) 'N Circulation' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from the Zone 2 ground floor mall space and is taken as the node where the last person leaves GF Anchor.
- 3) 'L1 Stair 3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 3 which indicates the queuing clear time preceding stair 3.
- 4) 'L1 Anchor-S BoH' is the node that corresponds to the lobby space before entering into Stair 4 which indicate the queuing clear time preceding stair 4.
- 5) 'LO Stair3 Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 3 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor north stairwell) which is shared by the occupants from L2 Childcare space.
- 6) 'LO Stair' Landing' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Stair 4 (i.e. time to clear L1 Anchor south stairwell).
- 7) 'Corridor' is the node that corresponds to the space precede the final exit from Zone 3 Carpark building.

As shown above in Table 25, ASET is greater than RSET for all locations.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### Appendix D Smoke Barrier (Upper Layer Temperature) Assessment

The following details the assessment of the smoke barrier located between the Anchor building and the adjacent mall/carpark building.

The worst-case scenario is Challenging Fire 2 where the fire is located near the mall opening on the ground floor. The temperature is monitored near the Zone 1 Anchor west elevation.

Challenging Fire	Floor Level	Upper Layer Temperature (°C)
051	GF (between Anchor and Mall)	<50
CFI	L1 (between Anchor and Carpark)	<50
052	GF (between Anchor and Mall)	<117
CF2	L1 (between Anchor and Carpark)	<30
DC1	GF (between Anchor and Mall)	<40
RCI	L1 (between Anchor and Carpark)	<80

#### Table 26 - CF1, CF2 and RC1 Upper Layer Temperature

The slice files below in Figure 29, Figure 30 and Figure 31 showed the upper layer temperature against time for CF1, CF2 and RC1.

As shown in Table 26 above and the figures below, the temperature between the ground floor anchor and mall smoke barrier are less than 200°C for the duration of the model run (1700 seconds) for all three scenarios. Thus, it is reasonable to assume the smoke barrier between anchor and mall would remain in place for the duration of the RSET. The temperature between the level 1 anchor and level 1 carpark are also less than 200°C for the duration of the model run for all three scenarios and is reasonable to assume the smoke barrier between level 1 anchor and level 1 carpark are also less than 200°C for the duration of the model run for all three scenarios and is reasonable to assume the smoke barrier between level 1 anchor and carpark would remain in place for the duration of the RSET.



Figure 29 - CF1 Temperature slice file adjacent the west wall at 479 s(worst case)











Appendix E Correspondence



	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue	
Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C.	Council	27/02/2020	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	Ruilding Consent	HWCP Zpne 3 FEB Fire	Peer Review Queries Response
17 Dec 2019	Authority		HolrnesFi <b>2019/1381</b>
Building Division	Approved Site Copy		

Project:	HWCP Invercargill CBD Development	Design Stage:	FEB Peer Review
Document:	Zone 1 (Anchor) Fire Engineering Brief		Rev A dated 24 June 2019
Company:		Reviewer (s):	MacDonald Barnett / Michael Dunn

No.	Item	Review Comments Date: 26/06/2019	Response Comments Date: 04/07/2019
1)	BOH Areas Anchor tenant	<ul> <li>The fire design is based on a Class A sprinkler water supply and on this basis there is no requirement for HS fire spread to neighbouring property to be considered. Under C/VM2 this imposes a storage height limit of 3 metres.</li> <li>How is storage to be managed in the BOH areas of the anchor tenant, or is a fire separation between BOH and retail areas to be provided?</li> </ul>	The design for the BOH areas is still under discussion with the proposed tenant. If the storage height exceeds the 3 m limit, fire separation will be provided between the BOH and retail areas. Any such firewall will be 60 min rated. <b>Date 1-8-2019 MacDonald Barnett</b> Peer review FEB query closed out
2)	Fire Resistance	• Confirm that Clause 2.5 will be addressed in respect to evaluating fire resistance of the enclosed egress stairs and fire separation between the childcare centre and the remainder of the development.	The fire resistance rating for the anchor stairwells will be assessed either by using burnout calculation or 3 times the RSET as per Clause 2.5. <b>Date 1-8-2019 MacDonald Barnett</b> Peer review FEB query closed out

Environment Service Documen 17 De Building	al & Planning es I.C.C. t Received c <b>2019</b> Division	Invercargill City Council Building Consont Authority Approved Site Copy		Approved For Issu 27/02/2020 HWCP	e Zone 3 FEB Fire Peer Re Holi	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER View Queries Response ThesFit2019/1381
No.	Item	Review Comments	Date: 26/06/20	19 Response Co	mments Date: 04	4/07/2019
3)	Challenging Fire 3	<ul> <li>We consider that the zone model in the kitchen should have the adjust modelled as an equivalent area of corridor / linkway and not half the Given the building is sprinkler protereasonable to assume that the part in place.</li> <li>Under C/VM2 we believe it is recadjacent room /s with the doors of 2.2.1e.</li> </ul>	el with fire modelle acent area being f the adjoining entire floor area. ected it is rtitions will remain sonable to mode pen as per C/VM2	ed Noted. The zo the kitchen (r potentially or the central co be modelled We do not fu C/VM2 as the criteria apply as requested <b>Date 1-8-201</b> Peer review F	one model for the child oom of fire origin), cen ne more room with ter orridor. Doors connect as open. Indamentally agree th ey will be unrated barr to their performance. simply to enable us to <b>9 MacDonald Barnett</b> EB query closed out	dcare will include ntral corridor and nability measured in ting these rooms will is is necessary under iers and therefore no . We are modelling o progress.

5 10 21 1	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue	
Services I.C.C.	Council	<b>27/02/2020</b> HWCP Zone 3 FEB Fire Peer Ru	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
17 Dec 2019	Authority	Ho	rnesFile <b>019/1381</b>
Building Division	Approved Site Copy		

END OF REVIEW COMMENTS





Amy Chao Holmes Fire LP By Email

23 July 2019

Dear Amy,

# Re: Fire Engineering Brief for HWCP Invercargill CBD Development (Our Reference: FEB 13041- Rev 1)

## Status: Ongoing

Thank you for the additional information provided on the above project. Fire and Emergency understands that the project involves the redevelopment of a whole city block bounded by Tay Street, Dee Street, Kelvin Street and Esk Street.

The complex will consist of two levels of retail and dining tenancies, a multi-level carpark building and an office tower which may form a separate stage. The existing Kelvin hotel on this block is not part of this development, however the existing Readings cinema complex will be connected to the development. With regards to development staging, the complex has been subdivided into multiple zones, namely:

- Zone 1 The anchor tenancy space and the rooftop childcare center
- Zone 2 The ground and first floor mall area
- Zone 3 The carparking building

The complex is intended to operate on an all-out evacuation scheme however smoke detection may operate on a double knock arrangement before alarm activation.

The master FEB is unusual in that it covers only those aspects that are intended to apply to the entire complex. It is effectively a master document and additional FEB documentation is intended to be issued as the project develops.

The Zone 3 FEB covers design aspects (such as design fire locations) that are applicable to the carpark building. The Zone 1 FEB covers design aspects of the anchor tenancy. These documents are intended to be read in conjunction with the Zone 0 FEB.

The FEB report proposes to use C/VM2 to demonstrate that the fire design for the building will meet the performance requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. This letter outlines the Fire and Emergency position as a stakeholder in the building design process.

> 17 Dec 2019 Building Division

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

Referenced Information

ltem	Title	Date	Revision
Emails	Preliminary meeting and email discussion on fire fighting facilities and requirements for complex	19 October 2018 to 8 May 2019	-
FEB	HWCP Invercargill CBD Development Master Fire Engineering Brief	19 May 2019	А
Meetings and meeting minutes	Two weekly meetings on design progress	Commenced 23 May 2019	-
Peer review correspondence	Fire Peer Review of FEB – HWCP Invercargill CBD Development, Edendale	30 May 2019	-
FEB response	HWCP Invercargill CBD Development Master Fire Engineering Brief response	13 June 2019	-
FEB	HWCP Invercargill CBD Development Zone 3 (Carpark) Fire Engineering Brief	14 June 2019	А
FEB	HWCP Invercargill CBD Development Zone 1 (Anchor tenancy) Fire Engineering Brief	24 June 2019	A
FEB response	HWCP Invercargill CBD Development Master Fire Engineering Brief response	4 July 2019	-

The comments from the peer reviewer are noted and concurred with. Fire and Emergency has reviewed the FEB documentation identified above and offers additional comments in the attached list:

To avoid unnecessary iterations of the FEB process, Fire and Emergency welcomes discussion on any of the above items, however a written response is required for our records. While we recommend that the final revision of the FEB includes all stakeholder comments, the impact of the items identified above are not considered sufficient to warrant a revision of the FEB documentation on their own. However, should additional items be identified by other stakeholders then it is recommended that the FEB be revised to address all issues.

Our review of the information provided has focused on the requirements of C/VM2 and is intended to provide guidance to reduce the consent risks associated with undertaking verification method design. No assessment against the requirements of the acceptable solutions has been undertaken. Also, please note that this advice does not imply a technical verification of the information provided.

If you have any questions related to the above, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

p. P.

17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

Paul Richards Fire Engineering Unit

cc: Steve McCarthy Fire and Emergency Engineering Unit Mike Dunn Murray Milne-Maresca

<u>Steve.mccarthy@icc.govt.nz</u> <u>Engineers@fireandemergency.nz</u> <u>miked@macbar.co.nz</u> Murray.Milne-Maresca@fireandemergency.nz

Attached: FEB comments register

ental & Planning rices I.C.C. ent Received <b>Dec 2019</b>	Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority	Approved For Issue 27/02/2020
	omments Register – FENZ ref: FEB 13041	Designers Reference: 136249
Item	Fire and Emergency NZ Comment	Designers Response
Buildin The foll Master	g Code Items owing items have been identified as they directly relate to compliance with t FEB Comments	the Building Code
1.1	<ul> <li>4 June 2019 – Project staging</li> <li>While we acknowledge and support the proposed approach of this FEE that some subtleties of the design may only become apparent or information is available. While the following comments have been made basis of the information provided, please note that in specific instant decisions may have to be revised once greater detail is available.</li> <li>One example of this is the proposal for a medium fire in the carpark zo this may be appropriate for the carpark use, it may not be appropriate proposed community use of the top floor.</li> </ul>	EB we note once more ade on the nces these zone. While iate for the
1.2	4 June 2019 – Double knock smoke detection         For those areas with smoke detection, please clarify how the propose knock detection will be incorporated into the modelling.         In addition please clarify how this will work in the event of rooms small e have only a single detector.	13.06.2019         sed double       Double knock detection is yet to be confirmed.         I enough to       If double knock system is proposed, the configuration of detectors, noting of present in a space, will be presented in a sketch format in detailed zone understanding before we proceed.
1.3	4 June 2019 – FLED         The FLED drawings indicate that back of house storage areas are to hav of either 400 or 800MJ/m2. Please justify why the storage<3m FLED of 12 given in C/VM2 Table 2.2 is not proposed to be used.	ave a FLED       We will address the storage<3m FLED of 1200 MJ/m <sup>2</sup> in the detailed zone         1200MJ/m2       At this stage we believe this is limited to major anchor tenancies only.         A Time equivalence sensitivity of retail areas having 10% 1200MJ/r         considered.
1.4	<b>4 June 2019 – Proposed k</b> <sub>b</sub> factor As discussed in the meeting, please justify the value of 0.065 for th immediately below the carpark given that this floor slab will be insulated for reasons.	13.06.2019         the spaces         For the space below the carpark (mall area), we are proposing to do a we         I for thermal         FLED and K₀ factor. The void between ground floor mall area and the in         will be considered as a horizontal vent for the purpose of calculation time         the ground floor space only.         Details will be included in the appropriate detailed Zone FEB.
1.5	<b>4 June 2019 – Use of B-risk</b> The proposal to use Q* and shape factor to assess the suitability of B-Ris and concurred with. However be aware that other guidance such as as and the 'rules' over the configuration of virtual rooms may also impact on set-up and therefore suitability of this tool.	<b>13.06.2019</b> Lisk is noted aspect ratio n the modelIf and when B-Risk is proposed, this will be detailed in the zone FEB in sket into consideration of other guidance not mentioned in the master FEB such and virtual rooms configuration.

		BUILDING	CONSENT NUMBER
	Close Out	Date	
	4 June 2019		
	21 June 2019	)	
anly one may be			
FEB for mutual			
	21 June 2019	)	
FEB.			
m <sup>2</sup> will also be			
	21 June 2019	)	
eighted average termediate floor			
equivalence ior			
	21 June 2019	)	
ch format taking n as aspect ratio			

Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C.		Invercargill City Council			Approved For Issue 27/02/2020			BUILDING
Document Received 17 Dec 2019		Building Consent						20
Building Division	omments Register – FENZ ref: FEB	Approved Site Copy		Designers Reference	: 136249			
Item	Fire and Emergency NZ Comment	t		Designers Response			Close Out	Date
1.6	4 June 2019 – FDS grid resolution	۱		13.06.2019			21 June 2019	)
	Proposed grid resolution (0.25m ne that details to be provided in sul developed. Given that D* has not be reference to D* should no longer be	ear field to 1m far field) noted. Re bsequent zone FEB documents een supported by NIST since Nov relied on to justify an appropriate	commended once these rember 2015 resolution.	Noted. We are proposin resolution study will be	ng to do grid resolution study included in each zone FEB.	to one scenario. Detailed of the grid		
	A review of the FDS user guide sug use new parameters such as MTR of appropriate.	ggests that either a grid resolution or Wavelet Error Measure (WEM) r	study or the nay be more					
1.7	4 June 2019 – Factors influencing	challenging fire locations		13.06.2019			21 June 2019	)
	In other mall projects around the cou that impact on the choice of design these when determining the challer mall FEB.	ntry we have observed a number o fire locations. We recommend con nging fire locations particularly for	f parameters sideration of r the zone 2	Noted. Details of assum	nptions will be documented ir	n each zone FEB.		
	a. Ceiling height within the ma	all and retail shops						
	b. Width and number of open corner tenancies).	nings into the mall (particularly a	pplicable for					
	c. Controls or restrictions on the downstand (if any) between the tenancy and the mall concourse.							
	d. The potential of openings between floors within tenancies.							
	e. Proximity to voids connecting to the upper level of retail/dining spaces.							
	While the details of these is unlikely highlight the concern and support the	y to be available at this time the in the future challenging fire locations.	ntention is to					
	We also recommend that the details so that future retail fit-outs may be This will help confirm if a propo assumptions or if additional modellin	s of these assumptions be clearly compared against these design a osed fit-out lies within the origing ong is required to support that fit-our	documented assumptions. nal building t.					
1.8	4 June 2019 - Modelling of doors			13.06.2019			21 June 2019	)
	The FEB currently proposes to mod of the simulation. While this is appro accordance with C/VM2 2.2.1(d). Are purposes?	el external doors as 50% open for priate during occupant egress, ple e these doors designed to open fo	the duration ase justify in r makeup air	Noted. Details of extern are designed to auto op	nal doors will be clarified in ea ben, it applies only to those d	ach zone FEB. In general, if the doors oors.		
1.9	4 June 2019 – Smoke control initi	ation		13.06.2019			21 June 2019	)
	The FEB currently proposes to mod of 0 seconds and a ramp up time verification time in C/VM2 is base response time requirements of NZS the suitability of the times proposed.	lel mechanical smoke control with of 30 seconds. We note that the ed on the alarm verification and 4512 clauses 204.6 and 204.7. Ple	a delay time e 30 second d equipment ease discuss	If the double knock s reasonable. If a double considering a delay time In respect to exhaust f appropriate buildings (2	eystem is proposed, a dela e knock system is not prop e of 15 seconds. an ramp up times, this is to Zone 1 - Anchor and Zone 2-n	y time of 0 seconds is considered bosed within the building, we will be be detailed in the zone FEB for the mall).		

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

& Planning C.C. eceived 019		Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority		Approved For Issue 27/02/2020			BUILD
visionEB Co	omments Register – FENZ ref: FEB	13041 Proved Site Copy	Designers Refer	ence: 136249			
Item Zone 3	Fire and Emergency NZ Comment (Carpark) FEB	t	Designers Respo	nse		Close Out	Date
1.10	3 July 2019 - HS scenario		04.07.2019			15 July 2019	)
	The zone 3 FEB is ambiguous in the the sprinkler system is being provise condary water supply is no certain	at Table 1 indicates that two water supplie vided whereas section 4.1 indicates than n.	es for At this stage, dual t the will update the ass	water supplies is still the proposed sessment on HS scenario.	design. If the design changes, we		
	We note that the master FEB was m design change? As this impacts on t	nore certain on the two water supplies. Is the HS scenario, please clarify.	his a				
1.11	3 July 2019 - Future retail		04.07.2019			15 July 2019	)
	We note no consideration of this sp	ace at this time. Is this being considered	or is Future retail will be	e considered in the future when this i	s confirmed.		
	of the carpark we recommend consi and the potential for the occupant lo	deration of the occupant loads using the additional to exceed 1000 people within this zone	comments regards confirmed. It is pro- case basis as the o and the alternative arise where it forc we will assess tend	ing the stairwell noted. We will address oposed to address the occupant loa occupant load within any stairwell is o e available egress provided to the o es the occupant load within a certai ability within the stairwell.	ess this point when the design has d within the stairwell on a case by dependant on the location of the fire occupant load. If a challenging fire n stairwell to exceed 1000 people,		
1.12	3 July 2019 - Occupant load from	other zones	04.07.2019			15 July 2019	
	While it is not expected to have a lar CF2 and CF3, the egress of occupan as they will essentially all use the sa	ge impact on the results please confirm th nts from zone 1 will be considered., partice ame stair.	at for ularly Yes, the egress of where we talk abou 2. Please also refe	occupants from zone 1 will be cons at non-base case RSET which include or to Appendix A updated sketches or	idered as per Section 9 of the FEB es occupants from Zone 1 and Zone n egress distributions (page 24-29).		
Zone 1	(Anchor Tenant) FEB						
1.13	3 July 2019 – Level 1 offices		04.07.2019			15 July 2019	)
	Does the current layout of the le challenging fire scenario in this spa end limitation. Please discuss.	evel 1 offices trigger the requirement to ce? Particularly with regards to the 25m	for a The office layer is s dead challenging fire sco	still under design. If the final design re enarios will be looked into for the offi	aches the 25 m dead end limitation, ce.		
1.14	3 July 2019 – Stair fire rating		04.07.2019			15 July 2019	
	We note that the stairs in the ancho Please clarify the logic of this.	r tenant are provided with different fire rat	ings. The design has ch accordance to the	hanged since the issue of the FEB. We burnout calculation in the fire engine	Ve will update the stair fire rating in eering verification document.		
1.15	3 July 2019 – CF3		04.07.2019			15 July 2019	
	While we take the point that the in unrated, Fire and Emergency que 'supplementary compartment' as a s	ternal partitions within the childcare centers stions the appropriateness of modelling single room.	tre is Noted. The zone m corridor and poter Doors connecting this is necessary of	nodel for the childcare will include the ntially one more room with tenability these rooms will be modelled as ope under C/VM2 as they will be unrated	kitchen (room of fire origin), central v measured in the central corridor. en. We do not fundamentally agree d barriers and therefore no criteria		
	We recommend consideration of thr representing the central corridor an smoke reservoir. If tenability is meas a reasonable representation of this p	ee rooms, the kitchen (room of fire origin) nd the other representing the balance o sured in the central corridor, this would pro portion of the building.	, one f the ovide	e apply to their performance. We are modelling as requested simply to enable us to progres			
							_

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

& Planning I.C.C. Received 2019		Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority			Approved For Issue 27/02/2020	
<sup>ivision</sup> EB Co	omments Register – FENZ ref: FEE	3 13041 Site Copy		Designers Reference	e: 136249	
ltem	Fire and Emergency NZ Commen	t		Designers Response		
Buildin The foll during c	<b>g Consent Items</b> owing items are considered to be deta consenting.	iled design elements that are considere	d to be r	equired as part of the co	nsent stage documentation. T	hey have been identif
2.1	4 June 2019 – Cause and Effect M	latrix		13.06.2019		
	Given the expected building complementariation be included in the consent do as intended (e.g. smoke extract for supplied.	exity, we recommend that a cause and cumentation to ensure that the system o fans – particularly if zoned and make	d effect perates e-up air	A cause and effect mat zone.	rix will be included in the conse	ent documentation for
2.2						
Compli The foll	ance with Other Relevant Legislatio owing items have been identified to ad	<b>n</b> Idress issues with legislation other than	the Build	ling Code.		
3.1						
Other Is The foll Building	ssues owing recommendations have been m Code or other applicable legislation.	ade solely as advice for good risk mana	igement	and are intended for dis	cussion only. They are not req	uired to be addressed
4.1						

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

	Close Out Date
ied at this stage	to avoid delays
the appropriate	21 June 2019
d to demonstrate	compliance with the



# Zone 1 – D.S. ANCHOR & Childcare Centre HWCP Invercargill CBD Development

HWCP Management Ltd

Fire Engineering Strategy

Version B 25 September 2019 136249.FES01b.docx

**Holmes Fire** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



### **Issue Authorisation**

Project:Zone 1 - D.S. ANCHOR & Childcare Centre, HWCP Invercargill CBD DevelopmentProject No.136249

Version	Date	Status	Prepared	Reviewed
Α	03 May 2019	Preliminary Issue	ACC	DXM
В	23 September 2019	For Approval	ACC/DXM	DXM

Version	Extent of Revision

This report caters specifically for the requirements for this project and this client. No warranty is intended or implied for use by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party for any material contained herein. This report is produced and signed solely on behalf of Holmes Fire and no liability whatsoever accrues to the authors.

The building owner must be aware that the Fire Engineering Strategy described in this report may be alternative solutions to those given by the MBIE Acceptable Solutions or Verification Methods.

New Zealand Building Regulations do not impose specific requirements on a building owner to protect their own property. Consideration of protection of the building owner's property is not included in this design beyond the extent which arises from compliance with the Building Code, unless this has been specifically requested. Accordingly, in the event of a fire, it is possible that the property loss could be significant.

It is assumed that the details of these documents are read and understood. Holmes Fire should be contacted if there are any queries regarding interpretation or meaning of the content. Holmes Fire takes no responsibility for the misinterpretation by others.

Submission of this Fire Engineering Strategy document for Building Consent Authority approval implies full understanding and acceptance of the above.

Written By:

Amy Chao

Written/Reviewed by:

Darin Millar



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

#### Contents

Intro	duction	ı <b>4</b>
Supp	orting I	Documents4
Exter	nt of Wo	ork5
Work	t by Oth	ners5
Desig	yn Appr	oach
Fire c	and Eme	ergency New Zealand (FENZ)7
Scop	e of Wo	orks8
1		Fire Detection, Warning and Suppression Systems8
	1.1	Alarm / Detection Systems Requirements
	1.2	Electromagnetic Hold Open Devices8
	1.3	Sprinkler System Requirements8
	1.4	Alerting Requirements - Alarm Sounder9
	1.5	Fire Alarm System Interface Requirements10
	1.6	Hydrants10
	1.7	Hand Operated Firefighting Equipment10
2		Fire and Smoke Control Systems10
	2.1	Mechanical Smoke Exhaust System10
3		Escape Route Requirements11
	3.1	Dimensions 11
	3.2	General13
	3.3	Locking Devices/Security13
4		Lighting and Signage14
5		Control of Internal Hazards Including Surface Finish Requirements15
6		Fire and Smoke Separations17
	6.1	Internal Passive Fire and Smoke Separations17
	6.2	Fire/Smoke Doors, Panels and Hatches18
	6.3	Closures and Penetrations in Fire and/or Smoke Separations19
	6.4	Structural Considerations19
7		Fire and Emergency New Zealand (FENZ)20
	7.1	Access

Table 1: Automatic alarm alerting requirements	10
Table 2: Horizontal escape route widths	11
Table 3: Vertical escape route width	12
Table 4: Group Number limitations	15
Table 5: Australian or European correlations	16
Table 6: Critical flux limitations for flooring	16



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to determine the minimum fire safety precautions required within the proposed D.S. Anchor & Childcare Centre in HWCP Invercargill CBD Development to demonstrate compliance with Section 17 of the New Zealand Building Act 2004 with respect to the fire regulations.

This is a legal requirement whereby it must be shown that after the completion of works, the objectives of clauses of the New Zealand Building Code relating to means of escape from fire, protection of other property, and structural and fire rating behaviour are satisfied.

This Fire Engineering Strategy report includes a performance based Scope of Works advising of fire safety issues affecting architecture, building services and structure in accordance with the requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. This Fire Engineering Strategy must be read in conjunction with the accompanying fire safety sketches which are marked up on drawings prepared by other consultants.

This is not a 'For Construction' document, but a performance document that is intended to be used by the Architect and other consultants in implementing their detailed designs and preparing their working drawings and specifications. The consultants whose documentation is required to incorporate the requirements of this Fire Engineering Strategy are expected to have read this report, understood the implications as it affects their scope of work, and incorporated the relevant fire requirements into their drawings, specifications, and other construction documents.

#### SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

This Fire Engineering Strategy document is one of a suite of documents prepared by the fire engineer:

- Fire Engineering Brief (FEB)
- Fire Engineering Verification (FEV)
- Fire Engineering Strategy (FES) this document
- Fire Engineering Sketches (ref latest version of FSK sketches).
- Document transmittal

The Fire Engineering Brief outlines what the design intends to achieve, the factors that affect the design solution, and the design methodology and acceptance criteria that are used to verify that the design objectives are met. The FEB does not detail the final design solution.

The Fire Engineering Verification document contains the calculations and engineering background to the fire safety design – the verification showing how the design solution meets the acceptance criteria.

The Fire Engineering Strategy document outlines the fire safety solution for the proposed works and describes the design solution and specific fire safety requirements necessary to achieve the design objectives.

The Fire Engineering sketches (prepared by Holmes Fire) are to be read in conjunction with the Fire Engineering Strategy.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# EXTENT OF WORK

This Fire Engineering Strategy covered in this C/VM2 design is the construction of the Zone 1 of HWCP Invercargill CBD development, Invercargill.

The overall development comprises of numerous zones to achieve the completed development. In broad terms the zones are:

- Zone 0 = Overall development consideration.
- Zone 1 = D.S Anchor and Childcare Centre
- Zone 2 = Mall
- Zone 3 = Carpark

Zone 1 is a three-storey structure consisting of a large anchor tenancy occupying the majority of the ground and level 1 space. In the centre of the ground floor are two escalators that lead from the ground floor to level one which creates an open void. Level one is therefore considered as an intermediate floor rather than fully fire separated first floor. Small retail tenancies unrelated to the Anchor, are accessed directly from Esk St.

Level two is proposed to be a childcare centre that is accessed via the adjacent Zone 3 Carpark.

This Fire Engineering Strategy is for the base building design to the extent of proposed works as identified by the Architectural plans used as backgrounds to the Fire Strategy drawings. As a result, all the interactions with Zone2 and 3 are not part of this fire engineering strategy. For greater understanding of the interaction of the Zones and how we demonstrate compliance with NZBC, refer to the Compliance Design Features Report (CDFR). In broad principles, the following is being asked for Building Consent for Zone 1:

- Ground floor and Level one design except for ceilings in the Anchor tenancy area. Without the ceilings, which have been considered in the Fire Engineering analysis, then the specified systems will not be installed to the ceilings but transferred to the separate application for the tenancy fitout.
- Level 2 design except for the outdoor space sun shades, kitchen fitout or associated extract, floor or window coverings. These works are not transferred as their presence and compliance can be addressed independently via a separate building consent application once the works originate.

To augment the ability for Zone 1 to be granted a Building Consent, the CDFR clearly identifies that the intended occupancy at the completion of the Zone 1 Building Consent works is zero occupants, During the analytical fire design (the Verification) for Zone 1 it has been demonstrated that once additional works as identified in current Zones 3 and future Zone 2 are undertaken, that the Zone 1 can be occupied.

### WORK BY OTHERS

#### **Access Routes**

Escape route widths specified in this fire engineering strategy are the minimum widths for fire safety only, and may not specifically address requirements for access for people with disabilities. Other escape routes features that are not related to fire safety - and hence not specified in this Fire Engineering Strategy - may be required for compliance with Clauses D1 of the New Zealand Building Code.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# Visibility in Escape Routes

The design of systems to achieve compliance with F6 of the NZBC is outside the scope of this report. Any comments in the Fire Engineering documentation for visibility in escape routes are for purposes of assisting the designers responsible for F6 compliance.

The design of systems to provide artificial lighting to escape routes (both internal and external parts) in compliance with G8 of the NZBC is outside the scope of this report. To assist, the fire strategy identifies the intended escape routes.

### Wayfinding/Signage

The design of exit signage for compliance with F8.3.3 a) of the NZBC is outside the scope of this report. Any comments in the Fire Engineering documentation for exit signage positioning are for purposes of assisting the designers responsible for F8.3.3 a) compliance.

#### Structure

Defining the period of fire resistance and fire severity in consideration of C6.2 b, c, and d of the NZBC is addressed by this fire strategy. Identification of the structural systems and its need for structural stability to achieve the performance requirements is to be provided by others. The methodology to achieve the performance is to be provided by others.

Any load bearing walls identified by the structural engineers for the purposes of compliance with C6 have not been annotated onto the fire strategy sketches.

#### **HSNO**

This Fire Engineering Strategy does not specifically consider requirements for Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO). Therefore, clause C5.7 c) of the NZBC is not addressed by this report.

#### Other

Details and approval of the Evacuation Scheme, are to be provided by others.

#### **DESIGN APPROACH**

#### **Parameters**

The following key parameters form the basis of this design. These parameters have been verified by the appropriate parties:

- 1. No unit title or similar other boundary arrangements exist or are proposed [Client].
- 2. There are no Memorandum of Encumbrances or similar that exist or proposed which relate to fire. [Client]
- 3. The Building Importance Level is not IL4 or IL5.
- 4. The fire design is based around a building wide evacuation strategy. The philosophy is further explained in the FES Zone 0 Discussion.
- 5. There will be immediate evacuation upon detector activation no double knock or pre-investigation period is considered.
- 6. No storage in excess of 3m height is to occur. [Anchor tenant]
- 7. There are no air ducts which include combustible materials, passing through exitways.
- 8. The activities (including potential storage and associated Fire Load Energy Density as appropriate) for the various areas of the building are as follows:



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

- Ground Floor 800MJ/m<sup>2</sup>
- Level one 800 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>
- Level two (Early childhood centre) 800 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>
- 9. There is no solid fuel, gas burning, and oil fired appliances and open fires, proposed in the works.
- 10. There are no specific spaces for which the sprinkler system is not permitted to be installed as defined by other disciplines.
- 11. No upper/subfloor concealed spaces shall be used as an air-handling plenum.
- 12. At this stage there is no ventilation system that services multiple firecells and therefore in duct detection is not required.

## Philosophy

To demonstrate compliance with the relevant fire safety clauses of the Building Code, the following Compliance Documents have been adopted as the design basis:

 C/VM2 - Verification Method: Framework for Fire Safety Design, Amendment 5, 24 November 2017.

## FIRE AND EMERGENCY NEW ZEALAND (FENZ)

In accordance with section 46(1) of the Building Act 2004 some kinds of applications for Building Consent must be provided to Fire and Emergency New Zealand for review.

The proposed fire engineering design solution contained herein;

 establishes compliance in accordance with the provisions of an applicable compliance document to the extent required by the Building Act, and

Therefore, under the Gazette we believe this application need not to be forwarded to Fire and Emergency New Zealand for review. HOWEVER – as this project includes a unique CDFR, we encourage this application to be sent to FENZ for consideration. FENZ have been intimately involved in this development and therefore the process should be relatively straight forward.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# SCOPE OF WORKS

We believe that the proposed work will be in compliance with the objectives of the New Zealand Building Code clauses C1 to C6 Protection from Fire, to the extent required by the Building Act, based on implementation of the following Scope of Works. This is required to be read in conjunction with the Fire Safety sketches.

The Scope of Works below lists the fire safety precautions needed for compliance with the fire safety requirements of the Building Code.

## 1 FIRE DETECTION, WARNING AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS

#### 1.1 Alarm / Detection Systems Requirements

1.1.1 A new supplementary smoke detection alarm system is required to be installed to the full area (including detectors for Electromagnetic Hold Open Devices) as indicated on the Fire Strategy sketches, in accordance with NZS 4512:2010.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The ground floor and level one smoke detectors, when the ceilings are installed.
- The ground floor and level one manual call points once the fitout of the space is designed.
- The positions of the Fire Control Room (FCR), Sprinkler Valve Room (SVR), all associated infrastructure to make the alarm/detection system functional - being the fire alarm and indicator panels (FAP & FIP)
- 1.1.2 In order to provide signal for the release of electromagnetic hold open devices, the relevant detectors shall be within 1.5 m in plan, on both sides of the door.
- 1.1.3 At the request of the Anchor tenant, a communication cable is to be installed linking the FAP to the tenants security panel in their security office. This is not a specific NZBC item but a request by the tenant.

#### 1.2 Electromagnetic Hold Open Devices

1.2.1 Automatic Hold Open Devices including associated detection devices shall be provided at locations as identified in the fire strategy sketches. They are to be installed to BS 7273.4:2007, or EN 1155:1997.

#### 1.3 Sprinkler System Requirements

1.3.1 A new Type 6 automatic fire sprinkler system is required to be installed throughout the Zone 1 (including external transformer area) in accordance with NZS 4541:2013 with the amendments outlined in Appendix B of C/AS1 to C/AS/6.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The ground floor and Level one sprinkler system where ceilings are installed (retail space).
  - The positions of the Fire Control Room (FCR), Sprinkler Valve Room (SVR), all associated FENZ inlets (FSI & FHI)



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

The class A water supply infastructure (tank and pumps)

Specifics that are ancillary to NZBC requirements, for information only:

- The sprinkler system for the Zone will have a single isolation valve for all three levels.
- The extent of recessed concealed heads, with white caps is part of a tenant requirement.
- The sprinkler system design will need to cater for the intended use/storage of the tenants.
- There may be no coverage to the Esk street canopy as no external combustible content is expected.
- The rear loading dock canopy shall be provided with sprinklers with a hazard classification equating to the higher of either the sprinkler standard or the largest design density inside the tenancy.
- The expectation of final ceilings extent is important, otherwise the sprinkler head type in a ceiling void could be more than that actually required.

1.3.2 The sprinklers are to have the following operational characteristics in the designated locations:

- Unless noted below all sprinklers are to be quick response (RTI ≤ 50), with an activation temperature (T<sub>act</sub>) of 68 °C. Any concealed heads must also meet these parameters.
- External sprinklers to cover areas such as the transformer and loading canopies provide sprinklers with standard response and T<sub>act</sub> being 68 °C.
- Higher rated heads as dicytated by the standard due to the ambient environment.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

 The ground floor and Level one sprinkler system where ceilings are installed (retail space) with the characteristics noted above.

### 1.4 Alerting Requirements - Alarm Sounder

1.4.1 A new fire alarm sounder system shall be installed throughout Zone 1 in accordance with NZS 4512:2010.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The ground floor and level one sounders, when the ceilings are installed.
- All associated infrastructure to make the alarm/detection system functional being the fire alarm panel (FAP)
- 1.4.2 Provide a Remote Display Unit, or similar, to the Level 3 Childcare facility. The objective of the unit is to easily identify the area of fire original so that the Evacuation Managers of the Childcare facility are more informed than they would be from purely an evacuation alarm. The details of the information is to be developed as part of the Evacuation Scheme design for the tenancy, which will be by others. This feature is considered for facilitating an Evacuation Scheme, it is not considered critical for the NZBC compliance.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

All associated infrastructure to make the RDU functional - being the fire alarm panels (FAP)



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

1.4.3 The functional alerting operation associated with the fire alarm system shall be as identified on the fire strategy sketch Z0 FS 100.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

All associated infrastructure to make the interfaces functional - being the fire alarm panel (FAP)

#### 1.5 **Fire Alarm System Interface Requirements**

1.5.1 Functional interface expectations associated with the fire alarm system shall be as identified on the fire strategy sketch Z0 FS 100.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

All associated infrastructure to make the interfaces functional - being the fire alarm panel (FAP)

#### Hydrants 1.6

1.6.1 A new internal charged fire hydrant riser is required to be installed as identified on the Fire Safety Strategy drawings in accordance with NZS 4510:2008.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

The positions of the Fire Control Room (FCR), Sprinkler Valve Room (SVR), all associated FENZ inlets (FSI & FHI)

#### 1.7 Hand Operated Firefighting Equipment

1.7.1 Portable hand operated extinguishers to NZS 4503:2005, are to be provided in the Zone 1 Anchor tenancy to the extent agreed wih the Anchor tenant, but not in excess of the requirements of the standard. These items are not a functional requirement of the New Zealand Building Code.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

The positions of the extinguishers and their type/size .

#### 2 FIRE AND SMOKE CONTROL SYSTEMS

#### 2.1 **Mechanical Smoke Exhaust System**

2.1.1 Mechanical smoke extract is required as indicated in the fire strategy sketches to achieve 40m<sup>3</sup>/s of smoke extract from the space. The system components and controls are to be designed and installed in accordance with AS/NZS 1668.1:2015 and AS 1670.1:2015.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

2.1.2 Make up air is to be provided as shown on the fire strategy sketches. The system components and controls are to be designed and installed in accordance with AS/NZS 1668.1:2015 and AS 1670.1:2015.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The associated inlet air continuation from the ground floor once the Zone 2 works are imposed. Technically compliance is currently achieved as the neighbouring space is the Zone 3 ground floor area which is open, has no perimeter enclosures.
- 2.1.3 A fire fans control panel is required to be design and installed in accordance with AS 1670.1:2015. The FFCP shall be located in the Fire Control room.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The positions of the Fire Control Room (FCR) and therefore the resulting FFCP.
- 2.1.4 Functional interface expectations associated with the fire alarm system shall be as identified on the fire strategy sketch Z0 FS 100.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

 All associated infrastructure to make the interfaces functional - being the fire alarm panel (FAP)

### **3 ESCAPE ROUTE REQUIREMENTS**

#### 3.1 Dimensions

3.1.1 Horizontal escape routes are to have minimum clear widths not less than those outlined in the following table, unless specifically identified otherwise in the fire safety sketches:

#### Table 1: Horizontal escape route widths

Location	Min. Clear Width <sup>1</sup> [mm]	Min. Clear Door Width Into/Within [mm]
Horizontal egress routes in open path travel with less than 50 people - not an accessible route	700	600
Horizontal egress routes in open path travel with greater than 50 people - not an accessible route	850	760
Horizontal egress routes in open path travel - accessible route	1200	760
Horizontal egress route within an Exitway	1000	875
All entrance doors into an exitway stair	N/A	1600

1. Allowable minor obstructions to the widths noted are as defined by D1/AS1.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

All means of escape continuation through the Zone 2 area.

3.1.2 Vertical escape routes are to have minimum clear widths not less than those outlined in the following table, unless specifically identified otherwise in the fire safety sketches:

#### Table 2: Vertical escape route width

Location	Min. Clear Width <sup>1</sup> [mm]	Min. Clear Door Width Into/Within [mm]
Vertical egress routes in open path travel with less than 50 people - not an Exitway or an accessible route	850	600
Vertical egress routes in open path travel with greater than 50 people - not an Exitway or an accessible route	1000	760
Vertical egress routes in open path travel - accessible routes.	1100	760
Vertical egress routes within an Exitway	1800	1600

1. Allowable minor obstructions to the widths noted are as defined by D1/AS1.

- 3.1.3 The clear height of escape routes shall be no less than 2100 mm across the full width (except for isolated ceiling fittings less than 200 mm in diameter, which may project downwards to reduce this clearance by no more than 100 mm).
- 3.1.4 All doors on escape routes shall have a clear height of no less than 1955 mm for the required width of the opening, open onto a level floor area on both sides of the door, and where side hinged shall open no less than 90° and the door swing shall not reduce the width of any escape route.
- 3.1.5 Clear widths of doors shall be measured taking into account the door frame and the width of the door. Door hardware is not permitted to intrude into this minimum clear width of a doorway. Measurement method for escape route width and clear door width shall be as indicated in the figure below.





Figure 1 Method of door opening measurements

between all components of door assembly, including width, frame, and stops

### 3.2 General

- 3.2.1 Vision panels are to be provided to the doors as noted on the fire strategy sketches.
- 3.2.2 For means of escape provisions, all manually operated doors on escape routes shall have door handles complying with D1/AS1 and door opening forces that do not exceed 67 N to release the latch, 133 N to set the door in motion, and 67 N to open the door to the minimum required width.
- 3.2.3 Automatic sliding doors on escape routes required on malfunction or power failure to automatically slide open and remain open in an emergency. Integration with this related to the smoke control system and the various hours of trade is identified in the fire alarm interface matrix.
- 3.2.4 Painting on floors keep clear adopting yellow hatching in accordance with AS 1319:1994 Table A1 to the areas as indicated on the Fire Strategy sketches.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

Paving surfaces so that the application of the painting can be done.

# 3.3 Locking Devices/Security

- 3.3.1 All locking devices on doors on escape routes until reaching the defined place of safety shall be clearly visible, located where such a device would normally be expected, designed to be easily operated without a key or other implement and allow the door to open in a normal manner.
- 3.3.2 All electronic locking devices on doors on escape routes shall either act under free handle or be fitted with a push button or switch that is fail safe (i.e. independent of any BMS or Security System). The operation must be such that it releases the lock and allows the door to be opened.
- 3.3.3 Crash bars (Panic Bar Door Hardware PBDH) are required to doors on escape routes as identified in the fire strategy sketches. The following is proposed for some doors which are noted as having PBDH:



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

- The doors only have push plates no lever action or PBDH units.
- The doors will be interfaced with the fire alarm system such that if fire alarm is activated during operating hours the doors release any latching.
- In out of hours operations, the doors will not auto release on fire alarm.
- The doors will be fitted with a break glass door release function (addresses any out of hours functionality).
- All doors will be fitted with a manual call point adjacent the break glass alarm so for what ever reason if occupants are choosing to evacuate and no fire alarm has initiated, then they can release the doors via either manual call point of the door break glass.
- 3.3.4 If the above is not adopted, then for the PBDH, the associated actuating portion shall consist of a horizontal bar that is not less than half the width of the escape route door leaf and be located between 800 mm and 1200 mm above the floor. The horizontal force is not to exceed 67 N and the door lock is to release allowing the door to swing freely. Doors identified as requiring PBDH but which are auto doors, are deemed to meet this provision.

## 4 LIGHTING AND SIGNAGE

4.1.1 A new emergency lighting system is required to be installed throughout Zone 1 including associated external escape routes to a safe place, to the extent and in accordance with F6.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The ground floor and level one coverage, when the ceilings are installed.
- Coverage in the neighbouring Zone 2 space.
- 4.1.2 A new emergency exit signage system is required to be installed throughout Zone 1 and associated external escape routes to a safe place, in accordance with F8.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The complete ground floor and level one coverage, when the ceilings are installed.
- Coverage in the neighbouring Zone 2 space.
- 4.1.3 A specific "Clever evac" exit sign system is to be adopted for the Level two Childcare centre such that "no exit" is identified as per the fire alarm interface matrix.
- 4.1.4 Signage for the fire related safety features is required to be installed throughout the Zone 1 in accordance with F8.
- 4.1.5 Wherever deemed practical, it is recommended that fire rated or smoke rated wall separations are to be marked within ceiling voids, where no ceilings and aesthetically deemed acceptable in non ceiling areas, with a visible annotation stating "Fire wall (xx)/xx/xx sm, all penetrations to be fire stopped." Where xx is the period of fire or smoke rated from the fire strategy sketches. This is over and above the NZBC requirements.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# 5 CONTROL OF INTERNAL HAZARDS INCLUDING SURFACE FINISH REQUIREMENTS

5.1.1 Throughout the Zone 1 internal surface finishes shall meet the following early fire hazard indices limitations (when tested to ISO 9705:1993 as per C/VM2 Clause A1.2, or ISO 5660:2002 as per C/VM2 Clause A1.3). Refer to Clause 5.1.2 for exceptions.

Building Elements	Location	Maximum Material Group
Ceilings and walls	Exitways (stairwells)	2
Ceilings	Crowd spaces	2
Walls	Crowd spaces	3
Ceilings and walls	All other occupied spaces (offices/store)	3
HVAC ducts	Internal surfaces	2
	External surfaces	3
Acoustic treatment and pipe insulation	Within air handling plenum	3

#### Table 3: Group Number limitations

5.1.2 Note surface finish controls do not apply to:

- Small areas of non-conforming product within a space with a total aggregate surface area not more than 5.0 m<sup>2</sup>.
- Electrical switches, outlets, cover plates and similar small discontinuous areas.
- Pipes and cables used to distribute power or services.
- Handrails and general decorative trim of any material such as architraves, skirtings and window components including reveals, provided these do not exceed 5% of the surface area of the wall or ceiling to which it is attached.
- Damp-proof courses, seals, caulking, flashings, thermal breaks and ground moisture barriers.
- Timber joinery and structural timber building elements constructed from solid wood, glulam or laminated veneer lumber. This includes heavy timber columns, beams, portals and shear walls not more than 3.0 m wide, but does not include exposed timber panels or permanent formwork on the underside of floor/ceiling systems.
- Individual doorsets.
- Continuous areas of permanently installed openable wall partitions not more than 3.0 m high and having a surface area of not more than 25% of the divided room floor area or 5.0 m<sup>2</sup>, whichever is less.

To be addressed in other applications shall be:

- The ground floor and part level one compliance because a stahlton floor system if exposed in the public area would not achieve GN2, but once the ceiling installed compliance achieved.
- 5.1.3 The correlation of wall and ceiling surface finishes derived from Australian or European classifications to the Group Number requirements of NZBC Clause 3.4(a) can, without the need for further testing, be taken as described in the following.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

2019/1381

#### Table 4: Australian or European correlations

Group Number to NZBC Clause C3.4(a) using ISO 9705:2003	Australian Group Number to NCC Specification C1.10 Clause 4 using AS ISO 9705:2003	European Classification to EN 13501-1:2007+A1:2009
1S	Group 1, and a smoke growth rate index not more than 100	Class A1, A2 or B and smoke production rating s1 or s2
1	Group 1	Class A1, A2 or B
25	Group 2, and a smoke growth rate index not more than 100	Class C and smoke production rating s1 or s2
2	Group 2	Class C
3	Group 3	Class D

- 5.1.4 Any foamed plastic building materials or exposed combustible insulating materials forming part of a wall, ceiling or roof system are required to have a completed system (foamed plastic and/or foamed plastic plus a surface lining) meeting the above maximum material group number as applicable for the location of this building material. In addition, the foamed plastic is to meet the flame propagation criteria as specified in latest versions of AS 1366. It is strongly recommended that foamed plastic materials are not used wherever practical.
- 5.1.5 Throughout the Zone 1, flooring shall meet the following critical radiant flux limitations (when tested to ISO 9239-1:2010).

#### Table 5: Critical flux limitations for flooring.

Area of Building	Minimum Critical Radiant Flux [kW/m²]
Exitways (stairwells)	2.2
All other spaces	1.2

- 5.1.6 Throughout the Zone 1, any suspended flexible fabrics shall have a Flammability Index of no greater than 12 (when tested to AS 1530.2).
- 5.1.7 Throughout the Zone 1, any flexible fabrics used as underlay to roofing or exterior cladding that is exposed to view, shall have a flammability index of no greater than 5 (when tested to NZS/AS 1530.2:1993).
- 5.1.8 Throughout the Zone 1, downlights are required to be designed and installed to C/AS1 to C/AS6 Part 7 and the manufacturer's requirements or alternatively, downlights can be considered to have achieved compliance with C2.2 if compliance is demonstrated using the Electrical (Safety) Regulations and subject to a condition of consent.
- 5.1.9 At the completion of the installation of the lighting, in particular downlights, shall be achieved by a Declaration of Conformity by a Registered Electrician.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

## 6 FIRE AND SMOKE SEPARATIONS

#### 6.1 Internal Passive Fire and Smoke Separations

- 6.1.1 Throughout the Zone 1, bounding construction (walls and floors) shall achieve a fire resistance rating as noted on the fire strategy plans.
- 6.1.2 The nominated internal and external fire ratings need not have the insulation rating applied.
- 6.1.3 Firecells are as indicated in the fire strategy sketches. The firecells are generally described as:
  - Childcare Centre on the second floor;
  - Each of the exitway stairs;
  - Lift shafts as noted
  - Remainder of the Zone 1

The ground and Level 1 including their interaction with Zone2 and Zone 3 is essentially one firecell except as noted above. Openings at floor slabs in Zone 1 for the reticulation is to be kept to a minimum, effectively treated as a smoke barrier around the service.

- 6.1.1 The vertical risers being the stairs and lift shaft as indicated on the fire strategy drawings, are required to be enclosed with two-way fire rated constructions that achieve a FRR of no less than that identified in the fire strategy sketches as a vertical shaft.
- 6.1.2 Vertical fire separations are required to be continuous from the ground or floor slab below, to either:
  - the underside of the fire rated floor slab above, or
  - the fire rated ceiling above, or
  - underside of the roofing material.
- 6.1.3 The horizontal fire separations which separate firecells, are required to extend to the inside face of the external cladding.
- 6.1.4 The fire separations for the purposes of floors which do not divide firecells shall have a structural stability rating of 30min . Openings between floors for the escalators are expected other openings are to be addressed as per above even though Ground and Level one is one firecell. As an example, large openings around service penetrations may be filled with intubatt/rockwool material to act as a suitable barrier.
- 6.1.5 Assemblies of construction to achieve the fire resistance rating performance must be tested in accordance with AS 1530.4:2005, or NZS/BS 476:1987 Parts 21 and 22, or EN 1363 Part 1:1999.
- 6.1.6 The fire rated glazing required is limited to those associated with the fire doors.
- 6.1.7 Throughout the Zone 1, smoke separation as indicated in the fire strategy sketches shall achieve the performance with the construction/materials meeting the following:
  - 1. Be a smoke barrier complying with BS EN 12101 Part 1:2005, or
  - 2. Consist of rigid building elements capable of resisting without collapse:
    - a. a horizontal pressure of 0.1 kPa applied from either side, and
    - b. self-weight plus the intended vertically applied live loads; and



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

- 3. Form an imperforate barrier to the spread of smoke, and
- 4. Be constructed of non-combustible materials or achieve a fire resistance rating of (10)/10/- sm, except that non-fire resisting glazing may be used if it is toughened or laminated safety glass.
- 5. It is acknowledged that duct openings may be present in a smoke barrier in these circumstances they are to be considered as a fire barrier to achieve a -/10/- rating.
- 6. As an example, large openings around service penetrations may be filled with intubatt/rockwool material to act as a suitable barrier.

#### 6.2 Fire/Smoke Doors, Panels and Hatches

6.2.1 All doors within fire separations (excluding lift landing doors) are required to be certified fire rated door-sets complying with NZS 4520:2010 that achieve a FRR of no less than -/xx/-, where xx is the fire resistance rating of the separation the door is to be installed within.

Fire doors are to include self-closers and smoke seals to the top and both side edges of the door leaf or the door frame (and in the latter option, where the door is multi-leave smoke seals are also to be provided at the meeting stile).

- 6.2.2 The fire doors may have vision panels of no greater than 65,000 mm<sup>2</sup> using non-insulated glass.
- 6.2.3 Lift landing doors within fire separations are required to achieve an FRR of no less than -/XX/- or as noted on the fire strategy sketches with the explicit note that they need only achieve integrity rating. The doors shall be certified as a one-way fire rating from the landing side to the shaft.
- 6.2.4 Any access panels or hatches within fire separations are required to be certified to AS 1530.4:2005 to achieve an FRR of no less than -/xx/xx.
- 6.2.5 All doors (except lift doors) within fire separations are required to be fitted with door closers and smoke seals to the top and vertical edges.
- 6.2.6 All doors in smoke separations as indicated in the fire strategy sketches shall meet the required performance with the construction/materials meeting the following:
  - 1. Be constructed of non-combustible materials or achieve a fire resistance rating of (10)/10/- sm, except that non-fire resisting glazing may be used if it is toughened or laminated safety glass.
  - 2. Provided with smoke seals which are in continuous contact with the mating element, and located to minimise interruption of hardware.
  - 3. Have frames constructed of non-combustible materials such as aluminium or steel.
  - Have maximum average clearances (excluding pre-easing) of 3 mm between leaf to frame,
     5 mm leaf to leaf, and 10 mm leaf to top of any floor covering.
  - 5. Be fitted with door closers.
  - 6. If vision panels present, then the cutout no closer than 150 mm from the leaf edges.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



### 6.3 Closures and Penetrations in Fire and/or Smoke Separations

- 6.3.1 All penetrations through fire separations (created by wires, cables, pipes, flush boxes, etc.) or any gaps, or control joints, are required to be fire stopped with systems (collars, wraps, sleeves, mastics, etc.) that are approved for the proposed use (e.g. rating, orientation, penetration type, construction type) in accordance with AS 1530.4 2005 and AS 4072.1:2005. Where fire stopping systems to AS 4072.1:2005 are not able to be provided, it is acceptable to incorporate systems tested to BS EN 1366.3:2009, or UL 1479. Fire stopping systems are required to be installed strictly in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
- 6.3.2 Specifically note that the Level one floor is not a firecell separation and therefore sealing of penetrations is not required. However to mitigate the paths for smoke migration any opening that is not within a riser, must be "smoke sealed" with a non combustible product.
- 6.3.3 Penetrations shall be supported to resist movement or collapse during fire. Supports shall not prevent normal expansion and contraction of the penetration.
- 6.3.4 Throughout the building, fire dampers are required to be installed where HVAC ductwork penetrates through fire separations (and smoke barriers as noted above). Dampers are to be installed in accordance with AS 1682.2:1990 and the manufacturer's instructions.
- 6.3.5 Each relevant services contractor will be required to submit details of the proposed fire stopping systems for review, prior to installation on site. Care should be taken to select and submit details that have been tested in the relevant wall/floor construction.

The details need to:

- identify the substrate, service and associated fully detailed solution
- solution system manufacturer/supplier
- demonstration of the certification of fire resistance rating for the complete system in accordance with a relevant standard
- test data may be required to verify performance of these systems

### 6.4 Structural Considerations

- 6.4.1 The Structural Engineer is required to identify the *primary elements* that provide support to the fire rated construction. The primary elements shall either:
  - 1. Inherently achieve the structural adequacy, integrity, and insulation component of the fire rating as appropriate, or
  - 2. Have applied treatment or fire protection to achieve the adequacy component of the fire rating as appropriate.
- 6.4.2 Primary elements are required to achieve a fire resistance rating for structural adequacy of not less than the fire rated construction elements they support. The separation elements are identified in the fire strategy sketches and in the body of the fire strategy.
- 6.4.3 Fire rated construction that are for protection of fire spread to other properties (i.e. external walls, internal building elements separating different titles) shall achieve structural stability during and post fire.


17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

2019/1381

# 7 FIRE AND EMERGENCY NEW ZEALAND (FENZ)

#### 7.1 Access

- 7.1.1 FENZ vehicle appliance access is required to the FENZ attendance point within the site boundary (as distinct from a location on a street frontage). The associated pavements for vehicle access situated on the property which provide vehicular access by fire appliances shall:
  - The positions shall provide access to within 20 m of the firefighter access into the building and any associated inlets.
  - Be able to withstand a laden weight of up to 25 tonnes with an axle load of 8 tonnes or, have a load bearing capacity of no less than the public roadway serving the property, whichever is the lower, and
  - Be trafficable in all weathers, and
  - Have a minimum width of 4.0 m, and
  - Provide a clear passageway of no less than 3.5 m in width and 4.0 m in height at site entrances, internal entrances and between buildings.

To be addressed in future applications shall be:

- The positions of the Fire Control Room (FCR), Sprinkler Valve Room (SVR), all associated FENZ inlets (FSI & FHI)
- The positions of the Fire Control Room (FCR), Sprinkler Valve Room (SVR), all associated infrastructure to make the alarm/detection system functional - being the fire alarm and indicator panels (FAP & FIP)





# Zone 1 – D.S. ANCHOR & Childcare Centre HWCP Invercargill CBD Development

HWCP Management Ltd

Design solutions for Passive Fire Rated Systems for services

Version B 23 October 2019



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# **Issue Authorisation**

Project:	${\tt Zone 1D.SAnchorandChildcareCentre,HWCPInvercargillCBDDevelopment}$
Project No.	136249

Version	Date	Status	Prepared	Reviewed
Α	21 October 2019	For Approval	DXM/TM	DXM
В	22 October 2019	For Approval	DXM/TM	DXM

Version	Extent of Revision
В	Minor adjustments in solutions

This document caters specifically for the requirements for this project and this client. No warranty is intended or implied for use by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party for any material contained herein. This specification is produced and signed solely on behalf of Holmes Fire and no liability whatsoever accrues to the authors.

New Zealand Building Regulations do not impose specific requirements on a building owner to protect their own property. Consideration of protection of the building owner's property is not included in this design beyond the extent which arises from compliance with the Building Code, unless this has been specifically requested. Accordingly, in the event of a fire, it is possible that the property loss within unsprinklered buildings could be significant.

It is assumed that the details of these fire safety documents are read and understood. Holmes Fire should be contacted if there are any queries regarding interpretation or meaning of the content. Holmes Fire takes no responsibility for the misinterpretation by others. Submission of this Passive Fire Protection Specification document for Building Consent implies full understanding and acceptance of the above.

This document is intended for the use of a Specialist fire stopping contractor that has been engaged by either the client or the Main contractor. If this is not the case and the passive fire protection is being attempted by the individual sub trades or the Main contractor, then thought must be given to the extra costs associated with the higher level of Engineer engagement through construction monitoring and design advice that this type of approach inevitably requires.

Regardless of whether a specialist is engaged or not (highly recommended), it is IMPORTANT that this document is read during a round table discussion with the Engineer, Main contractor and all the Sub trades prior to first fix of building services.

It is expected that the dissemination of this document to the relevant sub trades is done by the Main contractor (or client) in a timely manner, as improper sequencing can significantly affect the delivery of compliant fire stop systems.

This document is meant to be read in conjunction with the Holmes Fire report



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# **GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & CLARIFICATIONS**

#### GENERAL

- A1.1 The solutions presented herein are derived from manufacturer's literature, consisting of Technical Datasheets, and/or Test Reports, and/or Appraisals by Certified Bodies. They are to form the basis of Tender and Construction as applicable.
- A1.2 The solutions presented are by no means exhaustive and are not to be construed as meaning only the presented solutions should be considered compliant. However, the solutions specified in this document have been carefully chosen by looking at several criteria, and more than just price or adherence to a standard.
- A1.3 Substitution of a specified solution is allowable only if site conditions make that specified system unachievable. The Sub-contractor is to state why the substitution is necessary, what solution is being proposed instead and if required provide that solutions manufacturer's literature. This includes Technical Datasheets, Fire Test Certificates and where possible Fire Test Reports. Alternatively, the Fire Protection Associations product register can be used as a source of compliant solutions. The Engineer will review the potential alternative and notify acceptance upon agreement, with the substitution triggering a revision update to this document.
- A1.4 Penetrations shall be supported to resist movement or collapse during fire. Supports shall not prevent normal expansion and contraction of the penetration.
- A1.5 Not withstanding the above, care should be taken to select and submit details that have been tested in the relevant wall/floor construction.

The details need to:

- identify the substrate, service and associated fully detailed solution
- solution system manufacturer/supplier
- demonstration of the certification of fire resistance rating for the complete system in accordance with a relevant standard
- test data may be required to verify performance of these systems
- A1.6 If no off the shelf tested solution is available for a passive fire stop issue and an Engineering Judgement (EJ) is required then the Sub-contractor is to organise, in conjunction with a reputable manufacturer, an alternative solution. This alternative solution should come with the documents outlined in A1.3 that show that in a similar or close to situation compliance was reached. The Engineer will review the potential alternative and notify acceptance upon agreement, with the EJ triggering a revision update to this document.

#### **CARE TO BE TAKEN**

- A2.1 The Sub-contractors shall take all due care to ensure that no consequential damage occurs as a result of the works being carried out in the premises. Where necessary, protective covers shall be used to protect finishes, fittings and plant equipment.
- A2.2 All work areas are to be thoroughly cleaned before the application of firestop solutions. This is particularly important for sealants, as they MUST bind to the substrate that are applied to.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

## INSPECTIONS, ACCEPTANCE AND FINAL COMPLETION

- A3.1 The works shall be made available for inspection by the Engineer or their representative at appropriate times during the construction, to enable the Engineer or their representative to undertake a level of construction monitoring of the fire stopping systems, conducive to ascertaining whether completion of the works has been achieved and whether a PS4 Producer Statement for Construction can be issued. The construction review will entail visual inspection of fire stopping and associated quality control systems and documentation, and destructive testing of random samples of typical firestop installations. Making good following destructive testing will be done at the cost of the installer.
- A3.2 Any works that have not been made available for inspection by the Engineer or do not meet the requirements of the Specification, appropriate Standards and/or legislation shall be made accessible and/or made good at no cost to the Principal.
- A3.3 Upon completion the Sub-contractor shall provide a Producer Statement (Construction) stating they have installed all fire stopping in accordance with this Specification and manufacturers' instruction.
- A3.4 The Producer Statement shall be issued by persons competent to attest that the fire stopping systems meet Standards and Statutory requirements and that understand the liabilities of non-conformance. They should be able to be on the local council's Producer Statement Authors list.
- A3.5 In order to obtain Practical Completion the Sub-contractor shall obtain a PS4- Producer Statement (Construction) from The Engineer, who is to observe the fire stopping installations in accordance with the Standards and Statutory requirements.
- A3.6 The Sub-Contractor is required to keep a record of the installation of fire stop systems. This information needs to be presented as a "Schedule of Works" as per the relevant standards guidance (AS4072.1). Additionally, this schedule of works is to accompany a marked-up plan indicating where the firestop installations are located. At completion of fire stopping the service the Sub-contractor is to make allowance to place an identification label adjacent to the penetration. The locating of these signs and their style is to be agreed by The Engineer prior to undertaking the works.
- A3.7 Every penetration is to be photographed, and if it is a through penetration both sides of the penetration are to be photographed. These photos are to form part of the Schedule of Works. These photos are to be taken AFTER an installation label has been attached as per the requirement outlined in A3.6.
- A3.8 Supply of the above certificates shall be part of the Contract requirements and final payments shall/may not be considered until ALL necessary certificates have been supplied.

## SUB-CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITIES

- A4.1 The Sub-Contractor shall coordinate with the Main contractor and other trades to ensure that their systems do not interfere with each other's fire stop solutions, particularly in areas where differing trade services are in close proximity to each other such as riser shafts or firewalls. Care needs to be taken in riser shafts and wall penetrations shared by different trade services. Minimum space constraints between services must be considered at this time.
- A4.2 The Sub-contractor shall take particular care to avoid placing their services too close together or too close to another trades, so that a tested fire rated fire stopping solution may be applied.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



- A4.3 The Main contractor needs to be informed of all the penetration types and is required to allocate the areas where each trade may make their penetration(s). Any services placed without the approval of the Main contractor is to be removed and relocated at no expense to the Principal. Any remedial costs will also be borne by the trade making the penetration without prior approval. Alternatively, it may be determined the fire separation can be modified to account for the service being placed in an untimely manner, however associated costs of the Engineers time, and the additional costs of the fire stopping method will be passed to the contractor at fault to pay.
- A4.4 The Sub-contractor is responsible for placing their services in a manner that it can be fire stopped. For example, cable bundles, pipes and conduits must be brought through the fire separation perpendicular to the fire separation. Cable bunches must be kept less than 100 mm in diameter and at least 150mm apart. Any services run at an angle through a fire separation are to be removed and reinstated in a compliant manner and the fire separation made good at the contractor's expense.
- A4.5 The Sub-contractor is not to run their service before being instructed to by the Main contractor. Any service run prior to suitable wall framing and/or linings being provided may need to be removed and reinstated once the linings and/or openings are in place at no cost to the Principal. The Engineer may determine an alternative method of fire stopping can be applied, in which case the Engineers time and any additional or associated costs of the alternative fire stopping method are required to be met by the Sub-contractor and may be retained from the contract amount for this purpose.
- A4.6 Separate trades are to avoid sharing penetrations, unless prior approval is given by the Main contractor. In no instance shall any other service be run in the same opening allowed for fire dampers and sprinkler pipes. It is with note, that fire stopping solutions for penetrations containing multiple penetrations may incur greater costs (and greater penetration opening sizes) and these additional costs will be passed to the contractors concerned.
- A4.7 The Sub-contractor is responsible for supporting their services on both sides of a fire separation (whether it be a floor or a wall) from the building structure. Supports shall be consistent with the relevant design standard for the services being installed (e.g. AS/NZS 3500.2 for plumbing pipes, AS/NZS 3000 for wiring, NZS 4541 for sprinkler pipes) but, with the first support either side of the penetration being within the limits stated on the fire stopping solution drawings. Wiring is required to be supported by a catenary system or cable tray system. Catenary systems (wires) are not to penetrate through a fire separation. They must terminate at the face of the separations.

## DISCLAIMERS

#### A5.1 Acoustic Requirements

A5.1 The solutions contained herein make no reference to acoustic performance. Should walls and/or floors require a certain acoustic performance as specified on Architectural Plans and/or Specifications or by the Principal, the contractor is to notify the Engineer where this occurs along with the proposed fire stopping solution(s) to be adopted, so that acoustic performance can be investigated, and a suitable instruction issued.

## A5.2 Environment

A5.2.1 The solutions contained herein are for internal environments only that are typically maintained at temperatures between -5 °C and + 70°C and with no exposure to rain and no exposure to UV.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



- A5.2.2 Furthermore, Hilti CFS-C P fire collars and CFS-SL speed sleeve solutions are restricted to internal environments that do not include high humidity (e.g. not humidity class 5 in accordance with EN ISO 13788) and are not to be used where temperatures may be expected to fall below 0°C.
- A5.2.3 Where systems include fire mastic, the manufacturer's instructions are to be followed with regard to temperatures to be maintained during the curing period. Typically, temperatures are to be maintained at above 8°C and full curing typically takes 1 day for every mm depth of mastic.
- A5.2.4 Should fire stopping of services be in areas outside the above restrictions, the contractor is to notify the Engineer so that a suitable detail may be sourced, and a suitable instruction issued.

#### A5.3 Seismic

A5.3.1 The fire stopping solutions detailed herein are for low movement scenarios and do not include consideration to required clearances around services with regards to requirements of structural/services standards such as NZS 4219. It is assumed required clearances around services will be detailed within the Services Specification and/or plans. Where a solution contained herein is not able to be used for the required clearances between the services and the floor or wall, the contractor is to contact the Engineer so that a suitable detail may be issued.

#### A5.4 Floor Slab junctions to external walls

A5.4.1 Fire stopping solutions at the interface of floor slabs and exterior cladding and/or curtain walls are outside the scope of this Specification. Such systems are expected to have been co-ordinated between Consultants and detailed within the Architectural Specifications and/or drawings. If required, we can be engaged to undertake a review of these gaps and advise accordingly.

#### A5.5 Fire Dampers

A5.5.1 The nomination of a manufacturer and the installation of fire dampers is outside the scope of this Specification. However, fire separations must be viewed by Holmes as have being correctly prepared for the damper installation. New fire dampers are to be installed by the Mechanical Services contractor in accordance with AS 1682 and the manufacturer's instructions.

#### A5.6 Electrical flushboxes

A5.6.1 Appropriate treatment via intumescent pads or similar to the manufacturers detail shall be dealt with by the appropriate installation trade.

## A5.7 Fire separation junctions

A5.6.1 Fire stopping solutions at the interface of fire separations with other elements of construction are outside the scope of this Specification. Such systems are expected to have been co-ordinated between Consultants and detailed within the Architectural Specifications and/or drawings. If required, we can be engaged to undertake a review of these gaps and advise accordingly.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

## FIRE STOPPING SOLUTIONS

#### B1.1 Light Weight Fire Separation Walls

- B1.1.1 The majority of fire stopping solutions developed and tested for use in plasterboard lined walls (timber or steel framed) are for countries that include higher fire rating requirements than New Zealand and as such incorporate wall types that achieve a 120 minute FRR and thus have 2 x 13 mm (or 2 x 12.5 mm) or 1 x 19 mm plasterboard linings on both sides of the wall.
- B1.1.2 The sub-contractor is required to provide marked-up plan to the Main contractor, identifying the location they wish to penetrate the fire separation wall, and what the service is and the proposed method of fire stopping if they are responsible for it.
- B1.1.3 The Main contractor, upon review of the sub-contractors marked up plans is to provide the necessary framing and linings in the areas to be penetrated.
- B1.1.4 In most above ceiling instances, the Main contractor is required to provide designated areas where services are to be run. These designated areas are required to include additional framing to cut down the wall cavity area around the services. Single lined walls may require an additional layer of wall lining on the single line side (referred to as the "localised patch") to bring the wall lining thickness up to no less than 26 mm both sides of the wall. These localised patches can either be external or internal.
- B1.1.5 All sheet edges of the specified wall linings must fall on framing. The localised patch must span from stud to stud and be fixed into the framing. This means any slots or cuts made in plasterboard to accommodate service penetrations must have wall framing behind them for the plasterboard to be adequately fixed, and so that the wall maintains its fire performance. Any back blocking needs to be mechanically fixed to the framing. Under no circumstances is the weight of any back blocking or framing to be carried by the plasterboard.
- B1.1.6 It is preferred that any fire wall linings and localised patches are installed in the vicinity of the service penetration prior to the service being run. This requires thought around sequencing, and if it is not possible then services installed prior to the wall framing or lining must be framed around as per B1.1.5.
- B1.1.7 Openings made in plasterboard walls for hydraulic and electrical/data services must be made with the appropriately sized hole saw that allows for any annular gap requirements that a fire stop system may have.
- B1.1.8 For fire stopping solutions that require an opening through the wall that is framed and lined through the wall cavity, the Main contractor is required to provide the framing and the linings through the wall cavity, as well as providing the localised linings of the fire separation wall (as described above). The openings are to be fully framed and lined with the same plasterboard as the wall (e.g. wall is 13mm the opening must be 13mm, if the wall is 2 x 13mm then the opening must be trimmed with 2 x 13mm).

Ideally, services are not permitted to be run prior to the wall linings and/or trimmed opening being installed.

B1.1.9 No services are to penetrate seismic joints or deflection head joints. Service penetrations on walls with deflection heads are to be far enough away from the head to ensure that the firestop system used is in no way impacted by the action of the deflection head.





#### B1.1.10 Localised patches are to be applied as follows:



Figure 1: Patching the Services Opening.

B1.1.11 Where localised patching is to occur in areas below ceiling and open to view, the patch is required to be provided behind the finished wall layer. Additional framing is required to be fixed to the wall framing for the patch to be fixed to, as indicated in the figure below.



Figure 2: Provision of Additional Lining Thickness behind Finished Wall Layer.

B1.1.12 Where Fibre cement (RAB, PACBLD etc) is to be used, any penetrations must be run through solid timber framing, or they must be framed out in order to except a plasterboard patch. Where possible the fibre cement board is to be replaced with a suitable plasterboard system.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

B1.1.13 All penetration through walls must have protection fitted to both sides unless specifically stipulated. Any item that cannot be treated with a system specified below, requires approval from the fire engineer prior to install.

# **B1.2** Light Weight Fire Separation Floor Assemblies

B1.2.1 No lightweight floors have been specified for this project.

## **B1.3** Concrete Floors

- B1.3.1 For hydraulic penetrations trade it is expected for the Main contractor wil will inform of the approach that will be adopted for the site, being either the provision of Cast-In Collars or the provision of Retro-Fit collars and/or pipe wraps.
- B1.3.2 Where Retro-Fit solutions are specified the Sub-contractor is to tender the supply and install of the appropriately sized retro-fit collar and/or pipe wrap. Wraps are not permitted to be provided to the shower or floor waste gullies. These are to fire stopped with fire collars only. Wraps may be used on basin and toilet wastes.
- B1.3.3 With retro-fit solutions, it is the responsibility of the Sub-contractor to provide the correct sized core hole in the slab for the collar or wrap (wrap for toilet and basin wastes only). The sub-contractor is also to select the appropriate method accounting for the proximity of any structural element such as ribs or columns.
- B1.3.4 Where collars are proposed to be used by the Sub-contractor for a penetration type that could have been protected with a pipe wrap and there is a clash with the ribs, preventing the application of a collar, the additional cost of the required bulkhead and any additional collars is to be borne by the sub-contractor.
- B1.3.5 It is the responsibility of the applicable Sub-contractors to provide the correct sized core hole, or where applicable the correct sized conduit through the wall, taking note of the size of the services and the requirements of the fire stopping solution that is to be adopted. Typically, services are to occupy no greater than 60% of the opening. If conduit is cast into the wall this conduit counts to the percentage loading of the services.

#### B1.4 Concrete Walls

- B1.4.1 It is the responsibility of the Sub-contractors to provide the correct sized core hole, or where applicable the correct sized conduit through the wall, taking note of the size of the services and the requirements of the fire stopping solution that is to be adopted. Typically, services are to occupy no greater than 60% of the opening. If conduit is cast into the wall this conduit counts to the percentage loading of the services.
- B1.4.2 Where the wrong sized core hole or conduit is placed, the cost of additional required core holes and any rework of services is to be borne by the contractor at fault.
- B1.4.3 All sub-contractors are to price for penetrating concrete walls and the required fire stopping. Should during works different sub-contractors negotiate between themselves to share a core hole, provided it can readily still be fire stopped to the methods contained herein, the savings are to be passed onto the Principal.
- B1.4.4 No cables or plastic pipes are to be run through openings formed for sprinkler pipes or fire dampers.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 



Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

B1.4.5 Any penetration through walls must have protection fitted to both sides unless specifically stipulated. Any item that cannot be treated with a system specified below, requires approval from the fire engineer prior to install.

#### B1.5 Stahlton Floor

- B1.6.1 Where plastic pipes penetrate through floors, All Proof drop in fire collars are preferred. Where retro-fit options are specified the sub-contractor is to tender the supply and install of the appropriately sized retro-fit collar and under packing if required (i.e. Intubatt, steel plate and rockwool etc). For shower or floor waste gullies only the All Proof system can be used.
- B1.6.2 It is the responsibility of the sub-contractor to provide the correct sized core drilled hole in the slab for the service. The Sub-contractor is also to select a method appropriate for accounting for the proximity of any structural element such as ribs or columns.
- B1.6.3 It is the responsibility of the applicable sub-contractor to provide the correct sized core drilled hole, or where applicable the correct sized conduit through the floor, taking note of the size of the services and the requirements of the fire stopping solution that is to be adopted. Typically services are to occupy no greater than 60% of the opening. If conduit is cast into the floor this conduit counts to the percentage loading of the services. If in doubt about loading percentages being met, then core another hole.
- B1.6.4 If any cable tray/large cable group is to penetrate the slab, specific form work may be required in the floor system to create a specific opening which can be appropriately fire stopped (the slab may be required to be a full section 150mm thick).
- B1.6.5 Suitable spacing between penetrations must be provided to allow fire stop devices/systems to be installed as per manufacturers specifications. If services are installed without the correct spacing then this would be treated as an alternative solution, and any costs associated with providing more information or an engineering judgement would be undertaken at the expense of the sub-contractor.



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



2019/1381

# **SPECIFIC FIRE RATING SOLUTIONS**

#### Overview

The following scope of services penetrating through fire separations that divide firecells are provided as identification that a solution is available to address the service and maintain compliance with the performance requirement of the fire separation.

Based on the services supplied information, the following represents product solutions for the individual service penetrations that have been identified

Service	Substrate	Material	Indicative sizes	Solution	Doc
Cables for fire alarm	Concrete wall	Cable	4<Ø<15mm	Hilti CFS- IS (CP611A)	CWE01
Metal pipe sprinkler and hydrant	Concrete wall	Steel	40<Ø<165mm	Hilti CFS- S ACR (CP606) Or Firetherm Intumasti c	CWP01
Electrical cable trays (120min wall)	Plasterboard wall	Galvanised Steel with XLPE/PVC cabling attached	600mm width horizontally	Hilti CFS- F FX (CP660 - 150mm min. thickness)	Hilti approval document or Ryanfire V32



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

Service	Substrate	Material	Indicative sizes	Solution	Doc
Electrical cable duct (vertical duct riser from transformer to Childcare DB – Grid 1.C-1.D/1.6- 1.7)	Concrete Inter floor structure	High impact uPVC conduit c/w XLPE/PVC cabling	Ø 100m	HiltiCP66 0 Or Hilti CFS- B and CFS-P BA Or RyanFire Intusleeve , intumasti c and Mineral Fibre Backing 64kg 100mm thick	Hilti approval document Or RyanFire V40
Electrical Cable trays (horizontal)	Level 1 reserve / stair lobby smoke separated area	Galvanised Steel with XLPE/PVC cabling attached	200 – 600mm	Not through fire/smok e separatio n no fire stopping req.	
Mechanical & Electrical services cables (cables serving electrical services within stairs)	Concrete wall	TPS cable	1.5mm2<Ø<25mm 2	Hilti CFS- IS (CP611A)	CWE01
Security and Telecommunicatio ns cables (cables serving electrical services within stairs)	Concrete wall	PVC multicore and/or LSZH optical fibre	Ø<1.5mm2	Hilti CFS- IS (CP611A)	CWE01



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

Service	Substrate	Material	Indicative sizes	Solution	Doc
Mechanical & Electrical services cables – Cable conduit	Concrete inter floor structure?	High impact uPVC conduit c/w TPS cable?	16mm2<Ø<150mm 2	Hilti CFS- IS (CP611A) (upto 32mm) Hilti Collar For >32mm All Proof Drop In Collar Or Firetherm Intubatt with Ryan Fire SL Collar sealed with Intumasti c or Intusleeve	CFP04 CFP05/06 Or Allproof or V22.3 or V22.5 Or V63.1
Electrical flush boxes (not part of this scope)	Fixed to fire/smoke separation walls	Metal flush boxes		Firetherm Putty Pads Or RyanFire V37.2 (acoustic tested) (not part of this scope)	V37 V37.2 (not part of this scope)



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020

BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER

Service	Substrate	Material	Indicative sizes	Solution	Doc
Surface mounted electrical outlets	Conduit through floor as per above.	High impact uPVC conduit c/w TPS cable Proprietary PVC mounting hardware		Hilti CFS- IS (CP611A) upto 32mm All Proof Drop In Collar Or Firetherm Intubatt with double Intustrap sealed with Intumasti c or Intusleeve	CFP04
Vent pipes Foul drainage & stormwater	Concrete Floor Concrete Floor	uPVC Raupiano acoustic pipe	Ø65 - 80mm Ø 65 - 100mm	All Proof Drop In Collar Or Firetherm Intubatt with double Intustrap sealed with Intumasti c or Intusleeve	Allproof manual
Water Services Pipes	Concrete floor & walls	Polyethylen e	Ø32 - 40mm	All Proof Drop In Collar Or Firetherm Intubatt with Ryan Fire SL collar with Intumasti c or Intusleeve	Allproof Or V22.3 or V22.5 Or V63.1



17 Dec 2019

**Building Division** 

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy

# Approved For Issue 27/02/2020



Service	Substrate	Material	Indicative sizes	Solution	Doc
Mech condensate pipes	-	-	-	Assume none	
Mechanical refrigeration pipes	-	-	-	Assume none	
Mechanical ductwork (not part of this scope)	Gib lined stud walls	Galvanised steel	600 x 600 & 800 x 600	Holyoake fire/ smoke damper Kilargo Fire/ Smoke dampers Model dependa nt on size and cross sectional area	Refer to manufactur er specificatio ns dependant on damper type (not part of this scope)



his sketch does not constitute a Legend	Holmes Fire LP	Project Title	Sketch Title Cable and Conduit	Drawn: DXM	Date: 22	/ 10 / 2019
detail. Detailed construction drawings are provided by others. Best viewed in	holmesfire.com	НѠСР	Penetrations through	Project No.	Sheet No.	Re
olour. Not all fire separations around ucts and shafts are shown.	Holmes		Concrete Walls Hilti CP611a Solution	136249	CW-E-01	А

# **BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER**

# 2019/1381

s of services in wall and floor	Minimum distance in mm
	s1 = 0
	s2 = 40
	s1 =10
5	s2 = 40
al	s1 = 10
ices	s2= 40
ween conduits to edge of seal	s1 = 10
ween conduits and other services	s2= 40

Where exposed to view, smooth before skin forms using water (permissible to use diluted liquid soap) and spatula. Leave completed seal undisturbed for 48 hrs.



This sketch does not constitute a	Legend
detail. Detailed construction drawings are provided by others. Best viewed in	
colour. Not all fire separations around ducts and shafts are shown.	



Project Title

5

Sketch Title Metal Pipe thro Concrete Walls Gap up to 40 m Only. Hilti CP60

	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
	2019/1381
Hilti Australia Opinion FCO-203	8.
vill need to be lagged with Rockw	vool on both sides of
CP 606 for	
ed to view, ms using	
se diluted	
rbed for 48	

	Drawn: DXM Date: 21 /		2019
ough			
s, Annular	Project No.	Sheet No.	Rev
nm Integrity – 06 Solution	136249	CW-P-01	Α

	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue			
Vironmental & Planning Services I.C.C.	Council	27/02/2020		BUILDING CO	NSENT NUME
Document Received INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS 17 Dec 2019 1. ENSURE THE APERTURE IS CLEAN AND DEBRIS AND LOOSE CEMENT. REMOVI Building Division SUFFACES REQUIRING INSTALLATION.	Building Consent FREE OF Authority E DUSTARP proved Site Copy		Notes Products: Approval: BK: Ref:	INTUBA2019 AS 1530.4/AS 407 1 35084000	<b>9/1381</b>
<ol> <li>CUT THE INTUBATT TO FORM THE BAT AROUND THE CABLE TRAY, AS PER THE DETAIL DRAWING V44.</li> <li>POSITION THE BATT BOX AROUND THE AND POSITION THE BACK EDGE OF THE LINE WITH THE BACK SIDE OF THE PRO INTURATE FIPE SEAL</li> </ol>	T BOX DETAIL E BATT BOX E PENETRATION E BATT BOX IN IPOSED		ID: Scenario: Construction: Fire Integrity: Insulation:	<b>35</b> Penetration seal t Fire rated gypsum 120 minutes. 120 minutes.	o cable tray. n wall.
<ol> <li>CUT THE INTUBATT TO THE REQUIRED SHAPE, ENSURING THE INTUBATT WILI PLASTERBOARD 100MM AROUND THE</li> </ol>	SIZE AND L OVERLAP THE OPENING		Services:	Cable Tray up to 5 Standard cable co BSEN 1366.	00mm wide nfiguration
<ol> <li>CUT THE INTUBATT TO TIGHTLY FIT AR BATT BOX DETAIL.</li> </ol>	OUND THE				
<ol> <li>CUT THE INTUBATT ACROSS THE SHOR DIMENSION INCORPORATING THE MIL PENETRATION, TO ENABLE THE INTUB/ FITTED ON TO THE PLASTERBOARD/M/</li> </ol>	TEST OPOINT OF THE ATT TO BE ASONRY.	INTUMASTIC FILLET- 10MM WIDE			
7. APPLY INTUMASTIC TO THE PLASTERBO MASONRY WHERE THE INTUBATT IS TO	DARD/ D BE FITTED.	G SCREWS & PENNY WASHERS	Web based drawir performance of ar	igs are for example on y system is dependan	ıly, fire t on, but not
<ol> <li>APPLY INTUMASTIC BRUSH GRADE TO THE INTUBATT, ENSURING THAT AN EV IS ACHIEVED OVER THE ENTIRE EXPOS OF THE INTUBATT. THIS SHOULD INCL OUTER EDGES OF THE INTUBATT AND</li> </ol>	ALL EDGES OF /EN COVERAGE ED THICKNESS LUDE THE THE CUT	INTUMASTIC BEAD- 20MM X 20MM	limited to size of o passing through, t Ryanfire technical performance infor	pening, substrate, if p ype, size and number. department for detail mation.	enetrations are Please refer to ed and specific fir
ACROSS TO ALLOW INSTALLATION IN T APERTURE.	TO THE				
9. OFFER INTUBATT UP TO PLASTERBOAR AROUND THE INSTALLED BATT BOX.	RD WALL		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		NFIRE
10. FIX THE INTUBATT TO THE PLASTERBO USING MINIMUM 75MM LONG STEEL 25MM DIAMETER STEEL WASHERS. FI	ARD/MASONRY SCREWS AND XINGS SHOULD	S S DOG	Client		
NOT EXCEED 50MM FROM ANY EDGE	AND NOT	R Dee			
11. APPLY AN INTUMASTIC FILLET APPROX 10MM WIDE TO THE PERIMETER OF T	IMATELY HE INTUBATT		JOD TILLE		
WHERE IT MEE IS THE PLASTERBOARD, 20MM BEAD AROUND THE BATT BOX I ENSURE THAT ALL GAPS BETWEEN THE AND THE SURROUNDING EDGES ARE F	, AND ZUMM X DETAIL. E INTUBATT ULLY FILLED		Drawing Title Batt Box d Gypsum Fi	etail to Cable re Wall	e Tray in
12. REPAIR ANY DAMAGES TO THE COATIN HAVE OCCURRED DURING INSTALLATIC BRUSH OR SPRAVING APPLICATION OF	AG WHICH MAY NO USING INTLIMASTIC Please note: RyanGre Products Ltd owns and has copyright in these drawings. They are to be used only for the purpose for which they were intended and supplied and are not to	All errors and omissions excepted - the Information contained within this drawing is believed to be correct on the date of publication, and is based upon tested and certified solutions. The policy of RyanFire Products tud is one of constant improve- ment. Installers must therefore ensure that they are following the latest published drawings, test evidence and instructions. Whiles RyanFire Products tud will endeavour to keep its publications up to date, the accuracy of the information contained within this drawing may be affected by pertinent changes in the law or regulatory requirements and alterations or amendments	Scale NTS Chaot Size	Date July	y <b>20</b> 19
13. REPEAT THE ABOVE TO THE OPPOSITE	SIDE OF THE-	to the specification of <b>the manufactured</b> products. Terms and conditions of sale apply.	A3	Drawil By	
WALL.	Any misuse of these drawings will result in legal proceedings.	RYANFIRE Technical Support: info@ryanfire.co.nz - Tel 09 443 0362	Drawing Number		Rev 2 0

	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue		
Environmental & Planning Services I.C.C.	Council	27/02/2020		BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	Building Consent			2040/4204
17 Dec 2019	Authority		Products:	ZUI9/I30I RYANFIRE SL COLLAR
Building Division	Approved Site Copy		Approvals:	AS 1530.4/AS 4072.1
			Ref:	49 / 50 51892400 / 51892200
1. ENSURE THE APERTURE IS CLEAN	N FREE OF DEBRIS		ID:	Service C / B
LOOSE CEMENT AND DUST.	,, <u> </u>		Scenario:	pipe
2. CUT THE INTUBATT TO THE COR TIGHTLY AROUND THE SERVICE I OVERI AP THE TIMBER SARKING	RECT SIZE TO FIT PENETRATION AND ARQUIND THE		Construction:	Timber infill floor (75mm concrete 25mm timber sarking).
APERTURE BY 100MM.			Fire Rating: PVC pipe -	Integrity 60 minutes. Insulation: 60 minutes.
3. APPLY INT UMASTIC (WHITE) TO THE INTUBATT AND PRESS FIRM AROUND THE PIPE.	THE BACK SIDE OF LY INTO POSITION		HDPE pipe-	Integrity 30 minutes. Insulation 15 minutes.
4. SECURE THE INTUBATT TO THE L TIMBER INFILL FLOOR USING 100 STEEL SCREWS & 25MM WASHE	UNDERSIDE OF THE DMM LONG MILD RS POSITIONED		Services:	Up to 160mm dia. PVC pipe. Up to 160mm dia. HDPE pipe.
EXCEEDING 200MM CENTRES.			Web based drawin performance of an	ngs are for example only, fire ny system is dependant on, but not
5. SELECT THE CORRECT DIAMETER FOR THE COMBUSTIBLE PIPE.	R RYANFIRE SL COLLAR		limited to size of c passing through, t Ryanfire technical	opening, substrate, if penetrations are type, size and number. Please refer to I department for detailed and specific fire
6. APPLY INTUMASTIC (WHITE) ARC SMOKE SEAL THE OPENING.	DUND THE PIPE TO	RYANFIRE SL COLLAR	performance infor	rmation.
7. POSITION THE RYANFIRE SL COLI PENETRATION AND FASTEN ARC	LAR AROUND THE JUND THE PIPE.	INTUBATT	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	RYANFIRE PASSIVE FIRE PROTECTION
8. SLIDE THE COLLAR ALONG THE P UP AGAINST THE UNDERSIDE OF	PIPE UNTIL IT IS HARD THE INTUBATT.			
9. FIX THE COLLAR IN PLACE USING	100MM LONG MILD		Client	
POINTS ARE USED AND THE SCRI SOLID CONCRETE SUBSTRATE BY	EWS EMBED THE Y MIN. 25MM.		Job Title	
10. APPLY INTUMASTIC BRUSH GRA	DE TO ALL EXPOSED		Drawing Title	
INTUBATT EDGES. REPAIR ANY D COATING THAT MAY HAVE OCCU INSTALLATION USING BRUSH OR	AMAGE TO THE JRRED DURING SPRAY APPLICATION		Ryanfire SL through Tir	Collar - Combustible Pipe nber Infill Floor
OF INTUMASTIC.	Please note: Ryanfire Products Ltd owns and has copyright in these drawings. They are to used only for the purpose for which they were intended and resulting and the second seco	All errors and omissions excepted - the Information contained within this drawing is believed to be correct on the date of publication, and is based upon tested and certified solutions. The policy of <b>RyanFire Products Led</b> is one of constant improvement. Installers must therefore ensure that they are following the latest published drawings, test evidence and instructions. Whils <b>RyanFire Products Led</b> will endeavour to keep its publications up to date, the accuracy of the information contained within the drawing to the solution of the information contained within the information contain	Scale NTS	Date July 2019
	were interacted and supprict and the first be sold, transferred or made available for use by a third party.	within this unawing they be directed by pertinent changes in the law or regulatory requirements and alterations of amendments to the specification of the manufactured products. Terms and conditions of sale apply.	Sheet Size	Drawn By
	Any misuse of these drawings will result legal proceedings.	n RYANFIRE Technical Support: info@rvanfire.co.nz - Tel 09 443 0362	Drawing Number	Rev
			<u> </u>	/22.3 2.0

	27/02/2020	<u> </u>	BUILDING CON	SENT NUMBE
Building Consent		Products:	RYANEIR 010	1381
Authority		i iouucis.	INTUMASTIC HP	1301
Approved Site Copy		Approvals: BK:	AS 1530.4/AS 4072	.1
		Ref:	51892200 Service D	
REE OF DEBRIS,		Scenario:	Penetration seal to combustible pipes.	multiple
CT SIZE TO FIT NETRATIONS AND OUND THE		Construction:	Timber infill floor (7 25mm timber sarki	75mm concrete ng).
		Aperture:	150mm dia.	I
E BACK SIDE OF		Fire Integrity:	60 minutes.	
SIDE OF THE		Services:	Up to 25mm dia cor dia. buteline pipe, 3 rautitan pipe, 32mm	nduit, 22mm 22mm rehau n rehau PEX -
POSITIONED TUBATT AND NOT YANFIRE SL COLLAR D 160MM DIA SL	TIMBER INFILL FLOOR	Web based drawin performance of ar limited too - size of passing through, t Ryanfire technical performance infor	ngs are for example only, ny system is dependant o of opening, substrate, if p cype, size and number. P I department for detailed rmation.	, fire on, but not penetrations are lease refer to d and specific fire
AROUND THE OSITION .	RYANFIRE SL COLLAR			
HE UNDERSIDE OF	итомале пр			
)0MM LONG MILD RE ALL FIXING IS EMBED THE IIN. 25MM.		Client		
ANNULAR GAP TO SL COLLAR.		Job Title		
TO ALL EXPOSED //AGE TO THE RED DURING PRAY APPLICATION	1	Drawing Title Multi Comb Penetration	bustible Service n Through Timb	er Infill
Please note: Ryanfire Products Ltd owns and has copyright in these drawings. They are to be used only for the purpose for which they were intended and emergined and are not	All errors and omissions excepted - the Information contained within this drawing is believed to be correct on the date of publication, and is based upon tested and certified solutions. The policy of RyanFire Products that is one of constant improve- ement. Installers must therefore ensure that they are following the latest published drawings, test evidence and instructions. Whilst RyanFire Products Ltd will endeavour to keep its publications up to date, the accuracy of the information contained within the uncenteendeed the information contained within the uncenteendeed of the date of the test the state of the information contained within the uncenteendeed of the date o	Scale NTS	Date July 2	2019
be sold, transferred or made available for use by a third party.	to the specification of the manufactured products. Terms and conditions of sale apply.	Sheet Size	Drawn By	
Any misuse of these drawings will result in legal proceedings.	RYANFIRE Technical Support: info@ryanfire.co.nz - Tel 09 443 0362	Drawing Number	V/22 E	Rev
	Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy         *REE OF DEBRIS,         CT SIZE TO FIT VETRATIONS AND OUND THE         E BACK SIDE OF INTO POSITION         SIDE OF THE IM LONG MILD POSITIONED TUBATT AND NOT         YANFIRE SL COLLAR D 1600MM DIA SL         R AROUND THE '05ITION.         HE UNDERSIDE OF INN ZONG MILD 26 ALL FIXING X E EMBED THE INN. 25MM.         MANULAR GAP TO 'SL COLLAR.         TO ALL EXPOSED WAGE TO THE RED DURING PRAY APPLICATION         PRAY APPLICATION	Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy         Rec of Debris,         CT SIZE TO FIT VETATIONS AND OUND THE         E BACK SIDE OF INTO POSITION INTO POSITION DOWN THE         IE BACK SIDE OF INTO POSITION DOWN THE         IE BACK SIDE OF INTO POSITION DOWN THE SIGTION.         INTO RESIDE OF THE SIGTION.         INTO RESIDE OF THE SIGTION.         INTO RESIDE OF THE RESIDE OF THE RED URING RAY APPLICATION         INTO RESIDE OF THE RED URING RAY APPLICATION         INTO RESIDE OF THE RED URING SIGNER RAY APPLICATION <td>Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy       Products: Ref of Deersis,         TSEE OF DEERS,       Construction:         CT SIZE TO FIT WETATIONS AND OUND THE MICHORG MIDD POSTIONE ROTTION SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR SOUTHOR SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR</td> <td>Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy       Products:       Products:       Products:       Products:       Products:       Products:       Side Consent Actional Side Copy         *REE OF DEBRIS,       TS 22 TO FIT VERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND CONSTRUENT       Image: Side Copy Products:       Products:       Products:</td>	Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy       Products: Ref of Deersis,         TSEE OF DEERS,       Construction:         CT SIZE TO FIT WETATIONS AND OUND THE MICHORG MIDD POSTIONE ROTTION SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR SOUTHOR SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR HOUT SOUTHOR	Building Consent Authority Approved Site Copy       Products:       Products:       Products:       Products:       Products:       Products:       Side Consent Actional Side Copy         *REE OF DEBRIS,       TS 22 TO FIT VERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND OUND THE PERTATIONS AND CONSTRUENT       Image: Side Copy Products:       Products:       Products:

	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue		
Services I.C.C.	Council	27/02/2020	1	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	Building Consent			0040/4004
17 Dec 2019	Authority			2019/1381
Building Division	Approved Site Copy		Products:	CABLE TRANSIT & INTUMASTIC
Duran briston	, .pp. c. c. c. c c c p j		Approvals:	AS 1530.4/AS 4072.1
			Ref:	35084000
			ID:	38
			Scenario:	Floor seal to various cables passing through intusleeve cable management sleeve.
			Penetrations:	Cables
		Bar		2notype E10notype A110notype A2
INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS				14no 3 core, twin and earth
1. ENSURE THE APERTURE IS CLEAN AND	D FREE OF		Construction:	Nominal 150mm concrete floor.
DEBRIS AND LOOSE CEMENT. REMOV	VE ALL DUST		Fire Integrity:	120 minutes
2 SELECT THE CORRECT SIZE INTUSIEE	/F		Insulation:	30 minutes.
3 EIT AROUND THE CABLES AND SECUR			Web based drawi	ngs are for example only, fire performance
COMPRESSION FITTING THE 25MM T	HICK SMOKE	INTUSLEEVE	of any system is d	ependant on, but not limited to size of
SEAL (FOAM) TIGHTLY WITHIN THE IN AROUND THE CABLES.	ITUSLEEVE AND		type, size and nur	nber. Please refer to Ryanfire technical
4. FIT 100MM DEPTH OF 64KG MINERAL	LFIBRE		information.	etailed and specific fire performance
BETWEEN THE INTUSLEEVE AND CON	ICRETE FLOOR	FOAIVI SIVIOKE SEAL		
OF THE CONCRETE FLOOR.	FROM THE TOP			
5. APPLY 3MM THICKNESS OF INTUMAS	TIC TO THE	INTUMASTIC - 3MM		<b>RYAN</b> FIRF
RECESS AROUND THE INTUSLEEVE.				PASSIVE FIRE PROTECTION
6. CLEAN EXCESS INTUMASTIC USING A	DAMP CLOTH.	64KG MINERAL FIBRE		
		BACKING - 100MM	Client	
		THICK	lob Title	
		Ŧ		
			Drawing Title	Coble Monground
				, capie Management
	Please note: Ryanfire Products Ltd owns and has	All errors and omissions excepted - the Information contained within this drawing is believed to be correct on the date of publication, and is based upon tested and certified solutions. The policy of RyanFire Products Ltd is one of constant improve-	Floor Seal	•
	copyright in these drawings. They are to be used only for the purpose for which they ware intended and translated are not to	ment. Installers must therefore ensure that they are following the latest published drawings, test evidence and instructions. Whilst RyanFire Products Ltd will endeavour to keep its publications up to date, the accuracy of the information contained with the product product between the	Scale NTS	July 2019
	were intended and supplied and are not to be sold, transferred or made available for use by a third party.	within this or awing may be affected by pertinent changes in the law or regulatory requirements and alterations or amendment to the specification of <b>the manufactured</b> products. Terms and conditions of sale apply.	Sheet Size	Drawn By
	Any misuse of these drawings will result in		A3	
	regai proceedings.	RYANFIRE Technical Support: info@ryanfire.co.nz - Tel 09 443 0362	Drawing Number	
			_	2.0



This sketch does not constitute a complete fire engineering design or	Legend
detail. Detailed construction drawings are provided by others. Best viewed in colour. Not all fire separations around	
ducts and shafts are shown.	



Project Title

<sup>Sketch Title</sup> Plastic Pipe (uF to 150 mm Dia through Concre Hilti CP648-E S

	Drawn: DXM	Date: 22 / 10 / 2019		
PVC, PE) up				
Penetration				
ete Floors –	Project No.	Sheet No.	Rev	
olution	136249	CF-P-O4	Α	



This sketch does not constitute a	Legend			Project Title	Sketch Title	Drawn: DXM	Date: 22 / 10 /	/ 2019
complete fire engineering design or detail. Detailed construction drawings are provided by others. Best viewed in colour. Not all fire separations around ducts and shafts are shown.	Holmes	Holmes Fire LP holmesfire.com	НЖСР	through Concrete Floors				
					Project No.	Sheet No.	Rev	
					Hilti CP644 Solution	136249	CF-P-05	A







Project Title HWCP Sketch Title Plastic Pipes u Dia., Penetrati Concrete Floo Hilti CP648-E S

	Drawn: DXM	Date: 22 / 10 /	2019
ip to 160 mm			
ions through	Project No.	Sheet No.	Rev
rs Cast in	126240		
Solution	136249	CF-P-06	A

vironmental & Planning	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue		
Services I.C.C.	Council	27/02/2020	Notes	BUILDING CONSENT NUN
ocument Received	Building Consent			2010/1381
17 Dec 2019	Authority		Products:	INTUSIL & INTUSLEEVE
Building Division	Approved Site Copy		Approvals:	AS 1530.4/AS 4072
			Ref:	4 T35319300
			ID:	44
			Scenario:	Penetration seal to multiple service penetrations
INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS			Construction:	Concrete floor 150mm
1. ENSURE THE APERTURE IS CLEA	AN AND FREE OF REMOVE DUST FROM		Fire Rating:	Integrity: 240 minute
SURFACES REQUIRING INSTALL	ATION.		The nating.	Insulation: 60 minute
2. SELECT CORRECT DIAMETER IN	ITUSLEEVE (140MM		Service Penetratio	ons: Fibre optic cables through
LONG) FOR SERVICE PENETRAT	TIONS.	INTUSII 3MM		PVC conduit, Fibre optic cable bundle,
3. SECURE 150X20X2MM L BRACH	KETS TO INTUSLEEVE	тніск		Twin & Earth cable bundle, PE Pipes, & PVC pipes
USING STEEL SELF TAPPING SCI	REWS TO SUIT	FIXING BRACKET		
			Web based drawings system is dependent	are for example only, fire performance of a on, but not limited too - size of opening, su
<ol> <li>POSITION THE INTUSLEEVE ARC PENETRATION.</li> </ol>	OUND THE SERVICE		strate, if penetrations	s are passing through, type, size and number re technical department for detailed and
		INTUSLEEVE 140MM LONG	specific fire performa	nce information.
USING SUITABLE MILD 40MM I	LONG STEEL FIXINGS.			
6. APPLY 60MM THICK 35KG ROC	KWOOL AROUND	INTUSIL—10MM		
INTUSLEEVE, CREATING A 3MM	A DEEP RECESS FROM	ANNULAR MAX	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	DVANICIDI
				PASSIVE FIRE PROTECTIC
7. FILL 3MM DEEP RECESS WITH I	NTUSIL.	ROCKWOOL 35KG		
8. APPLY 3MM INTUSIL TO ANY G	APS WITHIN		Client	
INTOSEEVE.			Job Title	
<ol> <li>CLEAN EXCESS MATERIAL AWA CLOTH.</li> </ol>	IY USING A DAMP			
				to Multiple Service
			Penetratio	n - Concrete Floor
	Please note: Ryanfire Products Ltd owns and has	All errors and omissions excepted - the Information contained within this drawing is believed to be correct on the date of publication, and is based upon tested and certified solutions. The policy of RyanFire Products Ltd is one of constant improve-	Scale	Date
	copyright in these drawings. They are to be used only for the purpose for which they were intended and supplied and are not to	ment. Installers must therefore ensure that they are following the latest published drawings, test evidence and instructions. Whilst RyanFire Products Ltd will endeavour to keep its publications up to date, the accuracy of the information contained within this revinem must be offected the received the test of the information is the latest state of the information contained	NTS	Oct 2017
	be sold, transferred or made available for use by a third party.	to the specification of <b>the manufactured</b> products. Terms and conditions of sale apply.	Sheet Size	Drawn By
	Any misuse of these drawings will result in legal proceedings.		A3 Drawing Number	Rev
		RYANFIRE Technical Support: info@ryanfire.co.nz - Tel 09 443 0362	V	63.1 1.0

in an and the planning	Invercargill City	Approved For Issue		
Services I.C.C.	Council	27/02/2020	1	BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received	Building Consent			2010/1201
17 Dec 2019	Authority			2019/1301
Building Division	Approved Site Copy		Products:	ELECTROPAD
			Approval: BK: Ref: ID: Scenario:	AS 1530.4/AS 4072.1 1 35084000 29/30 Electrical socket and metal back
				box fitted through gypsum fire wall.
INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS			Penetrations: Construction:	95mm x 45mm Softwood section studwork. 13mm fire rated plasterboard fixed to both sides of studwork.
<ol> <li>ENSURE ELECTRICAL SERVICES TO ANY WORKS BEING UNDEF</li> <li>REMOVE ELECTRICAL FACE PL</li> </ol>	S ARE ISOLATED PRIOR RTAKEN. ATE.		Fire Integrity: Insulation:	60 minutes. 60 minutes.
3. ENSURE THE APERTURE IS CLE DEBRIS AND LOOSE CEMENT. FROM SURFACES REQUIRING	EAN AND FREE OF REMOVE ALL DUST INSTALLATION.		Web based drawi of any system is d	ngs are for example only, fire performance lependant on, but not limited to size of
4. SELECT THE ELECTROPAD TO I ELECTRICAL BACK BOX.	FIT WITHIN THE		opening, substrat type, size and nur department for d	e, if penetrations are passing through, nber. Please refer to Ryanfire technical letailed and specific fire performance
<ol> <li>APPLY INTUMASTIC TO THE BA BOX TO ENABLE THE ELECTRO ADHERED IN PLACE. FIRMLY O ELECTROPAD TO BACK OF THE</li> </ol>	ACK OF THE ELECTRICAL DPAD PAD TO BE COMPRESS THE E ELECTRICAL BOX.	ELECTROPAD	information.	
6. FILL ALL THE GAPS BETWEEN OPENING AND ELECTRICAL BC	THE PLASTERBOARD DX WITH INTUMASTIC.	ELECTRICAL SOCKET FACE PLATE	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	RYANFIRE PASSIVE FIRE PROTECTION
7. REMOVE EXCESS INTUMASTIC	C USING A DAMP CLOTH.	ELECTRICAL SOCKET		
8. REFIT ELECTRICAL FACE PLATE	E.	METAL BACK BOX	Client	
			Job Title	
			Drawing Title	
			Electropad	d Gypsum Fire Wall.
	Please note: Ryanfire Products Ltd owns and has copyright in these drawings. They are to be used only for the purpose for which they were intended and supplied and are not to be sold. transferred or made available for	All errors and omissions excepted - the Information contained within this drawing is believed to be correct on the date of publication, and is based upon tested and certified solutions. The policy of RyanFire Products tid is one of constant improve- ment. Installers must therefore ensure that they are following the latest published drawings, test evidence and instructions. Whilst RyanFire Products tid will endeavour to keep its publications up to date, the accuracy of the information contained within this drawing may be affected by pertinent changes in the law or regulatory requirements and alterations or amendments to the specification of the manufactured for durate.	Scale NTS	Date July 2019
	use by a third party.	to the specification of the menunetarea products, remis and conditions of sale appry.	Sheet Size	Drawn By
	Any misuse of these drawings will result in legal proceedings.	RYANFIRE Technical Support: info@rvanfire.co.nz - Tel 09 443 0362	Drawing Number	Rev
				V37 2.0

	Invercargill City		Approved For Is	ssue		
Services I.C.C.	Council		27/02/2020			BUILDING CONSENT NUMBER
Document Received 17 Dec 2019	Building Consen Authority	t			Products: Approval: BK:	RYANFI 2019/1381 AS 1530.4/AS 4072.1 46 / 51 / 52
Building Division	Approved Site Co	oy		ACOUSTIC PUTTY PAD EXTERNAL MOULD	Ref: ID: Scenario: Penetrations: Construction: Fire Integrity: Fire Insulation: Fire Integrity:	A, B / C / D Electrical socket and metal back box fitted through gypsum fire wall. Electrical socket metal back box. 95mm x 55mm. Softwood section studwork. 13mm fire rated plasterboard fixed to both sides of studwork. 90 minutes (External Mould) 60 minutes (Internal Mould)
<ol> <li>ENSURE ELECTRICAL SERVICES ARE IS TO ANY WORKS BEING UNDERTAKEN</li> <li>ENSURE THE APERTURE IS CLEAN AN DEBRIS. REMOVE ALL DUST FROM SU REQUIRING INSTALLATION.</li> <li>SELECT PUTTY PADS TO FIT AROUND BACK BOX.</li> <li>MOULD AROUND ELECTRICAL BACK IS THE ENTIRE BOX IS ENCLOSED/SURRIG S. FIX ELECTRICAL BACK BOX INTO POSI MANUFACTURERS INSTRUCTIONS.</li> <li>FILL ALL GAPS BETWEEN THE PLASTE OPENING AND ELECTRICAL BOX WITH</li> </ol>	OLATED PRIOR D FREE OF IRFACES ELECTRICAL BOX TO ENSURE DUNDED. TION AS PER RBOARD HINTUMASTIC.			ELECTRICAL SOCKET METAL BACK BOX	Fire Insulation: Acoustic Rating: Web based drawin of any system is de opening, substrate type, size and num department for de mation.	60 minutes (Internal Mould) ISO 10140.2 (STC60) gs are for example only, fire performance pendant on, but not limited to size of if penetrations are passing through, ber. Please refer to Ryanfire technical tailed and specific fire performance infor-
7. FIT ELECTRICAL FACE PLATE.				ACOUSTIC PUTTY PAD	Client Job Title Drawing Title Acoustic P	utty Pad Gypsum Fire
	Please note: Ryanfire Products Ltd copyright in these draw used only for the purp were intended and sup be sold, transferred or use by a third party. Any misuse of these dr legal proceedings.	owns and has vings. They are to be see for which they plied and are not to made available for awings will result in	<b>scepted</b> - the Information contained within this drawing is b pon tested and certified solutions. The policy of <b>RyanFire F</b> efore ensure that they are following the latest published dra <b>Ltd</b> will endeavour to keep its publications up to date, the actificated by pertinent changes in the law or regulatory requi- of the manufactured products. Terms and conditions of sale	elieved to be correct on the date of <b>Products Ltd</b> is one of constant improve- wings, test evidence and instructions. Ccuracy of the information contained irements and alterations or amend- apply.	Wall. Scale NTS Sheet Size A3	Date July 2019 Drawn By
		RYANFIRE Tecl	nnical Support: info@ryanfire.co.r	nz - Tel 09 443 0362		37.2 2.0

#### 17 Dec 2019 Invercargill

Building Division tem Matrix 24-Sep-19

Invercargill City Council Building Consent Authority 20 FS 10 Approved Site Copy



BUILDING	CONSENT	NUMBER							
2019/1381									

#### Holmes

Activation Protocol	ALARM SIGNAL ORIGIN										
	Manual Call Point (Z1,Z2 Z3)	Smoke Detector (Z1 Anchor)	Smoke Detector (Z1 Childcare	Smoke Detector (Z2 North)	Smoke Detector (Z2 all other)	Sprinkler Flow switch Zone 1	Sprinkler Flow switch Zone 2 (Ground or L1)	Sprinkler Flow switch Zone 3 (L1, L2 or L3 carpark)	Sprinkler Flow switch Zone 3 (L4 or L5 carpark)	Zone 1 FireFighters Control Panel Manual Switch	Zone 2 FireFighters Control Panel Manual Switch
FENZ Signalled	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Fire Indicator Panel	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Childcare RDU indicate origin	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Building Wide Evacuation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Access control doors (mag clamp/security) release <sup>1</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Electromechanical hold open devices	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Z3 Elevators return to ground and opens	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
"No Exit" signage on for Childcare.				Y				Y			
Entry Traffic barrier arm stays down, Exit Traffic barrier arm open	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Main Gas Solenoid	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Tenancy Gas Shutoff (Foodcourt) (method of demonstrating to be considered by Cosgroves)											
HVAC shutdown (Z1 Common area Anchor)	Y	Y				Y	Y	Y	Y		
HVAC Shutdown (Z2 Common Mall Areas)	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
HVAC Shutdown (Z2 Food tenancies)											
HVAC Shutdown (Z2 All tenancies)											
HVAC/Jet fan Shutdown (Z3 Carpark)	Y							Y	Y		
HVAC Shutdown (ventilation between firecells)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Z1 Anchor Smoke Extract System <sup>3</sup>		Y								Y	
Z2 Mall Smoke Extract System <sup>3</sup>				Y							Y
Z1 Auto-Doors (To Esk St & Carpark) <sup>1,</sup> <sup>2</sup>	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Z2 Auto-Doors (To Esk St & Tay St) <sup>1, 2</sup>	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sepatation to HWR Tower											
Separation to Reading Cinema											

Text black colour relates to works that are part of the expected works for associated building works (Z1 & Z3)

Text blue colour relates to works that are part of the future expected works (Z2)

Text red colour relates to potential future interface needs which are yet to be established.

Notes:

1. Auto-Opening of Exit Doors and Security release is only required during the hours for which either the Zone 2 or Zone 1 is in trade (e.g. when public occupancy is permitted within the building) and on activation of the manual switch at the FFCP.

2. If Smoke Extract Systems operated from the Fire Fan Control Panel (FFCP) the auto-open exit door functionality is to be interfaced with the FFCP also, to ensure that the smoke extract systems do not operate without the auto-doors opening to provide inlet/make-up air.

3. If Smoke Extract System has been triggered/ initiated in either Zone, and additional alarm signals activate, only the original smoke extract is to function.